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*Dedicated with Love to the Conscious Self in every human body; and, with the hope that in becoming individually self-governed the people will establish Democracy as Self-Government in the United States of America.*

*The accomplishment of this hope will prevent the almost certain destruction of this civilization.*
“We will receive many warnings allowing us to change our ways from below the Earth as well as above. Then one morning in a moment, we will awaken to the Red Dawn. The sky will be the color of blood; many things will then begin to happen that right now we are not sure of their exact nature."

~Hopi Prophecy
March 1st:
This e-book is written in sections denoted by the date each section was written, with the intent to document and highlight what is happening in the world leading up to an “Event X” scenario, a scenario in which one or more nuclear weapons may be used against military personnel and/or civilians.

In February 2016, attempts were made to expedite a fundraising campaign and collaborate with people involved with making documentaries. The goal was to make a documentary on nuclear nonproliferation in time to develop a grassroots effort to motivate nuclear weapons states to begin dismantling their nuclear weapons stockpiles. However, by the end of the month, it was clear that not only was raising the money for a documentary too long a process to justify continuing the effort, but it would have been too late to make a documentary similar to the KONY 2012 video that resulted in limited Congressional support for military intervention in Africa. The goal was to direct nations to reduce and eventually end their respective nuclear weapons programs. However, President Obama and other leaders chose a different route, and now you find yourself in a world where there is a greater uncertainty of a positive outcome than at any time during the Cold War between the United States and the Soviet Union.

There is so much information on nuclear nonproliferation already available for review – videos, books, lectures, etc. However, today’s situation is different. Some people reading this book are likely to conclude that a nuclear event is more likely than not. When this introduction was written, few people believed that the world would face a nuclear terrorist event or an exchange of nuclear weapons used in anger between nuclear weapons states such as Pakistan and India, for example. As you read this introduction, some of you may already be wondering which city will be targeted by nuclear weapons first, and which cities will ultimately be cordoned off as nuclear fallout makes it impossible to remain in the areas affected by a nuclear detonation.

The difficulties in motivating people to support nuclear nonproliferation, or denuclearization, is the desire by nuclear power states to gain an upper hand against adversaries that also possess nuclear weapons. However, it is impossible to justify the use of nuclear weapons, those weapons acknowledged as numbered among nuclear weapons stockpiles. Other nuclear weapons will not be discussed in this book. Imagine the United States using acknowledged nuclear weapons against Assad…or China…two seemingly ridiculous scenarios. The United States has no intention of using its nuclear weapons stockpile against non-nuclear weapons states, and primarily intends to have nuclear weapons as a deterrent to other nuclear weapons states from a first-use policy.

Think about what is happening today. The conflicts in the Middle East and Southeast Asia are “slow frog boil” escalations. Right now there seems to be some concern but not enough to push nuclear weapons states to eliminate their nuclear weapons stockpiles. In fact, the opposite appears to be increasingly true. Seven months from today when this book is published via Amazon Kindle, the world will be a different place indeed, for nothing is in place to reverse the trend to proliferate. The United States began the nuclear arms race over 70 years ago with the first detonation of a nuclear weapon at the Trinity test in New Mexico on July 16, 1945. Most of the close calls likely remain classified. If people knew how many occasions the United States and the Soviet Union almost triggered a nuclear holocaust, countless millions of Americans and hundreds of millions of people around the world would be forcing their respective governments to abandon nuclear weapons as a viable option in warfare.
Perhaps it is normalcy bias or a psychological fatigue that motivates people to engage in other activities, such as which presidential candidate to elect, or which smaller house to buy when their current home becomes too costly to maintain in a dying global economy. Perhaps concern over issues such as retirement or inflated medical expenses keeps people from demanding their elected officials to change nuclear policy. By the time you read this book, it may no longer matter what course of action you take. The destabilizing situations in the Middle East and Southeast Asia will have set the stage for nuclear weapons states to become directly engaged in combat operations.
March 2nd:

Nothing else matters in the 2016 election process as much as accruing a majority of the number of political party delegates by June, similar to how the electoral college determines the outcome of the November elections…if there are elections in November.


Clinton: 1000 delegates
Sanders: 371 delegates

…Sanders is out. Clinton will receive the democratic party nomination.


Trump: 285 delegates
Cruz: 161 delegates
Rubio: 87 delegates
Kasich: 25 delegates
Carson: 8 delegates

These delegate counts were recorded at 5 a.m. on March 2, 2016. Cruz doesn’t have the mojo or momentum that Trump has, but the entire establishment is against Trump…I can’t imagine Trump being allowed into the Oval Office on January 20, 2017. The political elite in the United States and the most influential globalists would rather see the 2016 Presidential elections scrapped outright than risk having Trump take the helm on January 20. However, Hillary is so corrupt that nothing constructive would be accomplished while she is in office, similar to her stellar performance as Secretary of State. All Clinton did was help set the stage for a regional conflict in the Middle East.

I don’t see how our nation has a viable future with this untenable situation. Both leading Presidential candidates epitomize narcissism…as if that were a good thing. Quite the opposite, narcissists destroy the cohesiveness of a nation over time, as evidenced by what has happened to the United States, Europe, Japan, Russia and China. The most likely political scenario is having a third party candidate introduced into the 2016 Presidential race, in order to siphon off more votes from Trump than Hillary. I just don’t see this ending well for the American people.

Anyway, back to the purpose of the book: denuclearization. With the United States serving as a leader in world affairs, pushing for over $1 trillion to modernize our nation’s nuclear stockpile does not bode well for a favorable outcome in either the Middle East or Southeast Asia. Yes, there are other hotspots such as Ukraine which could “go nuclear”. Ideally, none of these conflicts will go nuclear, and a peaceful resolution will be found before these conflicts escalate to a nuclear event; but inertia is a terrible thing to waste in conflict, apparently. So what are Americans to do?
March 3rd:
The first paragraph below is presented by Ackerman and Tamsett (2009, 25):

In a 2005 book by journalist Fu’ad Husayn, which is entitled *Al-Zarqawi, al-jil al-thani li-al-Qa’ida (Al-Zarqawi: Al-Qa’ida’s Second Generation)*, the author purports to describe a strategy for victory that has been carefully outlined by al-Qa’ida’s own leaders. This particular scheme has seven rather than three phases, and is thus even more elaborate – and arguably more of an exercise in wishful thinking – than Naji’s. The first is the “awakening” stage from 2000 to 2003, during which the umma will be awakened from its state of hibernation by the jihadist precipitation of an American invasion of the Muslim world. The second is the “eye-opening” stage from 2003 to 2006, during which the United States will open the eyes of the believers by occupying Muslim lands and thence be engaged directly by the mujahidin on Muslim soil. The third is the “standing upright” stage, from 2007 to 2010, during which the jihadist vanguard and the umma will develop the capacity to take effective offensive action, especially in the al-Sham region (Syria, Lebanon, and Jordan). The fourth is the “recovery of power” stage, from 2010 to 2013, during which apostate Muslim regimes will be overthrown by means of direct combat, thereby accelerating the deterioration of U.S. power and influence in the region. The fifth is the “declaration” and establishment of an Islamic state stage, from 2013 to 2016, during which the Caliphate will be restored even as American and European power declines in relation to that of China, India, and the Islamic world. The sixth is the “all-out confrontation” stage, from 2017 to 2020, during which there will be total war between the *dar al-islam* and the *dar al-kufr* and the creation of a new balance of power. The seventh and last is the “final victory” stage, after 2020, during which the unbelievers will suffer complete defeat at the hands of the Islamic umma.

In March 2014, USSOUTHCOM Commander Gen. John. J. Kelly testified to Congress that “because of asset shortfalls, Southcom is unable to pursue 74 percent of suspected maritime drug trafficking” (Ramirez and Bunker 2014, 7). In other words, three out of every four illicit drug maritime operations were not interdicted. In June 2012, Rear Admiral Charles Michel, JIATF-South Director, stated that out of a total of 214 documented Self-Propelled Semi-Submersible (SPSS) events, “only 45 were disrupted due largely to the difficulty of detecting such low-profile vessels” (Ramirez and Bunker 2014, 12). Of those documented, the number of undocumented events remained indeterminate. The likely result was less than ten percent of all SPSS events are disrupted. On July 18, 2015, “a narco-submarine with 16,870 pounds of cocaine was seized by U.S. authorities in the eastern Pacific Ocean” (Ernst 2015). Large amounts of illicit drugs transported in the SPSS units were not uncommon through 2015, suggesting that adapting an SPSS for the transport of a 10 kT nuclear bomb would require little, if any, modifications to existing operational SPSS units.

While most analysis and media pundits were paying attention to terrorist groups such as al-Qaeda “calling on young Muslim men in the United States and other Western countries to carry out attacks inside there” according to Reuters (2015), few even knew about the Japanese Yakuza’s involvement in the War of 2016-17 until it was too late. Most were focused on the millions of Muslim immigrants invading Europe and the United States with predictions of four million Muslims coming into Europe alone in 2015 and 2016, as predicted by the European
The Yakuza strategic threat to the U.S. is one of the most potent we face today, and it increases year by year. That threat - as is much of the organized international terrorist threat - is being generally coordinated from the old die-hard Communist faction of the FSB/KGB, the dominant faction (Bearden 2004, 10). Similar to the fall of the Soviet Union, the fracturing of the Japanese Yakuza must be addressed very carefully by the United States and the international community. “Fortune magazine estimated its net revenues at $80 billion last year, the highest in the world for a criminal group” (Chandran 2015). The collapse of the Nikkei stock market that had been propped up by many companies controlled by the Yakuza prior to “Event X” further destabilized Japan’s economy, which in turn could cascade through global financial markets. The Yakuza have been known to coordinate illicit activities directly with international drug cartels, with some Yakuza relocating to South America and the United States to engage in illicit narcotics and human trafficking (Garcia 2015).

Internal domestic uprisings in Israel and the United States coincided with the War of 2016-17. Small scale attacks began as a third intifada in Israel, and larger scale attacks against soft targets in Europe, Northern Africa, and the United States starting in May 2016. These and other attacks such as the AR-15 shootings at the Washington Monument and the Black Friday mall shootings prompted a buildup in military forces by Russia, Europe and the United States in the Middle East, eventually converging into a regional conflict as attacks were directed against Israel by Hezbollah from the north and Hamas and other terrorist elements from the Palestinian Territories. Daesh (ISIS/ISIL) effectively served as a catalyst in 2015 and early 2016 for a direct conflict between Russia and the United States in Syria in late 2016, with the Muslim world aligning against the West in the process as Putin had initially anticipated before sending Russian troops into Syria. The fog of war in Syria made a clash between the two major world powers inevitable.

Daesh sympathizers attacked domestic targets and military personnel in the United States throughout the War of 2016-17, as well. Daesh and other terrorist groups in Africa and the Middle East that were aligned with Daesh deployed Ebola as a bioweapon, initially against soft targets in the Middle East and Northern Africa, then expanding attacks to locations in Europe and the United States in 2016, specifically targeting airports and shutting down a significant fraction of international air travel for extended periods of time, further eroding global GDP in the process. Terrorist attacks against soft targets such as churches, schools, polling stations and large open events in which thousands of people are in attendance became commonplace by August 2016, with the AR-15 serving as the weapon of choice in the United States. During the War of 2016-17, the AR-15 was commonly referred to in the United States as the “poor man’s WMD,” killing thousands of Americans before the War of 2016-17 had concluded. As a result of these attacks, all firearms except small arms such as .22 rifles/handguns and standard shotguns were outlawed in the United Nations by the end of the war.

In the fall of 2016, conventional 1.2 tons (= 2400 lbs) TNT equivalent bomb was delivered to central New York City via vehicle and detonated in Times Square without being detected in advance, while an RDD containing 1000 Ci Cs-137 + 800 pounds equivalent TNT was detonated
outside the Javitz Convention Center in New York City via vehicle bomb only three days later. A spokesperson for Daesh claimed responsibilities for both attacks.

Having observed the successes of the conventional and RDD terrorist attacks, in late 2016, the Japanese Yakuza finally avenged the Hiroshima and Nagasaki attacks with a 10 kT nuclear detonation and an attempted 20 kT nuclear bomb attack in Manhattan and Los Angeles, respectively. The New York City attack was orchestrated via sea using a narcosubmarine that was automatically GPS guided to less than 1000 feet from the One World Trade Center, adjacent to the North Cove Yacht Harbor. The attempted Los Angeles nuclear terrorist attack failed, primarily due to the conventional cargo ship that contained the 20 kT nuclear bomb being anchored out at sea for weeks, awaiting permission to dock in the port, before classified intelligence reports following the 10 kT NYC nuclear detonation prompted military special forces personnel to board the anchored ship and diffuse the 20 kT bomb before it was detonated. As expected, the conventional, RDD and nuclear terrorist attacks in New York City received widespread global coverage in the media and on the Internet, prompting the United Nations to approve resolutions that initiated global denuclearization after the War of 2016-17 had drawn to a close, with a comprehensive MENA peace agreement with East Jerusalem as the permanent capital of Palestine.

As soon as the nuclear terrorist attack was publicly attributed to the Yakuza, the Japanese economy and stock market collapsed as the international community forced the Japanese government to finally confront the Yakuza. This announcement of Japanese culpability also destabilized the global derivatives and other stock markets around the world, prompting a hard reset of the global financial system and the eventual elimination of cash by 2020. The source of the nuclear material used in the two nuclear bombs was traced back to the Pakistani arsenal; however, by the time nuclear forensics was completed on the intact nuclear weapon and the fallout from the 10 kT nuclear detonation in New York City, Pakistan was for all practical purposes a failed state. Attacking Pakistan would have simply created more chaos in a country that was no longer governable, in spite of significant funding provided to the Pakistani government by both the United States and China prior to the War of 2016-17.

However, what resulted in the most lives lost through the end of the War of 2016-17 was widespread starvation and adverse living conditions in what were formerly identified as emerging economies and within migrant around the world. It was determined that world population peaked at the end of 2015 at 7.35 billion. A five percent reduction in global population occurred during the War of 2016-17, with 6.98 billion alive at the end of 2016. The decline in global population continued through the end of 2020 by another 15% from 6.98 billion to 5.94 billion as nations struggled to adapt to the new financial system and lack of credit available for new and existing projects and employment. Water and food scarcity followed large-scale incidents of intentional poisoning of the water and food supplies by Daesh and other terrorist groups sympathetic to its radicalized version of Islam. The food/water supply poisonings started in Israel and were quickly adopted by terrorists and other lone wolf radicals in the United States and Europe during the War of 2016-17.
The denuclearization agreement mandated by the United Nations at the end of 2017 following the Middle East war between Israel and its hostile neighbors was embraced by the international community following the nuclear terrorist “Event X” detonation in New York City in late 2016. Control over all nuclear weapon stockpiles was handed over to the United Nations by the end of 2020. Jerusalem became an international city during this period, with control over East Jerusalem handed over to the newly acknowledged, full-fledged U.N. member nation Palestine. West Jerusalem and other parts of Israel as delineated by the pre-1967 armistice lines remained under the control of the Israeli government, with U.N. forces assigned to enforce the borders that were established and finalized by the U.N.’s comprehensive Middle East peace agreement. The agreement was finalized by Israel and Palestine, signifying an official end to the War of 2016-17, though domestic uprisings continued to plague nations around the world as inflation made everyday necessities unaffordable. The International Monetary Fund’s (IMF) special drawing rights (SDR) became the new global reserve currency and international trade note, in effect devaluing all fiat currencies in the process and triggering food riots around the world.

Denuclearization was a key component of the comprehensive Middle East agreement, requiring all nations in the Middle East to transfer nuclear weapons to the joint control of U.N. Security Council nations. The United Nations also mandated under a separate resolution to require all nations to relinquish control of their respective nuclear arsenals to the international body by 2020. Weapons were transferred to an undisclosed location that was never publicly released or known to the public, though most suspected that the weapons were transferred to underground bases that were jointly managed by personnel from all U.N. Security Council nations. The Korean peninsula and the Middle East were the first regions that fell under U.N. resolutions, followed by Europe and South Asia, and lastly the United States, Russia, and China.

Illicit drugs were legalized, degrading terrorist attack capabilities and financial resources, while the U.S. ceased overseas military “power projection” operations entirely by 2020. The U.S. military became responsible for the regional protection of North America only. Other major powers adopted the same strategy as U.N. security forces were housed in former U.S. military bases overseas. The United Nations peacekeeping forces became the largest military in the world in 2020. Automated border security was established along both Northern and Southern U.S. borders with drones and robots providing 24/7 monitoring and security. Remaining border personnel maintained a presence as needed to maintain autonomous capabilities, with universal PRDs assigned to all personnel through the end of 2020, to ensure that a repeat terrorist attack would not be possible via border infiltration. After 2020, automated remote detection capabilities were fully implemented along the U.S. borders with Canada and Mexico.

Shifting from energy dependence on nuclear and hydrocarbon fuels to sustainable energy resources required a concerted effort by the international community. Early measures started in the United States and Europe, with California Governor Edmund Brown, Jr., signing Senate Bill 2 to “extend the current 20% renewables portfolio standard target in 2010 to a 33% renewables portfolio standard by December 31, 2020” (Brown 2011). Considering the catastrophic decline in global GDP by one-half through the end of 2020, a proportional decline in global energy consumption allowed for a natural transition to renewables and a significant reduction in pollution. All nuclear power plants along the East and West coasts were shut down by the end of
The United States was energy independent by the end of 2020; albeit largely as a result of halving energy consumption over the previous five-year period.

The majority of the world’s population reverted to barter and more novel forms of indigenous living during the transition to the new global financial system. Inflation adversely impacted the lives of virtually everyone in the world that had become accustomed to the use of fiat currencies for everyday transactions prior to the War of 2016-17. Unemployment levels rose (as measured by the labor force participation rate) as inflation exploded and monetary liquidity evaporated. Gainful employment became the exception rather than the rule. People were forced to buy less with existing assets and income, both of which declined through the end of 2020 as reflected in Figure 1 on the previous page. Barter exchanges became commonplace for virtually everyone. Robotics and artificial intelligence (AI) replaced an increasing fraction of existing manufacturing and service positions by the end of 2020, further exacerbating an already unfavorable employment situation. The majority of Americans no longer had access to modern health care, resulting in further declines in U.S. population, with the nation’s youngest and oldest citizens disproportionately affected.

The deployment of AI predictive and “human domain” tracking systems became commonplace throughout the industrialized world by the end of 2020, in order to minimize the chaos created by domestic uprisings that started towards the end of the War of 2016-17. AI was predominantly implemented in North America and Europe. AI-based systems were present in all major industrialized nations by mid-2018 as global trade and production failed to recover. Ammunition incorporating Wi-Fi enabled RFID tracking capabilities by safely injecting a Wi-Fi enabled RFID unit into rioters using existing ammunition casing and deployed by U.S. law enforcement personnel in 2018 was adapted worldwide by the end of 2020. Once the RFID units were injected into the physical bodies of rioters, these small RFID units were powered by a person’s body heat, periodically emitting a signal with coordinates that could be triangulated using existing cell phone towers. This technology allowed local law enforcement and other security forces stationed in the United States and Europe to track and capture rioters after they had left the area where they were initially targeted and tagged, eliminating bottlenecks for law enforcement. “Tagged” persons would be released only on the condition that they would never engage in rioting or other disruptive activities threatening U.S. national security interests again. The tracking units were left intact as a probationary measure. Repeat offenses resulted in indefinite incarceration and what amounted to indentured servitude.

Global GDP declined by approximately one-half from the end of 2015 through the end of 2020, while U.S. GDP declined by nearly by two-thirds, largely due to the collapse of the U.S. dollar and redistribution of wealth away from the United States following the War of 2016-17 and the full-scale implementation of the SDR as the new global reserve currency. A more proportional distribution of wealth among the world’s population transpired in accordance with the United Nations Sustainable Development 2030 Agenda. The 2030 Agenda outlined how the United Nations would facilitate an end to poverty and hunger while protecting the planet “from degradation, including through sustainable consumption and production, sustainably managing its natural resources and taking urgent action on climate change, so that it can support the needs of the present and future generations” (2015, 2).
Wartime manufacturing during World War II was followed by an economic boom in the United States as demand for U.S. goods replaced the war machine. However, after the War of 2016-17, demand for U.S. goods was all but non-existent outside the North America, resulting in a disproportionate decline in domestic production and consumption relative to other major industrialized nations except China and Japan, which also suffered significant declines in GDP and domestic production/manufacturing levels through 2020. Manufacturing operations in the U.S. and Japan that had remained active through the end of the war replaced workers with robots more quickly than other nations, in order to offset the increased costs of materials and equipment due to the inflationary effect on wages. While global GDP was relatively stable by the end of 2020, the U.S. and Japanese economies remained in decline. The U.S. economy essentially merged with that of Mexico and Canada as a result of the IMF’s SDR serving as the new global reserve currency. Centralized control over the nation’s economy was no longer possible once the U.S. dollar lost most of its purchasing power relative to goods and services. Financial market trading was as distant a memory as the U.S. dollar by the end of 2020, because governments owned or controlled all business assets under the new financial system. All financial transactions between individuals were electronically conducted and archived in real time in a central database controlled by the IMF by the end of 2020. Financial transactions served only as a means of meeting the immediate needs of people, as well as maintaining centralized control and management of electronically transmitted information as an anti-terrorism measure.

Cyber warfare during the War of 2016-17 essentially ended the open Internet as it was known in 2016. “Internet 2.0” included smart card ID login requirements as businesses shifted to Internet 2.0, eventually incorporating RFID technology as more people began using RFID ID technology. Each person’s smart ID card or embedded RFID unit allowed for the tracking and archiving of all electronic communications and purchases conducted through Internet 2.0. Cell phones used Bluetooth and Wi-Fi detection of smart ID cards coupled with mandatory biometrics or RFIDs to identify the user of each phone call. These new requirements essentially eliminated illicit money laundering activities through the introduction of the cashless society by the end of 2020. All financial transactions and purchases to be considered legal and valid were conducted through the use of smart ID cards or RFIDs by the end of 2020. This new system identified the buyer, seller and the location of all electronic transactions. Barter transactions were banned but enforcement was never fully implemented. By 2030, smart ID cards were no longer used.

The November 2016 elections were delayed due to ongoing terrorist attacks at local polling stations leading up to the November 2016 elections. President Obama stepped down on January 20, 2017, and Vice President Biden became acting President until the elections of 2018 had concluded and a new President took the oath of office. The United Nations implemented a Sunday Law in 2017, requiring everyone to refrain from any business activities on Sundays; all businesses were closed on Sundays. President Biden mandated – with Congress’s approval – the use of smart ID cards for identification where available, and extended voting over a six-week period from May – June 2018 at locations such as malls and sports stadiums – any locations that accepted smart ID cards for payments. For the first time, Internet 2.0 voting in a Presidential election was authorized in the 2018 elections using smart ID cards and biometrics to include RFID technology, for those who did not feel comfortable or could not go to locations that normally accept smart ID card or RFID payments. The new U.S. President took office on July
20, 2018, though the three branches of government had been restructured to allow for United Nations intervention when any laws passed by Congress and signed by the President were contradictory to United Nations mandates. One of the laws signed by the new President permanently abolished political parties, and Independence Day was moved to July 20. Other nations followed suit, with the majority of support from their respective citizenry.

The divisiveness that the Democratic and Republican parties created leading up to the War of 2016-17 was blamed for the inability of the United States to approve funding in a timely manner for an effective response by the military-industrial-intelligence complex until after the 10 KT nuclear terrorist attack in New York City had transpired. Executive orders that were later reinforced by binding Congressional legislation permanently transferred control of critical infrastructure sectors and associated resources (e.g., fuel, food, water, property) to the Federal government, and required state and local authorities to enforce Federal government and United Nations mandates.

According to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), “the United Nations Security Council has recently paid much attention to the threat posed by transnational organized crime” (2010, 221). Criminal organizations operate more effectively in regions such as Afghanistan and Central Asia where centralized authority is corrupted by ongoing illicit activities. The introduction of the global cashless society in which all transactions were monitored for illicit activities was the chosen policy course of action that provided a way to reduce and eventually eliminate illicit activities. By ensuring that all transactions were valid and legal, citizens were forced to maintain certain standards of integrity, in order to remain part of the new global financial system in which the cashless society operates. Black market bartering of RFID-tagged items was designed to eventually eliminate illicit activities, as legal goods and services would all have associated transactions that could be tracked and archived by a centralized global governing authority through the use of RFID and Wi-Fi enabled technology.

Audits of goods throughout the lifetime of all consumable items could be independently conducted by third parties funded by the United Nations Office of Drugs and Crime through the implementation of a global tax; thereby, providing a means of monitoring controls in regions where instability was more the norm than the exception following the War of 2016-17. U.N. security forces were expanded in numbers and scope of responsibility, stationing U.N. personnel serving in a law enforcement capacity in areas where domestic uprisings and illicit activities were prevalent, outnumbering U.S. law enforcement and military personnel by 2030. The global Wi-Fi enabled RFID system would ensure that supposed “drug seizures” that Chatham House identifies as being “recycled into the local market by police” would no longer be possible (2012, 4). Everything sold in markets would be tracked and audited by 2030. Everything stored on one’s property could also be audited under the new policy designed to “master the human domain,” as outlined by Biddle (2015).

A central database was established by the United Nations under the United States Geospatial Intelligence Foundation to analyze ownership of all items purchased, to ensure that goods followed expected flows from the point of origin to their final destination. The greater the extent
to which people followed the rules, the more credits for legal goods and services individuals would be given. This is similar to what Schiller addresses in his article about China’s 2014 proposal for a “social credit system,” in which a system is established to assign credibility of an individual “across more than 30 areas of life, from energy to advertising” (2015). The rollout of this international system began in 2017 in the United States, China, Canada, and Mexico, expanding to United Kingdom, Europe, Japan and Australia in 2018, with all other nations adopting a variant of this social credit system by 2020. Social credit systems were standardized into one global credit system by 2030.

Infractions to these rules could be summarized by a ‘three strikes’ enforcement subset of this policy, in which those who were apprehended for more serious crimes would be exiled to isolated, “controlled locations” that were established by 2030 in sufficient numbers for use by all nations. These facilities essentially constituted prisons, where those who are relocated to these locations were required to work for the rest of their lives in this highly constrained environment. These “tenants” provided goods to the world’s citizenry that followed the rules, as mandated by the United Nations. Tenants residing in these controlled locations were microchipped without their consent and connected to a centralized AI, in order to remotely monitor brain wave activities. When a significant deviation from expected thought or activities transpired, authorities would interrogate the individuals who fall outside normal bounds of brainwave activity, in order to determine the cause of the deviation. By 2030, everyone around the world, regardless of location, was microchipped and monitored in this manner, once the successes of enhanced AI monitoring were evident from studies conducted at these controlled locations. This policy further reduced infractions of existing law and order to the point where maintaining separate tenant facilities was no longer required. While Lewis mentions how the “Taliban prohibition on heroin production in 2000 was remarkably successful, reducing exports from the Afghan territories they controlled in 2001 to almost zero” (2010, 39), such measures served as little more than temporary fixes to a long-term plague of illicit activities that continued until the mandatory monitoring and auditing of all transactions was implemented on a global scale as an outreach of the United Nations 2030 Agenda.

Cell phones, laptops, and desktops were all equipped with readers and biometrics identification capabilities by the end of 2020. By 2030, every person on the planet was assigned specific electronics that was not allowed to be shared with other people. When a person made a phone call, an infrared snapshot of the person making the call coupled with a remote Wi-Fi enabled identification of the person’s smart ID card allowed the call to proceed. The other party answering the call required the same identification to accept the call on their phone. This became cumbersome to many people, and the demand for a more convenient means of everyday transactions was implemented: the microchipping of entire populations. The voluntary program that began in 2017 as U.N. resolutions approved in 2017 became mandatory in the United States, Canada, Mexico, Japan, Australia and Europe by the end of 2020, forcing many people who were against being microchipped to relocate to Asia, South America and Africa, though the enforcement of mandatory chipping was global by 2030. As a result, by the end of 2020, the U.S. population stood at ~200 million, the majority constituting people who were considered minorities in 2015. Illegal immigration issues were no longer a concern, as everyone in the United States, Mexico and Canada was required to be microchipped in order to participate in the
regional economy. Black markets were all but nonexistent in United States, Canada, Mexico, Japan, Australia and Europe by the end of 2020, assisted by the legalization of all illicit drugs in late 2017 by the newly elected Congress and President and adopted by the United Nations in 2018. Other nations made microchipping mandatory by 2030 under through United Nations resolutions and mandates that were implemented at the national level.

This overarching, comprehensive policy was considered by the international community to have the greatest long-term benefit for stabilizing long-term security not only in the Middle East and South Asia but throughout the world. Once implemented, illicit activities eventually become a thing of the past, known only in historical records by those who were authorized access to such records. The “inherent connections that exist between leading tribal families, political elites, and organized crime” as identified by Ceccarelli (2007, 19), were replaced by the end of 2020 by a globally centralized governing authority under the United Nations Office of Drugs and Crime (UNODC) that reported directly the UNODC. This scenario made illicit activities by independently operated and controlled third parties impossible.

Control over the human domain through the implementation of the abovementioned policies would eventually cease outside of the centralized governing bureaucracy of the United Nations, thereby maximizing global security and eliminating illicit activities altogether by 2030. Finlay et al identified the “most cursory survey of the human condition” in 2012 as “wild geographic disparities in virtually every economic, social and political measure” (2012, 4). This condition would eventually yield to another benefit of the implementation of the United Nation’s 2030 Agenda: the eventual elimination of geographic disparities following an equitable redistribution of wealth that began in earnest after the War of 2016-17 with the full-scale introduction of the IMF’s SDR as the new global reserve currency following China’s yuan in the SDR basket of currencies, as was initially anticipated to begin in October 2016 according to Maverick (2015).
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March 4th:

We currently find ourselves in debt - individually and collectively. More people are finding it difficult to perpetuate the status quo in their everyday lives, bringing their very survival into question. This section is intended to serve as a bridge between where we are today and the near future when self-governed leaders shall emerge from the ashes of today's modern civilization and narcissistic leadership. May you elect to become one of the enlightened, self-governed leaders in the years that follow.

Today, our nation's debt ceiling remains elusive while the national debt stands at $19.1 trillion, approximately $8.5 trillion more than when President Obama was sworn into office only seven years ago. This is completely, unequivocally unsustainable, and does not even take into account unfunded liabilities. Fiscal irresponsibility is also observed throughout the European Union and is taking down nations' economies one by one, with rampant refugee influxes aggravating the situation in both Europe and the United States. The U.S. banking industry is being consolidated into larger banks. Nothing is in place to reverse this trend. A point will be reached where the Western world will be unable to service its debt, and the derivatives markets that have propped up the global economy since the 1980s will fail catastrophically. Currency wars with Asia and a 30+% devaluation of the U.S. dollar relative to goods and services is inevitable.

Intervention on a global scale is required to reverse this trend. Intervention requires like-minded people to come together to change the system. Without systemic change, the West shall remain on its current course. As of January 2010, the Ceridian UCLA Pulse of Commerce Index is showing a decline in the U.S. economy at a time when it is necessary to increase GDP in order to service the nation’s burgeoning debt. Unfortunately, self-interest remains the dominant motivator by like-minded people who would otherwise work in unison to resolve these issues.

Those who have chosen a path of honesty and truthfulness, follow your dreams, regardless of the expected outcome. The only other option is to choose not to follow your dreams…resulting in the worst possible outcome.

Prior to the economic collapse of the former Soviet Union, Gorbachev was desperately pursuing credit in Western financial markets. Today, the U.S. finds itself in a similar position, with nations no longer willing to provide the outside funding needed to service the U.S. debt. The EU and UK are in a similar situation. For the U.S., the burden has fallen on Americans' retirement and other investment accounts, as well as the Federal Reserve. Both government entitlement programs and non-U.S. debt holdings are now cash-flow negative. The end result is a hyperinflationary cycle that will collapse the value of fiat currencies such as the U.S. dollar and the Euro relative to commodities: gold, silver, platinum, food, water, fuel and electricity. There is nothing currently in place to necessitate the changes in global fiscal policies required to reverse these trends.

Russians and Ukrainians have shared information about their lives prior to the fall of the Berlin Wall, revealing the struggles that these former Soviet citizens faced in developing the leadership skills needed to cope with a collapsing economy. The lack of initiative, motivation, and individual responsibility for making decisions and taking action brought activity to a halt whenever a deviation from the usual routines occurred. No one was willing to step up to the plate to solve a problem.
In the United States, we have a different problem. People are willing to take action. However, out of ignorance and fear, actions taken by government officials and leaders within private industry are making the nation's fiscal crisis even worse.

The issues that have caused our current economic crisis originate from maligned policies following World War I. Our current circumstances are a direct result of actions taken by people who are no longer with us today. However, those in office today are responsible for continuing to perpetuate debt, in lieu of sound fiscal policies.

In the U.S., we have a two party political system. The influence of any other party is suppressed by the two dominant parties, unless a third party candidate is supported by the establishment for the sole purpose of siphoning off more votes from one of the two parties. As failed fiscal policies mature in the form of recessions and depressions, the populace oscillates between the two political parties from one election year to the next. This solves nothing, as evidenced by our current circumstances in the U.S., as well as evolving geopolitical and economic trends. Therefore, without an independent third party to genuinely represent the interest of the people, for the people, by the people, current fiscal policies will continue to devalue the U.S. dollar and other fiat currencies that are tied to the dollar in the long run.

The most recent Congressional action to continue deficit spending and raise the debt ceiling beyond our means of paying it off has guaranteed that a hyperinflationary cycle will follow, considering current geopolitical and economic trends. Other nations are no longer willing to service our nation's debt; therefore, the end state is a failed global financial system, and in turn a failed global economy. Currently, nothing is in place to replace our current system of monetary transactions or the fiat currency-based global trade system. The IMF’s special drawing rights (SDR) may serve as a temporary stop-gap measure, nothing more…

Another huge issue that also remains unresolved is egregiously dysfunctional foreign diplomacy in the Middle East. Therein lies the crux of the problem between East and West. The Western nations are spending up to $3 billion/day supporting various initiatives in the Middle East and adjacent nations, to include protecting our national security interests such as oil. The end result for the U.S. economically will happen in varying degrees to all other industrialized nations in the world without coordinated intervention on a global scale.

Nearly one million Western military and civilian personnel are assigned to the Middle East region and immediately surrounding nations. Cost to maintain this overseas presence is estimated at ~$1 million annually per person stationed overseas. With a global economic debt burden carried by Western nations - predominantly the U.S. - it is clear that there must be a reduction in spending and debt, coupled with a substantial increase in GDP to avoid an economic meltdown. The process of global intervention to denuclearize opposing forces and reduce combat operations must begin in the Middle East.

The Annapolis Conference was a Middle East peace conference held on November 27, 2007. The conference marked the first time that a two-state solution was articulated as the mutually agreed-upon outline for addressing the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. The conference ended with an issuing of a joint statement from all of the attendees representing dozens of nations that have an interest in the region. This peace initiative continues today with a current outline for an Israeli-Palestinian peace agreement including a two-state solution.
If a two-state solution peace initiative were to be successful in the long term, the economic burden carried by the West to remain in the Middle East would be substantially reduced. However, with the stage set for a regional war following a poorly constructed peace agreement, intervention by a power independent of this global governing body is required to prevent a regional war in the Middle East.

Current estimates suggest that such a peace agreement will be implemented following a revaluation of currencies by the IMF, as agreed upon in advance by all nations involved. The U.S. dollar will be revalued as 30+% below its current level.

The primary reason humanity finds itself in our current situation is due to the influence of the greatest false religion of all time: materialism. Strictly defined, materialism holds that the only thing that exists is matter and energy that can be converted to matter; that all things are composed of material and all phenomena (including consciousness) are the result of material interactions. This false belief system has led to the desire for material gain and the things that allow for ease of material gain, such as sex, power and making a name for oneself. Ignorance perpetuates materialism, while fear keeps people in check once they have embraced a materialistic belief system. Materialism is a dualistic belief system, divorcing the physical universe from the metaphysical - the complete opposite of belief systems emanating out of unity consciousness.

The simplest approach to overcoming the influence of materialism is to desire something else, namely unity through acquiring self-knowledge - an awareness of one's inherent being and existence - through prayer, meditation and contemplation. Once one is honest and truthful to oneself about the reality of the metaphysical and the illusory nature of the physical world, overcoming materialism is a straightforward process that requires discipline. Unfortunately, too few people have chosen this route. As a result, humanity remains on the path to the destruction of the global economy and its monetary system. There is no Plan B.

This outcome was entirely avoidable up to a point; however, one will have to decide for oneself when the point of return has been reached, in order to justify preparing for the transition to a post-industrial society. The overwhelming majority of those who occupy a position of influence that could alter humanity’s course continue to perpetuate self-interest, in lieu of serving the greater good. It is the opinion of the author that the United States has already crossed the Rubicon.

What is transpiring today is known as the Second Great Contraction, the first being the Great Depression of the 1930s. The general agreement among economists is that World War II revived the global economy and alleviated long-term unemployment. The same expectation will occur this time, as well. Based on current trends, the expected timing for a regional war in the Middle East coincides with a collapsing global economy, so one will begin to hear in increasing frequency suggestions through mass media of the possibility of war bringing the global economy out of the doldrums. Again, this is the track we are on today. Intervention on a global scale is required for us to change course, the source of which remains indeterminate.

If a regional war does occur in the Middle East in the aftermath of the Israeli-Palestinian peace agreement, nations whose national security interests are tied to the region will inevitably become involved, such as Russia, China and the United States. The result would be devastating to the global economy, initiating a catastrophic transition to a post-industrial society and third world
status of all industrialized nations. One approach towards minimizing the negative fallout of this scenario is group prayer and meditation. Prayer and meditation could also delay or even eliminate an outcome involving a regional war in the Middle East; however, too few people have chosen this approach.

When it is time to begin living outside the normal range of everyday experiences afforded to us through the comforts of our modern civilization, one must be prepared for this transition in advance. An excellent book outlining how to prepare for this experience is the 5th edition of *Dare to Prepare* by Holly Drennan Deyo (2013). This and many other books on survival preparation will show you what needs to be done on an individual basis, as well as, how to prepare an entire community for this transition. The government will not be in a position to assist individuals during the transition phase to a post-industrial society.

Self-interest has sealed our civilization’s fate. However, the future is not etched in stone from humanity’s higher perspective; therefore, it is our birthright and responsibility to change, becoming the peace we want to manifest in our lives. We will succeed in overcoming the darkness of this world.

Having learned what not to do, humanity will be given a clean slate. Those who have successfully weathered the storm of transition to a post-industrial society will have learned to become self-governed. Those around them who help establish sustainable communities will also be self-governed. Those who are not self-governed will find themselves as strangers in a strange world, and will inevitably be relocated off-planet the old fashioned way in short order.

In the final stage of our collective experience, humanity will begin to learn how to survive in decentralized, sustainable communities. These sustainable communities will initially exist as the indigenous tribes have existed for thousands of years - connected to Spirit and Mother Earth. From that point, communities will collectively evolve through higher states of consciousness, and the level of technology will reflect the degree to which humanity has evolved as a whole.

To learn more about how the indigenous tribes have managed to survive for millennia, it is necessary to research their way of life and to visit indigenous tribes in person, if possible. An ideal example of sustainable living can be found in the Sierra Nevada Mountains of Northern Columbia within the Kogi villages. The Hopi elders in the Four Corners region of the American Southwest also serve as our spiritual mentors.

The 12 Steps is an excellent way to assume responsibility for one's own actions:

- **Step 1** - We admitted we were powerless over our shortcomings - that our lives had become unmanageable
- **Step 2** - Came to believe that a Power greater than ourselves could restore us to sanity
- **Step 3** - Made a decision to turn our will and our lives over to the care of a Higher Power as we understood our Higher Power
- **Step 4** - Made a searching and fearless moral inventory of ourselves
- **Step 5** - Admitted to God, to ourselves and to another human being the exact nature of our wrongs
- **Step 6** - Were entirely ready to have our Higher Power remove all these defects of character
• Step 7 - Humbly asked our Higher Power to remove our shortcomings

• Step 8 - Made a list of all persons we had harmed, and became willing to make amends to them all

• Step 9 - Made direct amends to such people wherever possible, except when to do so would injure them or others

• Step 10 - Continued to take personal inventory and when we were wrong promptly admitted it

• Step 11 - Sought through prayer and meditation to improve our conscious contact with our Higher Power, praying only for knowledge of the intent of the All There Is and the power to carry that out the role it is written for us to play within the collective intent of the All There Is

• Step 12 - Having had a spiritual awakening as the result of these steps, we serve as an example to others by practicing these principles in all our affairs

These 12 Steps define is what it means to be a peaceful leader through self-government.

Four free e-books worth reviewing are found online at [http://wordfoundation.org/a-books.htm](http://wordfoundation.org/a-books.htm) - no registration or payment is required to review this material. These books outline how thinking creates destiny and the path humanity will follow after decentralized, sustainable communities are established throughout the world. One will recognize that it is through feeling and desire that thinking is manifested, and that one’s thinking creates one destiny, individually and collectively.
March 5th:

The following is Erich Fromm’s afterward to George Orwell’s dystopian book, 1984:

George Orwell’s 1984 is the expression of mood, and it is a warning. The mood it expresses is that of near despair about the future of man, and the warning is that unless the course of history changes, men all over the world will lose their most human qualities, will become soulless automatons, and will not even be aware of it.

The mood of hopelessness about the future of man is in marked contrast to one of the most fundamental features of Western thought: the faith in human progress and in man's capacity to create a world of justice and peace. This hope has its roots both in Greek and Roman thinking, as well as in the Messianic concept of the Old Testament prophets. The Old Testament philosophy of history assumes that man grows and unfolds in history and eventually becomes what he potential is. It assumes that he develops his powers of reason and love fully, and thus is enabled to grasp the world, being one with his fellow man and nature, at the same time preserving his individuality and his integrity. Universal peace and justice are the goals of man, and the prophets have faith that in spite of all errors and sins, eventually this "end of days" will arrive, symbolized by the figure of the Messiah.

The prophetic concept was a historical one, a state of perfection to be realized by man within historical time. Christianity transformed this concept in to a trans-historical, purely spiritual one, yet did not give up the idea of the connection between moral norms and politics. The Christian thinkers of the late Middle Ages emphasized that although the "Kingdom of God" was not within historical time, the social order must correspond to and realize the spiritual principles of Christianity. The Christian sect before and after Reformation emphasized these demands in more urgent, more active, more revolutionary ways. With the breakup of the medieval world, man's sense of strength, and his hope, not only for individual but for social perfection, assumed new strength and took new ways.

One of the most important ones is a new form of writing which developed since the Renaissance, the first expression of which Thomas More's Utopia (literally: "Nowhere"), a name which has then generically applied to other similar works. Thomas More's Utopia combined a most penetrating criticism of his own society, its irrationality and its injustice, with the picture of a society which, though perhaps not perfect, had solved most of the human problems which sounded insoluble to his own contemporaries. What characterizes Thomas More's Utopia, and all the others, is that they do not speak in general terms of principles, but give an imaginative picture of the concrete details of a society which corresponds to the deepest longings of man. In contrast to prophetic thought, these perfect societies are not at "the end of the days" but exist already - though in a geographic distance rather than in the distance of time.

Thomas More's Utopia was followed by two others, the Italian friar Campanella's City of the Sun, and the German humanist Andreae's Christianopolis, the latter being the most modern of the three. There are differences in viewpoint and in originality in this trilogy of utopias, yet the differences are minor in comparison with what they have in common. Utopias were written from then on for several hundred years, until the beginning of the twentieth century. The latest and most influential utopia was Edward Bellamy's Looking Backward, published in 1888. Aside from Uncle Tom’s Cabin and Ben Hur, it was undoubtedly the most popular book at the turn of the
century, printed in many millions of copies in the United States, translated into over twenty
languages. Bellamy's utopia is part of the great American tradition as expressed in the thinking
of Whitman, Thoreau, and Emmerson. It is the American version of the ideas which at that time
found their most forceful expression in the socialist movement in Europe.

This hope for man's individual and social perfectibility, which in philosophical and
anthropological terms was clearly expressed in the writings of the Enlightenment philosophers of
the eighteenth century and of the socialist thinkers of the nineteenth, remained unchanged until
after the First World War. This war, in which millions died for the territorial ambitions of the
European powers, although under the illusion of fighting for peace and democracy, was the
beginning of that development which tended in a relatively short time to destroy a two-thousand-
year-old Western tradition of hope and transform it into a mood of despair. The moral
callousness of the First World War was on the beginning. Other events followed: the betrayal of
the socialist hopes by Stalin's reactionary state capitalism; the severe economic crisis at the end
of the twenties; the victory of barbarism in one of the oldest centers of culture in the world -
Germany, the insanity of Stalinist terror during the thirties; the Second World War, in which all
the fighting nations lost some of the moral considerations which had still existed in the First
World War; the unlimited destruction of civilian populations, started by Hitler and continued by
the even more complete destruction of cities such as Hamburg and Dresden and Tokyo, and
eventually the use of atomic bombs against Japan. Since then the human race has been
confronted with an even greater danger - that of the destruction of our civilization, if not all of
mankind, by thermonuclear weapons as they exist today and as they are being developed in
increasingly frightful proportions.

Most people, however, are not consciously aware of this threat and of their own hopelessness.
Some believe that just because modern warfare is so destructive, war is impossible; others
declare that even if sixty or seventy million Americans were killed in the first one or two days of
a nuclear war, there is no reason to believe that life would not go on as before after the first
shock has been overcome. It is precisely the significance of Orwell's book that it expresses the
new mood of hopelessness which pervades our age before the mood has become manifest and
taken hold of the consciousness of people.

Orwell is not alone in this endeavor. Two other writers, the Russian Zamyatin in his book We,
and Aldous Huxley in his Brave New World, have expressed the mood of the present, and a
warning for the future, in ways very similar to Orwell's. This new trilogy of what may be called
the "negative utopias" of the middle of the twentieth century is the counterpoint to the trilogy of
the positive utopias mentioned before, written in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. The
negative utopias express the mood of powerlessness and hopelessness of modern man just as the
early utopias expressed the mood of self-confidence and hope of post-medieval man. There could
be nothing more paradoxical in historical terms than this change: man, at the beginning of the
industrial age, when in reality he did not possess the means for a world in which the table was
set for all who wanted to eat, when he lived in a world in which there were economic reasons for
slavery, war, and exploitation, in which man only sensed the possibilities of his new science and
of its application to technique and to production - nevertheless man at the beginning of modern
development was full of hope. Four hundred year later, when all these hopes are realizable,
when man can produce enough for everybody, when war has become unnecessary because
technical progress can give any country more wealth than can territorial conquest, when this
globe is in the process of becoming as unified as a continent was four hundred years ago, at the
very moment when man is on the verge of realizing his hope, he begins to lose it. It is the
essential point of all the three negative utopias not only to describe the future toward which we
are moving, but also to explain the historical paradox.

The three negative utopias differ from each other in detail and emphasis. Zamyatin's We, written
in the twenties, has more features in common with 1984 than with Huxley's Brave New World.
We and 1984 both depict the completely bureaucratized society, in which man is a number and
loses all sense of individuality. This is brought about by a mixture of unlimited terror (in
Zamyatin's book a brain operation is added eventually which changes man even physically)
combined with ideological and psychological manipulation. In Huxley's work the main tool for
turning a man into an automaton is the application of hypnoid mass suggestion, which allows
dispensing with terror. One can say that Zamyatin's and Orwell's examples resemble more the
Stalinist and Nazi dictatorships, while Huxley's Brave New World is a picture of the development
of the Western industrial world, provided it continues to follow the present trend without
fundamental change.

In spite of this difference there is one basic question common to the three negative utopias. The
question is a philosophical, anthropological and psychological one, and perhaps also a religious
one. It is: can human nature be changed in such a way that man will forget his longing for
freedom for dignity, for integrity, for love - that is to say, can man forget that he is human? Or
does human nature have a dynamism which will react to the violation of these basic human
needs by attempting to change an inhuman society into a human one? It must be noted that the
three authors do not take the simple position of psychological relativism which is common to so
many social scientists today: they do not start out with the assumption that there is no such thing
as human nature; that there is no such thing as qualities essential to man; and that man is born
as nothing but a blank sheet of paper on which any given society writes its text. They do assume
that man has an intense striving for love, for justice, for truth, for solidarity, and in this respect
they are quite different from the relativists. In fact, they affirm the strength and intensity of these
human strivings by the description of the very means they present as being necessary to destroy
them. In Zamatin's We a brain operation similar to a lobotomy is necessary to get rid of the
human demands of human nature. In Huxley's Brave New World artificial biological selection
and drugs are necessary, and in Orwell's 1984 it is the completely unlimited use of torture and
brainwashing. None of the three authors can be accused of the thought that the destruction of the
humanity within man is easy. Yet all three arrive at the same conclusion: that it is possible, with
means and techniques which are common knowledge today.

In spite of many similarities to Zamyatin's book, Orwell's 1984 makes its own original
contribution to the question, How can human nature be changed? I want to speak now about
some of the more specifically "Orwellian" concepts.

The contribution of Orwell which is most immediately relevant for the year 1961 and for the next
five to fifteen is the connection he makes between the dictorial society of 1984 and atomic war.
Atomic wars had first appeared as early as the forties; a large-scale atomic war broke out about
ten years later, as some hundreds of bombs were dropped on industrial centers in European
Russia, Western Europe, and North America. After this war, the governments of all countries became convinced that the continuation of war would mean the end of organized society, and hence of their own power. For these reasons no more bombs were dropped, and the three existing big power blocs "merely continued to produce atomic bombs and stored them up against the decisive opportunity which they all believe will come sooner or later." it remains the aim of the ruling party to discover how "to kill several hundred million people in a few seconds without giving warning beforehand." Orwell wrote 1984 before the discovery of thermonuclear weapons, and it is on a historical footnote to say that in the fifties the very aim which was just mentioned had already been reached. The atomic bomb which was dropped on the Japanese cities seems small and ineffective when compared with the mass slaughter which can be achieved by thermonuclear weapons with the capacity to wipe out 90 per cent or 100 per cent of a country's population within minutes.

The importance of Orwell's concept of war lies in a number of very keen observations.

First of all, he shows the economic significance of continuous arms production, without which the economic system cannot function. Furthermore, he gives an impressive picture of how a society must develop which is constantly preparing for war, constantly afraid of being attacked, and preparing to find the means of complete annihilation of its opponents. Orwell's picture is so pertinent because it offers a telling argument against the popular idea that we can save freedom and democracy by continuing the arms race and finding a "stable" deterrent. This soothing picture ignores the fact that with the increasing technical "progress" (which creates entirely new weapons about every 5 years, and will soon permit the development of 100 or 1000 instead of 10 megaton bombs), the whole society will be forced to live underground, but that the destructive strength of thermonuclear bombs will always remain greater than the depth of the caves, that the military will become dominant (in fact, if not in law), that fright and hatred of a possible aggressor will destroy the basic attitudes of a democratic, humanistic society. In other words, the continued arms race, even if it would not lead to the outbreak of a thermonuclear war, would lead to the destruction of those qualities of our society which can be called "democratic," "free," or "in the American tradition." Orwell demonstrates the illusion of the assumption that democracy can continue to exist in a world preparing for nuclear war, and he does so imaginatively and brilliantly.

Another important aspect is Orwell's description of the nature of truth, which on the surface is a picture of Stalin's treatment of truth, especially in the thirties. But anyone who sees in Orwell's description only another denunciation of Stalinism is missing an essential element of Orwell's analysis. He is actually talking about a development which is taking place in the Western industrial countries also, only at a slower pace than it is taking place in Russia and China. The basic question which Orwell raises is whether there is any such thing as "truth," "reality," so the ruling party holds, "is not external. Reality exists in the human mind and nowhere else . . . whatever the Party holds to be truth is the truth." If this is so, then by controlling men's minds the Party controls truth. In a dramatic conversation between the protagonist of the Party and the beaten rebel, a conversation between the Inquisitor and Jesus, the basic principles of the Party are explained. In contrast to the Inquisitor, however, the leaders of the Party do not even pretend that their system is intended to make man happier, because men, being frail and cowardly creatures, want to escape from freedom and are unable to face the truth. The leaders are aware
of the fact that they themselves have only one aim, and that is power. To them "power is not a means; it is an end. And power means the capacity to inflict unlimited pain and suffering to another human being."3 Power, then, for them creates reality, it creates truth. The position which Orwell attributes here to the power elite can be said to be an extreme form of the philosophical idealism, but it more to the point to recognize that the concept of truth and reality which exists in 1984 is an extreme form of pragmatism in which truth becomes subordinated to the Party. An American writer, Alan Harrington, who in Life in the Crystal Palace4 gives a subtle and penetrating picture of life in a big American corporation, has coined an excellent expression for the contemporary concept of truth: "mobile truth." If I work for a big corporation which claims that its product is better than that of all competitors, the question whether this claim is justified or not in terms of ascertainable reality becomes irrelevant. What matters is that as long as I serve this particular corporation, this claim becomes "my" truth, and I decline to examine whether it is an objectively valid truth. In fact, if I change my job and move over to the competitor, I shall accept the new truth, that its product is the best, and subjectively speaking, this new truth will be as true as the old one. It is one of the most characteristic and destructive developments of our own society that man, becoming more and more of an instrument, transforms reality more and more into something relative to his own interests and functions. Truth is proven by the consensus of millions; to the slogan "how can millions be wrong" is added "and how can a minority of one be right." Orwell shows quite clearly that in a system in which the concept of truth as an objective judgement concerning reality is abolished, anyone who is a minority of one must be convinced that he is insane.

In describing the kind of thinking which is dominant in 1984, Orwell has coined a word which has already become part of the modern vocabulary: "doublethink." "Doublethink means the power of holding two contradictory belief’s in one’s mind simultaneously, and accepting both of them. . . . This process has to be conscious, or it would both be carried out with sufficient precision. But it also has to be unconscious, or it would bring with it a feeling of falsity and hence of guilt." It is precisely the unconscious aspect of doublethink which will seduce many a reader of 1984 into believing that the method of doublethink is employed by the Russian and the Chinese, while it is something quite foreign to himself. This, however, is an illusion, as a few examples can demonstrate. We in the West speak of the "free world," in which we include not only systems like those of the United States and England, which are based on free elections and freedom of expression, but we include also South American dictatorships (at least we did as long as they existed); we also include various forms of dictatorships like those of Franco and Salazar, and those in South Africa, Pakistan, and Abyssinia. While we speak about the free world, we actually mean all those states which are against Russia and China and not at all, as the words would indicate, states which have political freedom. Another contemporary example of holding two contradictory beliefs in one’s mind simultaneously and accepting them can be found in our discussion about armament. We spend a considerable part of our income and energy in building thermonuclear weapons, and close our minds to the fact that they might go off and destroy one third or one half or most of our population (and that of our enemy). Some go even further; thus Herman Kahn, one of the most influential writers on atomic strategy today, states, "... in other words war is horrible, there is no question about it, but so is peace, and it is proper with the kind of calculations we are making today to compare the horror of war and the horror of peace, and see how much worse it is." Kahn assumes that thermonuclear war might mean the destruction of sixty million Americans, and yet he finds that even in such a case "the country would recover
rather rapidly and effectively," and that "normal and happy lives for the majority of the survivors and their descendants" would not be precluded by the tragedy of thermonuclear war. This view holds: a) that we prepare for war in order to preserve peace, b) that even if war breaks out and the Russians kill one third of our population and we do the same to them (and if we can, of course, more) still, people will live happy lives afterwards, c) that not only war but also peace is horrible, and it is necessary to examine how much more horrible war is than peace. People who accept this kind of reasoning call it "sober"; those who doubt that if two million or sixty million died it would leave America essentially untouched are not "sober"; those who point to the political and psychological and moral consequences of such destruction are called "unrealistic."

While this is not the pace for a lengthy discussion on the problem of disarmament, these examples must be given in order to make the point which is essential for the understanding of Orwell's book, namely that "doublethink" is already with us, and not merely something which will happen in the future, and in dictatorships.

Another important point in Orwell's discussion is closely related to "doublethink," namely that in a successful manipulation of the mind the person is no longer saying the opposite of what he thinks, but he thinks the opposite of what is true. Thus, for instance, if he has surrendered his independence and his integrity completely, if he experiences himself as a thing which belongs either to the state, the party or the corporation, then two plus two are five, or "Slavery is Freedom," and he feels free because there is no longer any awareness of the discrepancy between truth and falsehood. Specifically, this applies to ideologies. Just as the Inquisitors who tortured their prisoners believed that they acted in the name of Christian love, the party "rejects and vilifies every principle for which the socialist movement originally stood, and it chooses to this in the name of socialism." Its content is reversed into its opposite, and yet people believe that the ideology means what it says. In this respect Orwell quite obviously refers to the falsification of socialism by Russian communism, but it must be added that the West is also guilty of a similar falsification. We present our society as being one of free initiative, individualism and idealism, when in reality these are mostly words. We are a centralized managerial society, of an essentially bureaucratic nature, and motivated by a materialism which is only slightly mitigated by truly spiritual or religious concerns. Related to this is another example of "doublethink," namely that few writers, discussing atomic strategy, stumble over the fact that killing, from a Christian standpoint, is an evil or more evil than being killed. The reader will find many other features of our present Western society in Orwell's description in 1984, provided he can overcome enough of his own "doublethink."

Certainly Orwell's picture is exceedingly depressing, especially if one recognizes that as Orwell himself points out, it is not only a picture of an enemy but of the whole human race at the end of the twentieth century. One can react to this picture in two ways: either by becoming more hopeless and resigned, or by feeling there is still time, and by responding with greater clarity and greater courage. All three negative utopias make it appear that it is possible to dehumanize man completely, and yet for life to go on. One might doubt the correctness of this assumption, and think that while it might be possible to destroy the human core of man, one would also in doing this destroy the future of mankind. Such men would be so truly inhuman and lacking in vitality that they would destroy each other, or die out of sheer boredom and anxiety. If the world
of 1984 is going to be the dominant form of life on this globe, it will mean a world of madmen, and hence not a viable world (Orwell indicates this very subtly by pointing to the mad gleam in the Party leader's eyes). I am sure that neither Orwell nor Huxley nor Zamyatin wanted to insist that this world of insanity is bound to come. On the contrary, it was quite obviously their intention to sound a warning by showing where we are headed for unless we succeed in a renaissance of the spirit of humanism and dignity which is at the very root of Occidental culture. Orwell, as well as the two other authors, is simply implying that the new form of managerial industrialism, in which man builds machines which act like men and develops men who act like machines, is conducive to an era of dehumanization and complete alienation, in which men are transformed into things and become appendices to the process of production and consumption. All three authors imply that this danger exists not only in communism of the Russian or Chinese version, but that it is a danger inherent in the modern mode of production and organization, and relatively independent of the various ideologies. Orwell, like the authors of the other negative utopias, is not a prophet of disaster. He wants to warn and to awaken us. He still hopes - but in contrast to the writers of the utopias in the earlier phase of Western society, his hope is a desperate one. The hope can be realized only by recognizing, so 1984 teaches us, the danger with which all men are confronted today, the danger of a society of automatons who have lost every trace of the individuality, of love, of critical thought, and yet who will not be aware of it because of "doublethink." Books like Orwell's are powerful warnings, and it would be most unfortunate if the reader smugly interpreted 1984 as another description of Stalinist barbarism, and of he does not see that it means us, too.

Erich Fromm
March 6th:

How does a society or civilization collapse? It collapses in stages. According to All Self Sustained (2016), the five stages are as follows:

1. The decay begins with a redistribution of wealth which decreases productivity and increases dependence on government entitlement and welfare programs. Primary assets are career, home value, savings, investments, and health insurance.

2. The slippery slope is reflected by an economy that is in decline, while real unemployment (e.g., people not working) is on the rise, with more and more people receiving government assistance. Government spending increases automatically. Commodities and inflation significantly increase. Primary assets include cash, precious metals, job stability, elimination of debt and health insurance.

3. The collapse of the economy becomes self-evident, with the government implementing price controls. Shortages of necessities become commonplace, with many people homeless and going hungry. Cities and urban areas become very dangerous places to live. Primary assets include gold and silver coins, cash, a job, an automobile and a home.

4. Inflation is out of control and the society falls into chaos. Industrialized nations become third world countries. Primary assets include relocation to the rural hideaway, firearms and ammunition, long term food supplies, adequate fuel stores, and a security plan to protect the group and assets.

5. The nation implements martial law, and fighting between civilians and government forces break out nationwide. Severe poverty and starvation become a common fixture of society. A totalitarian regime assumes power and individual freedoms and liberties once enjoyed by the people are completely eliminated. Primary assets include a rural hideaway, a security plan to protect the group and assets, living below the radar in a community of like-minded people, firearms and the ability to use them, and a guard dog for security.

Dmitry Orlov (2008) experienced the collapse of the Soviet Union and shares the following five stages of collapse from his perspective:

Stage 1: Financial collapse. Faith in "business as usual" is lost. The future is no longer assumed resemble the past in any way that allows risk to be assessed and financial assets to be guaranteed. Financial institutions become insolvent; savings are wiped out, and access to capital is lost.

Stage 2: Commercial collapse. Faith that "the market shall provide" is lost. Money is devalued and/or becomes scarce, commodities are hoarded, import and retail chains break down, and widespread shortages of survival necessities become the norm.

Stage 3: Political collapse. Faith that "the government will take care of you" is lost. As official attempts to mitigate widespread loss of access to commercial sources of survival necessities fail to make a difference, the political establishment loses legitimacy and relevance.

Stage 4: Social collapse. Faith that "your people will take care of you" is lost, as local social institutions, be they charities or other groups that rush in to fill the power vacuum run out of resources or fail through internal conflict.
Stage 5: Cultural collapse. Faith in the goodness of humanity is lost. People lose their capacity for "kindness, generosity, consideration, affection, honesty, hospitality, compassion, charity" (Turnbull, The Mountain People). Families disband and compete as individuals for scarce resources. The new motto becomes "May you die today so that I die tomorrow" (Solzhenitsyn, The Gulag Archipelago). There may even be some cannibalism.

According to Haughian (2016), “all economic growth depends on energy gain,” and that “the underlying nature of Capitalism is cyclical.” Khan (2016) presents the findings of Credit Suisse, which states that “a recession in Europe could lead to the collapse of the eurozone, as the single currency would buckle under the political turmoil unleashed by a fresh downturn.” As a result of stagnant energy production and consumption, global GDP has flatlined, while debt obligations continue to increase. William Murray, chairman of the Religious Freedom Coalition, concluded that Obama’s ideals of creating a “system that would care for all people in the country” will result in disaster, and “whenever you have these utopian concepts that eventually they all deteriorate into totalitarianism” (Bremmer, 2016).

What does this have to do with nuclear nonproliferation? What happened to the nuclear weapons in the former Soviet Union? Their nuclear stockpiles declined from over 40,000 nuclear weapons in the 1980s to approximately 5,000 warheads today. However, the world is facing unprecedented instability with nuclear weapons states unwilling or unable to use their nuclear weapons stockpiles strictly as a deterrent. According to the Korea Herald (2016), North Korea refuses to denuclearize before holding discussion to sign a peace treaty. “Convincing North Korea to give up its nuclear arms is “all but impossible” despite the efforts of the international community, one of South Korea’s former top spies has said” (Power, 2016). The risk of a nuclear event as economies crumble and tensions in various regions around the world escalate is considerably higher than at virtually any point in the past. Unless world leaders are willing to pre-emptively disband their nuclear weapons stockpiles, there will be a nuclear event. Since they are unwilling to do so, the author predicted that there will be a nuclear event as early as September 11, 2016. One purpose of this book is to show how obvious this conclusion was over six months before geopolitical tensions escalated to a point where the use of nuclear weapons against the United States became more likely than not.
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March 7th:

Our political system is broken – another indicator that the United States is poorly positioned to lead the campaign against nuclear proliferation. The two leading candidates are Hillary Clinton and Donald Trump. Let’s take a moment to consider the two most likely candidates that Americans would be voting for in November, assuming of course that voting stations are open for business on Tuesday, November 8, and how these candidates are likely to weigh in on nuclear issues.

Elliot (2015) stated the following regarding Clinton’s position on nuclear weapons:

*Hillary Clinton responded - briefly - to questions about nuclear weapons modernization and the military industrial complex. She agreed we have to find a way to rid the world of nuclear weapons and said she would start a high-level commission to study how to make weapons procurement decisions more resistant to the political influence of weapons makers.*

Let’s assume that that statement translates to a willingness to support global denuclearization. Now onto Trump. Berney (2015) provided the following information from a recent Republican debate with host Hew Hewitt starting out with the following statement and question for Trump:

"Mr. Trump, Dr. Carson just referenced the single most important job of the president, the command, the control and the care of our nuclear forces. And he mentioned the triad. The B-52s are older than I am. The missiles are old. The submarines are aging out. It's an executive order. It's a commander-in-chief decision. "What's your priority among our nuclear triad?"

Trump responded with the following statements:

"Well, first of all, I think we need somebody absolutely that we can trust, who is totally responsible, who really knows what he or she is doing. That is so powerful and so important."

"And one of the things that I'm frankly most proud of is that in 2003, 2004, I was totally against going into Iraq because you're going to destabilize the Middle East. I called it. I called it very strongly. And it was very important."

"But we have to be extremely vigilant and extremely careful when it comes to nuclear. Nuclear changes the whole ballgame. Frankly, I would have said get out of Syria; get out – if we didn't have the power of weaponry today. The power is so massive that we can't just leave areas that 50 years ago or 75 years ago we wouldn't care. It was hand-to-hand combat."

"The biggest problem this world has today is not President Obama with global warming, which is inconceivable, this is what he's saying. The biggest problem we have is nuclear – nuclear proliferation and having some maniac, having some madman go out and get a nuclear weapon. That's in my opinion, that is the single biggest problem that our country faces right now."

Hewitt: "Of the three legs of the triad, though, do you have a priority? I want to go to Sen. Rubio after that and ask him."
Trump: "I think – I think, for me, nuclear is just the power, the devastation is very important to me."

One can easily relate to the position by GOP national security leaders that believe Trump is “utterly unfitted to the office,” according to War on the Rocks (2016).

So Americans have to choose between someone who is about to be indicted due to egregious negligent handling of classified information and attempts to cover it up, and someone who has no idea what he will with thousands of nuclear weapons if elected President (or assassinated before ever reaching the Oval Office…). Please don’t assassinate Trump…let him self-destruct the old fashioned way.

The United States just became the scariest nation on the planet, at least to this American.
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March 8th:

Today’s world has the North Korean leader “preparing nuclear warheads for use at any moment” (Durden, 2016), while the United States has sent a carrier strike group to match China’s military buildup in the South China Sea (Larter, 2016). “Pakistan's finance minister on Thursday said that his country will never roll back its nuclear programme despite financial hardship and threat of mounting external debt,” according to DNA India (2016). This confirms the tit-for-tat escalation in Southeast Asia, mirroring an uptick in military operations in the Middle East, with the nuclear stalemate in South Asia firmly entrenched between Pakistan and India. These hostilities confirm that there is an increasing likelihood of a nuclear event somewhere in the world before a peaceful resolution to these conflicts is brokered by the United Nations Security Council and General Assembly. The Bulletin of Atomic Scientists were lulled into believing that the Iranian nuclear deal made the world safer than last year, and kept the Doomsday Clock at three minutes to midnight as a result, up from five minutes to midnight the previous year (Wagner, 2016). The Doomsday Clock should have been moved from three minutes to midnight to one minute to midnight,

Once we have traversed the valley of the shadow of death in these regions, consider how the Korean peninsula will be reintegrated and how the comprehensive Middle East peace agreement will be implemented after the War of 2016-17. North Korea will collapse, allowing for the reintegration of North and South Korea. Israel will still exist, with border likely to reflect the 1967 Lines. “These refer to the armistice lines from before the Six Day War, when Israel captured the Gaza Strip from Egypt and the West Bank and East Jerusalem from Jordan, among other land, expanding its territory beyond the ‘Green Line’ borders delineated by a 1949 armistice between Israel and its Arab neighbors” (Friedman, 2011).

The world is about to drastically change for the worse, then for the better. Nuclear weapons will be banned globally after the War of 2016-17. Everything will be different by the end of 2017, and the world will be completely transformed by 2020. Global governance over finance and nations’ affairs will replace the current world order of separate currencies and national sovereignty. Centralizing control in the hands of a few is the ultimately corrupting force, but the solution that will be embraced by the international community. Don’t expect the new world order to end well…for anyone. The new world order is nothing more than a metastable, transitory state that will last no more than a few years.
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**March 9th:**

Today, Vice President and a behind-the-scenes Presidential candidate Joe Biden visited Israel (DEBKAfile, 2016). He likely gave Prime Minister Netanyahu an ultimatum: either accept a two-state solution and billions in annual funding from the United States for military expenditures or take your chances going it alone. Netanyahu probably has a much greater appreciation for Donald Trump’s circumstances.

Knowing how Israeli politics works, Netanyahu will agree to disagree. A few weeks will go by and conflict between Israel and its hostile neighbors will break out. The conflict will continue to escalate while domestic ISIS attacks in the United States and Europe trigger a modest military contingent of U.S. and European troops into Syria. After attempting to “liberate” the region of ISIS, the West will quickly realize that the agreements in place turn out to be little more than a setup by the region’s Muslim nations. The Western military contingent will have to pull back (e.g., retreat because they lost…), and in so doing empower Muslims throughout the region to rise up against Israel.

Don’t be even remotely surprised if that is the script unfold in 2016. Obama may be bucking for the top spot at the United Nations with this “deal Israel can’t refuse.” In attempting to seal the deal with the United Nations by forcing Israel to accept a two-state solution and permanently divide Jerusalem (Snyder, 2016), Obama will have inadvertently sealed the fate of the United States and the rest of the world.
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March 10th:

"Iran test-launched two ballistic missiles Wednesday emblazoned with the phrase 'Israel must be wiped out' in Hebrew, Iranian media reported, in a show of power by the Shiite nation as U.S. Vice President Joe Biden's visited Jerusalem" (Gambrell, 2016).

The Iran nuclear "deal" is dead...its purpose was to secure funding to prop up Iran's economy and continue moving forward with its military projects, to include its nuclear program. The United States should have known better...

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Nw9eV6K_yHg

Too bad lots of famous people as shown in the video linked above also thought the Iran nuclear deal was a good idea. Now we know what the road to hell is paved with. The United States supported "diplomacy". So now what?...

WAR.

There was never really any other alternative.

Keep your eye on Israel. Whatever happens to Israel will happen to the United States.
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March 11th:

After returning from a discussion on the Middle East in Washington, D.C., the conclusion was clear: there is no viable way ahead strategy to denuclearize the region. Nuclear weapons are a destabilizing factor in the Middle East. Until the region eliminates the threat of nuclear weapons, there will be a continued buildup of conventional and nuclear weapons capabilities in the Middle East. South Asia and Southeast Asia are following suit, while both Russia and the United States modernize their nuclear weapons stockpiles.

How does one justify sitting around and waiting for such an unfavorable outcome to manifest? Well, one approach is to document events as they unfold while seeking possible solutions. Another option is to pitch a movie or TV show that would bring the message of denuclearization to the public. Lastly, one could simply take necessary preparations for one's family to face the impact of a nuclear event on the global financial system and society-at-large. Most people simply ignore the problem and focus on other things. By exercising the first three alternatives, an acceptable way ahead strategy is embraced. Yes, it’s a continual balancing act in a world full of topsiders who willfully ignore most of what is happening around them.

Speaking of balancing acts, both the European Union and China have announced quantitative easing initiatives by their respective central banks. Quantitative easing measures will be expanded from €60 billion to €80 billion in Europe according to the National Inflation Association (2016). “China’s central bank is preparing regulations that would allow commercial banks to swap non-performing loans of companies for stakes in those firms”, an amount likely to total trillions of dollars (Durden, 2016). Eventually, fiat currencies will become significantly devalued relative to goods and services, increasing the likelihood of domestic uprisings. As domestic uprisings become more of an existential threat to countries, the likelihood of conflicts escalating also increases, which in turn increases the likelihood of the use of nuclear weapons in those conflicts.

Perhaps this is why Harold Waldwin Percival (1952) presented the following dedication in his book, Democracy Is Self-Government:

Dedicated with Love to the Conscious Self in every human body; and, with the hope that in becoming individually self-governed the people will establish Democracy as Self-Government in the United States of America.

The accomplishment of this hope will prevent the almost certain destruction of this civilization.

References:


March 12th:

On September 11, 2001, almost 15 years ago, al Qaeda attacked the two largest buildings in New York City, World Trade Centers 1 and 2, as well as the largest building in the National Capitol Region, the Pentagon. Since that time, innumerable people have analyzed the attacks and attempted to address how our nation could have been so vulnerable to a seemingly inconsequential group of terrorists headquartered in Afghanistan. Ongoing discussions about the 9/11 attacks have resulted in a complete realignment in our nation’s approach to protecting U.S. national security interests. Whether these changes have been effective or not will be discussed, and recommendations to further adapt the Intelligence Community to the evolving threats posed by transnational and state-sponsored terrorism will be presented individually throughout the paper.

The success and magnitude of the 9/11 attacks required direct and/or indirect state sponsorship. Saudi Arabia was likely complicit in the attacks at some level, though specifics of Saudi involvement remain classified (Chossudovsky, 2015). The 9/11 attacks are often compared to what transpired in December 7, 1945, when Japan attacked Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. Borch (2003) concluded that “the American commanders in Hawaii in 1941 had sufficient information justifying a higher state of vigilance, while those safeguarding U.S. airlines, the World Trade Center, and the Pentagon did not” (p. 846). While Borch did delve deeper into the specifics of the two events, his conclusion that there was insufficient information available to prevent the 9/11 attacks is somewhat misleading. For example, “the FBI had 12 known chances to follow leads that hinted at impending disaster” (Zegart, 2007, p. 180). The primary issue was the inability of the FBI and other government agencies and offices to fully leverage leads that when considered holistically could have led to appropriate conclusions by leadership, and timely and effective intervention by the FBI and local law enforcement. Communications between law enforcement, and other local, state and federal personnel were simply inadequate to prevent the 9/11 attacks.

During World War II, “Japan’s attack was a military attack by naval aviators upon military targets; al Qaeda’s attack was a criminal act carried out by criminals on essentially civilian targets” (Borch, 2003, p. 846). This statement suggests that state sponsors such as Saudi Arabia had no vested interest or direct involvement in supporting the terrorist operations that ultimately led to attacks on the Pentagon and World Trade Centers on September 11, 2001. The attacks on World Trade Centers 1 and 2 could have resulted in a collapse of the U.S. stock markets, and perhaps the world’s stock markets that are most closely tied to the U.S. financial system, forcing central banks to literally reset the entire global financial system. By contrast, the Pentagon was strictly a military target, though fewer people died from the Pentagon attack than the World Trade Center attacks. If the 9/11 attacks were strictly a result of failing to protect civilian targets, then the Pentagon attack would have failed. Both attacks were successful.

Regarding the Pearl Harbor attack, “unpreparedness meant an inadequate defense, while unpreparedness on 11 September meant a failure to prevent” (Borch, 2003, p. 860). Actually, there was a training exercise that committed military resources away from intervening in the 9/11 attacks, negating the effectiveness of preventive measures. Credit for the fourth airliner that crashed in Pennsylvania was attributed at the unclassified level by the government to intervention by civilian personnel who were onboard the airplane. Therefore, any military intervention that could have resulted in neutralizing the threat posed by the fourth airliner
remains classified, so the author will present recommendations based upon the assumption that no direct military intervention thwarted the fourth airliner from reaching its target on September 11.

Goodman (2006) summarized that “just as intelligence was divided and diffuse on the eve of Pearl Harbor, there was no genuine intelligence community on the eve of September 11, 2001” (p. 59). Divided and diffuse are two appropriate descriptors when applied to the Intelligence Community during both Pearl Harbor and the 9/11 attacks. The military was unable to intervene in a timely manner in D.C. and New York City; and the FBI and CIA failed to thwart the 9/11 attacks before they happened. This failure prompted the creation of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). Since there has not been a repeat of anything on the order of magnitude of the 9/11 attacks in the United States, one could justify the conclusion that the creation of DHS has since provided an acceptable level of national security that has made another 9/11-magnitude attack unlikely. If the United States is attacked again in the future by terrorists, the attack(s) will likely constitute only small-scale attacks orchestrated by only a handful of people, unless there is state sponsorship of future terrorist attacks.

“The intelligence community, particularly the CIA, faces a situation comparable to that of 55 years ago, when President Harry S. Truman created the CIA and the National Security Council” (Goodman, 2006, p. 70). Goodman accurately assessed the situation, as DHS seems to be bogged down in bureaucracy and unable to communicate effectively with the public and the Interagency. Also, though no fault of DHS officials and personnel, black projects and a continued reliance on highly classified information make it impossible for black project program managers to communicate with each other consistently enough to ensure that their budgets remain intact in the process. The competition for funding leaves our nation vulnerable to more novel attacks that would require considerable coordination and communication between many government agencies and private industry to prevent.

“As in 1947-48, the international environment has been recast, the threats have been altered, and as a result the institutions created to fight the Cold War must be redesigned” (Goodman, 2006, p. 70). Transnational terrorism did not exist at the end of World War II when the Cold War began, nor was terrorism a major issue of concern for the United States until more recently when embassies and other strategic targets impacting U.S. national security interests were targeted by terrorists originating from the Middle East. With overlapping U.S. national security objectives that are impacted by ongoing military operations in the region, a better understanding the region and the role of Middle Eastern countries that overtly and/or covertly support terrorist activities must be developed.

A challenge to mastering the human domain in the Middle East is the language barrier. While the 9/11 attacks precipitated a drive to develop operatives that understand the native languages in the region, the Middle East remains a region that requires close coordination between the United States and other countries in the region. Since many of these countries are wary of U.S. intentions towards their country and the ultimately end state of U.S. involvement in the region, trust also serves as a barrier to developing a better understanding of how to counter the transnational terrorist threat.

Marrin (2011) concluded “that the 9/11 terrorist attacks were more a failure of policy than strategic intelligence analysis” (p. 182). That policy failure cost the United States a total approaching $2 trillion since the 9/11 attacks, when taking into account infrastructure damage,
cleanup costs, federal emergency funds, direct job losses and other revenue losses to the country, according to the Institute for the Analysis of Global Security (2004). Since 2001, policies have been adjusted to accommodate a greater focus on transnational terrorism. However, many of these policies assume that the United States will be poised to maintain dominance overseas through effective power projection, and will remain capable of liberating any country from terrorist operations. The fact that Daesh (ISIS/ISIL) remains in control of sizeable portions of Iraq and Syria suggests that current U.S. policies do not adequately take into account the threat posed in the Middle East and Northern Africa by Daesh, and are likely to create vulnerabilities in homeland security operations once Daesh operatives establish terrorist operations in the United States.

Marrin (2011) summarizes by stating that “in the end, it appears that the influence that intelligence analysis has on decision-making depends on the decision-makers, the resources available to them, the policy options they consider, and the political context that shapes the process” (p. 202). One cannot overemphasize the impact that political context has on decision-making processes in today’s bureaucratic environment by government officials. Morale at many government agencies is low because political influences do not fully support the agency’s mission. For example, funding shortfalls in border security have resulted in 300 fewer border patrol agents assigned to protect our nation’s borders in fiscal year 2017 (Thomas 2016). This comes at a time when DHS is struggling to stem the tide of illegal immigration and reduce illicit smuggling operations along the U.S.-Mexico border as tens of thousands of refugees from the Middle East flood European and the North American continents.

Along the U.S.-Canadian border, only 2,200 border agents are assigned, compared to ~18,000 agents along the U.S. Mexico border (Reid, 2015). While the border security with Canada may not seem as much of a concern as border patrol operations along the U.S.-Mexico border, there are up to 50,000 Syrian refugees relocating to Canada (Boutilier, 2016). If only one percent of those refugees are planted terrorist operatives — a conservative number — the United States and Canada could potentially face hundreds of terrorists this year, with little intelligence on the majority of them. If a transnational terrorist organization wanted to penetrate foreign countries with terrorist operatives, exploiting the refugee crisis would be the simplest, most obvious and most effective method, serving as a Trojan horse terrorist operation to bring attacks to the United States. How DHS and local law enforcement intend to counter this burgeoning threat remains to be seen, as the United States also intends to resettle 10,000 Syrian refugees in 2016, and a total of 85,000 by the time the Syrian refugee resettlement program is finished (Murphy, 2016).

While there was ample evidence of at least some of the 9/11 hijackers’ intentions to conduct terrorist operations prior to 9/11, Zegart (2007) stated that “none of this information was known before 9/11, however, because the FBI was not searching for it” (p. 165). “The Bureau’s internal structure was highly decentralized, with power concentrated in 56 local field offices,” a structure “well suited for prosecuting criminal cases” (Zegart, 2007, p. 167). Therefore, a restructuring was necessary prior to the attacks. Since there was insufficient impetus to realign the bureaucratic structure of the FBI with other intelligence agencies and operations prior to 2001, the 9/11 attacks transpired. Today, a different terrorist threat is emerging, with tens of thousands of Muslim refugees immigrating to the United States and Canada as a direct result of the ongoing conflicts in Syria and Iraq, and neither conflict is expected to end this year. According to Ernst (2015), over 100 thousand Syrians have become legal-permanent residents since 2012, with DHS unable to locate 122,000 people who have had U.S. visas revoked due to ties to terrorism. A
Pandora’s box has been opened allowing potential terrorist to migrate freely into and around the United States.

Zegart (2007) pointed out how a shift in “decision-maker action from ‘important’ to ‘urgent’ with the primary obstacle being the decision-maker’s own failure to act on the warning” needed to be taken into account (p. 202). If the massive influx of immigrants from war-torn regions that continue to serve as a hotbed for transnational terrorist groups does not promote a greater sense of urgency from DHS and the Interagency, as well as Congress, one should expect terrorist attacks in the United States on a scale large enough to elicit a military response in the Middle East and Northern Africa that will be comparable to the U.S. response to the 9/11 attacks. While President Obama is justifiably cautious about committing additional troops to the Middle East, once domestic terrorist attacks become commonplace in the United States and Europe, Obama will have little choice but to over-commit military assets to the Middle East, allowing other U.S. national security issues to fester, such as the situation in Southeast Asia between the United States and China, or the civil war in Ukraine.

Erik Dahl’s book Intelligence and Surprise Attack stated how the 9/11 attacks were consistent with other historic failures, and “that the key ingredients to success are tactical-level (specific) knowledge of enemy intent and decision-makers who are ‘receptive’ to the intelligence provided” (Brooks, 2013, p. 74). “He concludes that the problem underlying warning/intelligence failures has not been the lack of strategic intelligence or inability of analysts to ‘connect dots’” (Brooks, 2013, p. 75). Dahl’s assessment of the situation surrounding the 9/11 attacks is accurate, insofar as the problem lying outside the realm of strategic intelligence. Policy makers and decision makers failed to adapt in time to address the transnational terrorist threat. Today, a different set of policy failures is about to force President Obama’s hand. Daesh is heavily involved with human trafficking in Northern Africa, coordinating the influx of refugees from Syria and Northern Africa into Europe with smugglers who have brought in revenues estimated at $1 billion, according to DEBKAfile (2016). Similar human smuggling operations are overlapping with the influx of refugees into North America, with very little intervention by DHS.

Goodwin (2006) concludes that law enforcement agencies and other local government personnel and assets can win the war on terrorism (p. 71). “They will require intelligence collection and analysis from an intelligence community that must be rebuilt” (Goodwin, 2006, p. 71). Information sharing must become the mantra of the entire Intelligence Community to prevent another 9/11. Since there remain significant roadblocks to information sharing between agencies and offices involved with counterterrorism, it is unlikely that the United States will remain immune from large-scale transnational terrorist attacks. Either transnational terrorism will somehow be eliminated before the next major terrorist attack takes place on U.S. soil, or intelligence and other government agencies will find a way to work together more closely in order to bridge the growing intelligence gaps that remain a hindrance to U.S. counterterrorism operations.

Assuming that government agencies miraculously choose to share all available information on terrorist activities, the type of intelligence collected may not provide a clear enough picture for government personnel to respond to looming threats in a timely manner. Out of 227 terrorism cases examined by Brooks (2013), “in 108 one or another form of human intelligence (HUMINT) was the primary factor” (p. 75). The state of Israel has existed for less than 70 years,
and has been attacked innumerable times by its hostile neighbors. Their ability respond to the threat of terrorist and other large-scale attacks is impressive, mainly due to their ability to capture and successfully interrogate personnel belonging to terrorist organizations in the Middle East.

Interrogation is a sensitive topic for the Intelligence Community to address since terrorists are typically identified as belonging to the Muslim faith. Since the CIA’s mishandling of prisoners identified as terrorists overseas, a better example of how to properly hold and interrogate personnel must be considered by the U.S. Intelligence Community. The Israeli Human Intelligence Division is responsible for conducting interrogations of suspected terrorists. Typically, one Israeli operative will play the role of a fellow prisoner, while the operatives who are responsible for conducting the field investigations refrain from the use of force, instead using emotional intelligence and a command of the local Middle Eastern dialects used where a detainee originates (Shalom, 2014). Even during interrogation, bridge building is the best way ahead strategy.

While short-term relief is provided to Syrian refugees by relocating them to the United States, Canada and Europe, a long-term outlook suggest that these refugees will have a destabilizing effect on the Western countries that are accepting them. The process of accepting refugees is poorly executed in the United States, Canada and Europe. Domestic uprisings and economic disruptions will make it more difficult for the West to support operations overseas, to include peacekeeping operations. Therefore, the United Nations will become a more influential player in the most unstable regions of the world, once the current conflicts have run their course and the United States and other nations are unable to independently support military operations outside of their immediate borders. The United States must prepare for a seismic adjustment that will allow the United Nations to essentially replace the United States as the world’s primary peacekeeping body, to include the use of military force when necessary.

Rather than corralling Japanese Americans into internment camps during World War II, clandestine HUMINT operatives should have simply embedded themselves within their society more intimately, probing possible leads without having to ruin the lives of thousands of Japanese Americans in the process. Today, it is difficult to determine the extent to which the Intelligence Community is relying on HUMINT, as HUMINT collection operations are usually classified, and findings are normally not presented publicly. There is no way of knowing – at least not through unclassified channels – how robust or effective our nation’s HUMINT capabilities are today. The United States typically relies more on technological means of gathering information for intelligence purposes than other nations, since we have developed more advanced technologies for doing so than our adversaries, at least until recently.

Implementing recommendations on how to prevent another 9/11 magnitude terrorist attack at this late stage of the conflicts in the Middle East, Northern Africa, Ukraine and Southeast Asia are unlikely to have any significant impact on the final outcome of any of these conflicts, even if the United States began implementing recommended changes immediately, as bureaucracies take months to years to make significant changes to how business is conducted at home and abroad. One prime example to prove this point is how DHS was not formally established as a separate government agency and operational until March 2003 (DHS, 2015), a full 18 months after the 9/11 attacks.

Therefore, one must consider the likely context in which the U.S. Intelligence Community will find itself 18 months from today. The current world order will likely have had to address the
devolving situations in the Middle East, especially the Israeli-Palestinian issue, as well as rising tensions in the South China Sea between the United States and China, the stalemate in the Korean peninsula, the possibility of a failed state scenario in North Korea and Syria, the civil war in Ukraine, and the need to replace the current global financial system that is propped up with derivatives markets that are heavily impacted by interest rate swaps. In other words, the world is becoming too complex for current U.S. leadership to be able to address all of these issues until an inevitable process of geopolitical and economic devolution has transpired. The United States will likely face domestic uprisings over the next 18 months. How the government chooses to respond to internal domestic instability will dictate what type of government exists by the fall of 2017. Sheer political inertia does not bode well for the current political and economic systems that Americans identify with and depend upon for their livelihoods today.

While having to manage untenable situations around the world, the Intelligence Community must become more efficient at presenting timely intelligence to decision makers within both the government and private industry. The intelligence presented must provide leadership with a way ahead strategy that will allow for continuity of government, a term normally associated with scenarios involving large-scale disruptions such as nuclear war or natural disasters that could compromise critical infrastructure sectors for extended periods of time. Strategists must understand the holistic nature of current events. Failure to do so will provide decision makers with misguided intelligence that will direct leadership towards striving for more favorable outcomes that are simply not possible within the context of the nation’s situation at home and overseas.

The military will soon become overcommitted in the Middle East, while also having to contend with an increasingly influential Chinese regional hegemony that will be most acutely expressed in the South China Sea and possibly with Taiwan. China and Russia recognize that the United States can no longer serve as the world’s policeman, and as a result are becoming more aggressive within their respective spheres of influence. Transnational terrorism is increasing in terms of lethality and influence around the world, with tacit support from nations such as Turkey and Saudi Arabia (Duff, 2016), two alleged allies of the United States and Europe. “More than one source has confirmed that within hours Turkey’s air forces and major armored units would be obliterated by tactical nuclear weapons within minutes of what Russia would term a ‘redline violation’ by Turkey” (Duff, 2016). This course of action would further destabilizing the Middle East and require a direct response from both the United States and Europe since Turkey is a member of NATO. Any attack on a NATO member nation invokes the “alliance’s collective defense treaty” (Rachman, 2016).

A prime example of where U.N. peacekeeping forces are likely to replace U.S. military personnel is in the Middle East, especially in the dispute between the Israeli government and the leadership of the Palestinian territories. China is expected to become the dominant leader in Southeast Asia, and the Korean peninsula will eventually be reintegrated after the eventual collapse of the North Korean regime. While the United States will play a significant role in transitioning these regions from regions of conflict to more stable regions and cooperative regimes, the disproportionate wealth effect that dollar carry trade practices have had on the U.S. economy will come to an end once the wars in the Middle East and elsewhere have subsided, and inflation will have come full circle from the developing nations back to the United States.
Hetherington and Suhay (2011) found that Americans became “susceptible to ‘authoritarian thinking’” when their safety is threatened (p. 546). The United States will become primarily focused on maintaining a sense of national sovereignty as the nation’s ability to defend itself from external threats wanes. The U.S. Intelligence Community must prepare for this transition from a nation that regularly exercises power projection overseas to a nation struggling to maintain its identity and its borders. A more authoritarian government is likely to result from a heightened sense of personal and collective insecurity, similar to what transpired during World War II in Europe and Japan.
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March 13:
The Economist (2010) presented the following statement at the end of 2010: “The next round won't be focused on one theatre but rather will incorporate two or three,” said Israel's former head of intelligence, Amos Yadlin, in November 2010. “It will be much bigger, much wider in scope, and with many more casualties,” said Yadlin according to The Economist (2010). There is relatively little press on Israel's activities in the Middle East, in spite of having a civil war directly north of Israel in Syria and Lebanon, as well as in Iraq. While it may appear that Israel will not be pulled into the conflict, Daesh (ISIS/ISIL) leader continues to threaten Israel with attacks that will be tied to the Palestinian conflict according to Sputnik (2015).

Rounding up radicalized extremists in the region will not work, as that resulted in the radicalization of Daesh leader Abu Bakr Al Baghdadi and many others during their incarceration at Camp Bucca, Iraq after the 2003 Iraq War, with 90% of those detained having been arrested by mistake (McLaughlin, 2014). Every war in the Middle East radicalizes thousands of Muslims. There comes a point when these radicalized individuals will push for the elimination of Israel under the banner of a regional caliphate...at least, that is the plan of Daesh and al Qaeda.

The United States and Europe remain too strong for any hope that the Muslim world will defeat Israel. Something must serve to weaken the West enough to make Israel's defeat possible. However, Israel remains a nuclear weapons state, and will use these weapons if the regional conflict reaches a point when the Israelis believe that the conflict poses an existential threat to the nation. For now, fighting remains confined to the north and east of Israel. Something must change the dynamics of the current conflicts in the Middle East. For example, Hezbollah could serve as a catalyst for bringing Israel into the conflict.

Time will tell...and time is short. Keep your eye on Israel. That is the prize sought by many of the power brokers in the region and around the world, and Jerusalem is the crown jewel.

To the victors go the spoils of war.
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March 14th:

Today, my wife and I met with someone who brought up a topic that involved a dual U.S. and Russian operative who was numbered among a network of people who were involved with sidelining numerous advanced energy initiatives in the United States. Our support for advanced energy research began over twenty years ago, culminating in a last ditch attempt several years ago to end the embargo on advanced technologies and permanently eliminate our nation’s dependence on foreign energy resources before a final major war destroys our nation and our way of life. Instead, Americans have suffered through several wars in the Middle East over the last 15 years – wars that have adversely impacted U.S. national security interests and will inevitably destroy the ability of fiat currencies to serve as reliable stores of value, or as mediums of exchange within the current global financial system. U.S. national sovereignty will eventually become subordinate to a global government that will be beyond the reach of American voters. Will the use of nuclear weapons inadvertently precipitate this transition? We will know once the ongoing conflicts around the world reach their apex.

It is difficult (and unpleasant) to prepare for a second career – this time, a career involving global denuclearization – when the entire world is headed in the opposite direction towards another world war, with no firm date when the world will change course. It takes a great deal of faith to stay the course when no one around you sincerely believes in the same cause, at least not to the extent necessary to eliminate the threat posed by nuclear weapons in a timely manner. Also, this second career is supposed to somehow provide an income commensurate with my skills and educational background.

Just to remove any doubt, preparing for a second career and a third world war at the same time is not a fun process, but the desire to envision a world without nuclear weapons overrides any doubt that global denuclearization is the only route to follow now.
March 15th:

Former Attorney General of the United States, Eric Holder, made the following statement regarding the big banks, “I am concerned that the size of some of these institutions becomes so large that it does become difficult for us to prosecute them when we are hit with indications that if you do prosecute, if you do bring a criminal charge, it will have a negative impact on the national economy, perhaps even the world economy...and I think that is a function of the fact that some of these institutions have become too large” according to Sprott Money (2016). Since there is no legal impetus to intervene in central banking activities, these large central banks will continue to dictate monetary policy to the governments that use the fiat currencies controlled by central banks. In other words, nothing will change until the system is reset...the entire global financial system.

On November 6, 2011, Kyle Bass, Founder and Principal of Hayman Capital Management, publicly stated the following: “How to you solve a problem when you’re running a 10% fiscal deficit? You’re not going to get growth in the absence of private sector credit demand; so the government’s idea is that we’re going to export our way out of this. When a senior member of the Obama administration (Timothy Geithner) was asked, ‘How are we going to grow exports if we won’t allow nominal wage deflation?’” (Hathaway, 2014, p. 52). That translates to an inevitable devaluation in the U.S. dollar, which in turn translates to an end to the dollar carry trade practices that have propped up the U.S. dollar over the last few decades. The ability to project power will drastically curtail to a point where the United States will become little more than a regional power in the Americas.

Currently, Russia is pulling some forces out of Syria, after neutralizing the threat to the Assad regime (Durden, 2016). This pullout will set the stage for a resurgence in anti-Assad regime activity by those supportive of Assad’s removal from power. Regardless of who is responsible for supporting which forces in Syria, the situation remains unresolved. As domestic terrorist attacks increase in frequency throughout Europe and the United States this summer, the stage is set for a joint U.S.-European contingent to enter Syria and Iraq with the intent to wipe out Daesh (ISIS/ISIL). However, the size of the contingent is not expected to be sufficient to accomplish the mission. As a result, the Muslim world will perceive the United States and Europe as too weak to stand up to them, further destabilizing the region and converging the various conflicts in the region in the process. Israel will side with Western forces, and will inevitably come under attack by its hostile neighbors. Specific play-by-play action in the Middle East is impossible to predict six months in advance. The situation in the Middle East will not improve while central banks continue to devalue currencies and push more debt onto the world, in order to prop up the global financial system a little while longer.

On the U.S. political front, Republican National Committeeman Haugland (2016) stated in an official letter to Republican National Committee members, “the convention rules of the Republican Party do not bind delegates to cast their votes according to the results of binding primaries. Therefore.... delegates to the 2016 convention are not bound.”

Something’s gotta give...and by this fall it will. Once that ‘something’ gives, the threat of nuclear weapons use increases dramatically. “The probability that the U.S. will be hit with a weapons of mass destruction attack at some point is 100 percent, Dr. Vahid Majidi, the FBI’s assistant director in charge of the FBI’s Weapons of Mass Destruction Directorate, stated in 2011. Such an attack could be launched by foreign terrorists, lone wolves who are terrorists, or
even by criminal elements, Majidi says, most likely employing chemical, biological, or radiological weapons rather than a nuclear device” (Hathaway, 2014, p. 36). Hopefully, WMD use against the United States remains limited to non-nuclear devices. However, hope is not the sort of thing a nation should rely upon for its continued existence. A more proactive approach must be adopted by all nuclear weapons states for the United States to survive the transition to a world without nuclear weapons.
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March 16th:

“Iran is preparing to launch a new long-range rocket into outer space as soon as this weekend and officials have never seen this specific type of rocket launched in the past” (Stanford, 2016). At the same time, Putin is withdrawing some forces from Syria, which will allow a resurgence of rebel groups aligned against the Assad regime to recapture territory if Syria and Iran are unable to hold territorial gains according to DEBKAfile (2016). These two parallel developments will allow for a continuation of conflict in Syria, while empowering Iran to become more bellicose against Israel in the future. Russia no longer has to serve as the primary force in Syria for Assad to remain in power, though Russia will remain at Tartous and Hneymim, as “Putin in unlikely to give up his strong foothold in the Eastern Mediterranean” (DEBKAfile, 2016).

Rather than focus on Syria, consider the situation between Palestinians and Israel. There is no hope for reconciliation between the two parties. Syria is more of a distraction than anything as far as the Palestinian conflict is concerned. Israel announced on March 15 “that they have ‘appropriated’ a large tract of Palestinian land in the occupied West Bank, confiscating some 579 acres” (Ditz, 2016). “The territory seized is near the Palestinian city of Jericho, and is reportedly slated to be used in the expansion of Jewish settlements in the area, to further prevent the potential of forming a contiguous Palestinian state in the future” (Ditz, 2016). Obviously, Netanyahu has no interest in coordinating a comprehensive peace deal to establish Palestine. Therefore, the world is against Israel on this matter, and at some point, terrorist attacks against Israel will bring Israel into the conflicts surrounding its borders.

In other words, a regional war in the Middle East is looming, and there is nothing that will stop it now.
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March 17th:

The following was recently posted on an open Internet forum:

An advanced energy research colleague attended a local HAM radio event 2-1/2 months ago. Someone there was also a member of the Cherokee County NC interagency drug task force. One of the task force members located an odd Muslim center of sorts and the task force elected to initiate an unauthorized monitoring of the location. ~3 months ago, a plated van showed up to this location and dropped off some crates. The video feed must have picked up the opening of the crates, because the person who attended the HAM radio meeting said that they identified the delivery as AR-15s and ammo, so they traced the plates...back to Homeland Security. It's Fast-n-Furious II if in fact the van originated from assets either assigned to or aligned with Homeland Security. At a following HAM radio event, our colleague mentioned to this person who told him about this that he brought it to the attention of us here in D.C. After that, our colleague became persona non grata and was no longer welcome at the HAM radio meetings (UPDATE: our research colleague returned to the HAM radio meetings after those associated with the task force were no longer attending the meetings). Please consider mentioning this as an event that should be investigated. We considered reporting this directly to DHS SOC, but believed that such a report would hit a dead end and would not result in intervention as needed to prevent the use of these weapons on Americans as early as this fall (UPDATE: personnel affiliated with the CIA and FBI were made aware of this situation months ago). Therefore, this needs to be investigated, as this one incident is unlikely to be an isolated event.

“Dr. Theodore Karasik, a Gulf-based analyst of regional geo-political affairs said: ‘There is an extremely high likelihood that ISIS followers will throw off the election in the United States by using Paris-style violence in American cities’” (Taylor, 2016). A rather novel approach to the use of plumbing supplies and propane tanks is presented by Stanford (2015), in which Syrian rebels used ‘‘hell cannons’ that can be fired at targets up to a mile away,’’ and could be used against America in the future. “Dr. Ben Carson, a black neurosurgeon and 2016 Republican presidential candidate, has repeatedly stated that he believes there is a chance that the 2016 elections may not be held at all” (Edwards, 2015). According to Lindsey Williams, in the June to August 2016 timeframe, the U.S. will be facing ISIS attacks according to Realist News (2016).

What is being observed in real time is the initial stage of a cascade effect of a self-organized collective comprising radicalized Muslims and those who intend to use the Muslim faith to polarize East and West...and it appears to be working. However, just like the sand timer that reaches a critical threshold, once the collapse of sand begins, there is no way to stop it until a new equilibrium is established. That collapse is likely to include the use of nuclear weapons unless the international community intervenes in the Middle East conflicts before it is too late to prevent a worst-case scenario from unfolding.
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March 18th:

Palestinian territory President Mahmoud Abbas will be assassinated this year.

Why would I make such a statement?...scenario gaming. Consider possible triggers for an "Event X" scenario involving nuclear weapons. How could what currently appears to be a relatively manageable situation in the Middle East and Southeast Asia completely unravel in short order? Simple...just kill a world leader, one whose death would polarize an unstable region such as the Middle East.

By the time people figure out who did it (if they ever figure it out...), the Middle East will be completely turned upside down, which is saying something considering how messed up the Middle East is already. An attempt was made on Abbas's life in 2006 when his security officers uncovered "four large explosive devices waiting for him on his way to meet Palestinian prime minister in Gaza" (Shaked, 2007). "Abbas admitted to being tipped off by Israeli Shin Bet head Yoram Cohen of an assassination attempt by Hamas" (Sidman, 2014). If Hamas or Hezbollah were to assassinate Abbas without getting caught, the entire Muslim world would rise up against...Israel.

Russia is no longer aggressively supporting Syrian President Abbas, resorting instead to sufficient forces to maintain a metastable equilibrium in the country. Iran may feel that it needs to invoke conflict in the region to redirect pressure away from Assad. Having explicitly stated that an Iranian or Hezbollah presence in the Golan Heights is unacceptable according to The Times of Israel (2016), Israel has effectively constrained Iran's reach and influence. "General Qassem Suleimani, the commander of the Iranian Revolutionary Guard's Quds Force, reaffirmed Iran's commitment to the Palestinian resistance in a secret meeting with Hamas's delegation to Iran on Wednesday" (Groisman, 2016).

If Iran were to allow Hamas or Hezbollah to assassinate Abbas, Iran could simply step back and allow Israel's hostile neighbors to overwhelm the Jewish state without having to accept blame for the uprising against Israel. "Iran has cut back its assistance to Hamas, the group's leader Khaled
Mashaal is admitting" (Halevi, 2016), which has the effect of destabilizing the Palestinian territories. "Iran was once a stronger supporter of Hamas, but the two have been at odds in recent years over the uprising against Syrian President Bashar Al-Assad. As a result of Hamas' refusal to support Assad in the uprising, an angry Iran reportedly stopped supplying the terror group with weapons" (Halevi, 2016).

Pray for peace in Israel and the Middle East.
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March 19th:

“North Korean leader Kim Jong-Un has ordered an upcoming nuclear warhead test and multiple ballistic missile launches, escalating Pyongyang’s face-off with the international community just days after being slapped with tough United Nations (UN) sanctions” according to Pakistan Today (2016). “The order came days after state media released photos of Kim posing with what was claimed to be a miniaturised nuclear warhead capable of fitting on a ballistic missile” (Pakistan Today, 2016).

“Last July’s U.N. Security Council resolution 2231 — which endorsed the nuclear pact — replaced the prohibition with more permissive language: ‘Iran is called upon not to undertake any activity related to ballistic missiles designed to be capable of delivering nuclear weapons’” (Lynch, 2016). “The updated measures are neither legally binding nor as restrictive as the measures in place at the time of the nuclear pact. In essence, resolution 2231 provides Iran with a loophole big enough to develop medium- and long-range missiles without the risk of running afoul of Security Council dictates. It also complicates efforts to define what kinds of missiles are capable of carrying a nuclear warhead” (Lynch, 2016).

So we have both North Korea and Iran continuing their march towards advanced nuclear weapons delivery systems. The United States is not in a position to do anything about it now. “If the Marines were called today to respond to an unexpected crisis, they might not be ready; a top Marine general told the Senate Armed Services Committee on Tuesday. Gen. John Paxton, assistant commandant of the U.S. Marine Corps, testified to lawmakers that the Marines could face more casualties in a war and might not be able to deter a potential enemy (Copp, 2016).

In other words, the United States might lose the next conflict. The following conflicts have involved the United States in the 21st century:

2001-present: War in Afghanistan
2003-2011: Iraq War
2004-present: War in North-West Pakistan
2011: Military intervention in Libya
2014-present: War on ISIS/ISIL

None of these conflicts are technically ‘won’. Current forces are already overcommitted. An escalation in any conflict at this point would be catastrophic for the United States. With the United States no longer serving as the world’s policeman in many respects, other nations such as Russia are filling the void. China is expanding military operations in the South China Sea. Both Russia and China act more like adversaries than allies. The United States finds itself in a Catch-22 scenario: committing further troops will strain operational effectiveness to the breaking point, while pulling back troops in any ongoing conflict will empower forces and nations that are aligned against the United States.

Nuclear weapons continue to proliferate in an increasingly hostile world. Who will be the first nation or group credited with the use of nuclear weapons for the first time since World War II? By this time next year, we will find out…perhaps sooner if the escalation in both the Middle East and Southeast Asia are coordinated by our adversaries, making it impossible for the United
States to make a military commitment that would be sufficient in either region. The straw that will break the back of the U.S. military will be Daesh (ISIS/ISIL). Daesh will initiate domestic attacks in Europe and the United States this summer, and an anemic response by the U.S. and Europe will simply further empower our nation’s adversaries, believing that the United States can be defeated once and for all.
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March 20th:

First the Marines, now the Army is suggesting that a major war is out of the question, according to Lardner (2016):

*The Army's top general says military forces on the ground face a high level of risk if the United States gets into a large-scale conflict against a power such as Russia or China. Testifying Wednesday on Capitol Hill, Army Chief of Staff Gen. Mark Milley says years of combat in Iraq and Afghanistan, constrained budgets and troop cuts have had a cumulative effect on the service. Milley says the Army is ready to fight the Islamic State group and other terrorist organizations. But what Milley describes as a "great power war" against one or two of four countries - China, Russia, Iran and North Korea - would pose greater challenges. Milley says the Army's readiness is not at a level that is appropriate for what the American people expect to defend them.*

In other words, the only thing we can fight is a terrorist group...anything more than that is beyond our present capabilities. OK, so expect the U.S. to engage Daesh (ISIS/ISIL) this fall since we are incapable of engaging anyone else at this point. Don't expect the United States to further engage Daesh until they attack us first. When will Daesh attack the United States? Probably after Trump receives the Republican nomination in July 2016 unless Trump has enough delegates to lock in the nomination in June, though that number does not necessarily translate to a win for Trump at the convention. Nevertheless, if Trump appears as the obvious Republican nominee to those sympathetic to Daesh, domestic terrorist attacks will likely begin shortly thereafter.
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March 21st:

If Roger Stone's insider assessment of the Republican party is true, Trump will essentially be blocked by influential party leaders during the Republican Convention in July. If that is the case, the domestic terrorist attacks may inadvertently serve as a smokescreen while still potentially shutting down the November elections for an unknown period of time, thereby allowing the power brokers in the Republican Party to sidestep the will of Republican voters with the distraction of terrorism. Whoever they pick would be unlikely to garner enough support to defeat Hillary Clinton in the general election. Since Clinton is supportive of denuclearization under the right conditions, the United States is expected to spearhead denuclearization once other nuclear weapons states also support the initiative. Therefore, a relatively predictable and realistic transition to a post-nuclear weapons world can be envisioned. The biggest question is what event will be necessary to facilitate support away from nuclear proliferation to global denuclearization. That is a huge jump in terms of geopolitics, even though people like President Obama, Henry Kissinger and Pope Francis have overtly expressed support for a world without nuclear weapons. Actions speak louder than words, and nuclear weapons states are treating nuclear weapons as a viable alternative to conventional weapons.

Under the guise of fighting terrorism, Saudi Arabia is orchestrating what in essence is a regional Muslim caliphate and has asked nuclear weapons state Pakistan to serve as the lead for this alliance, according to Zee News (2016). "Pakistan is already onboard and it has been asked to lead the initiative as well as prepare the framework for the proposed military alliance, which is likely to have 34 Muslim-majority nations as members" (Zee News, 2016).

In Venezuela, the government has rationed energy and water supplies as its economy implodes (Rosati, 2016). In Greece, more than 44,000 refugees have nowhere to turn, with tens of thousands more expected through this summer (Yardley, 2016). Quantitative easing (QE) is required to keep the current global financial system running, and based upon the amounts of promised QE by central banks, the system could last into 2017. Something has to serve as the trigger for an economic collapse if it happens this year, and the trigger will likely be related to terrorism. The only inevitability is inflation and currency devaluation...how much and how soon remains indeterminate, but inflation will start off slow in the United States and Europe (it already has), and increase over time until every nation follows in the footsteps of Greece and Venezuela. There is nothing in place to stop the decline in geopolitical and economic stability, except comprehensive peace agreements in the Middle East and Southeast to end the conflicts in these regions and a complete reboot of the global financial system. Attempts have been made to broker peace agreements for decades; and the end state facing the international community continues to be a seemingly inevitable escalation towards a nuclear event.

Let fate prove me wrong.

The world is rapidly becoming a very dangerous place, indeed...with nowhere to turn. I fear for my country and the rest of the world. How can this end well for anyone?
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March 22nd:

The article "Iran’s Secret Bid for 5 North Korean Miniaturized Nuclear Warheads" is presented below in its entirety for reasons that will become self-evident as you read the article (DEBKAfile, 2016):

North Korea’s boast on March 8 of its ability to manufacture nuclear warheads small enough to fit onto ballistic missiles attracted eager interest in Tehran.

So, while US President Barack Obama and Secretary of State John Kerry struggled to preserve the nuclear accord Iran signed with the world powers, and stall new sanctions to punish Iran for test-firing nuclear-capable Emad ballistic missiles, supreme leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei and Revolutionary Guard Corps appeared ready to leave the nuclear deal in the dust and move on.

Indeed, they are deep in secret negotiations with Pyongyang on two alternatives – either for the technology for installing nuclear warheads on missiles or five complete warheads.

The boast came from Kim Jong-un in person. He announced the “tremendous” achievement while visiting nuclear scientists. "The nuclear warheads have been standardized to be fit for ballistic missiles by miniaturizing them," KCNA quoted him as saying. “This can be called (a) true nuclear deterrent....Koreans can do anything if they have a will."

While Kim’s eye was on the US-North Korean exercise for practicing marine landings on North Korean beaches, his sales talks was aimed at Iran.

Intelligence sources report that the ayatollah swallowed the bait. Inferring that with North Korean technology, the Islamic Republic could do anything too, he sent negotiators post-haste to Pyongyang to bid a huge fortune of $8-10 billion for five of the new miniaturized warheads and/or the technology for their manufacture.
The Iranian delegation was authorized to assure the vendors that the money was ready in hand from formerly sanctioned funds that had been released by US and European financial institutions.

Before clinching a deal, Tehran wanted to be sure that North Korea did indeed possess the special warhead technology and that it was operational.

But negotiations have since advanced to the point of discussing how to transfer the goods to Iran undetected by spy satellites or other US surveillance measures designed to fasten onto radioactive materials transported by plane or ship. They considered bringing over to the Islamic Republic complete teams of North Korean specialist nuclear engineers and technicians, or alternatively, sending Iranian specialists to Pyongyang to collect the technology.

With this transaction in the works, Iranian officials are throwing out hints about abandoning the nuclear accord altogether.

After meeting British Prime Minister David Cameron in London on March 16, Iranian president’s chief of staff, Mohammed Nahavandian said in a speech, “After this nuclear deal, there is a real, serious opening up in Iran for economic relations. If it does not happen, and tangible results do not follow, the damage will be out of any calculation.”

Deputy Foreign Minister Abbas Araqchi put it more bluntly, when he told a group of officials in Tehran on March 8: “If our interests are not met under the nuclear deal, there will be no reason for us to continue.”

Well, that article sums up the situation between North Korea and Iran nicely. Since Iran's $100+ billion in frozen assets have been released under the Iran nuclear deal brokered by the United States only two months ago (Press TV, 2016), Iran now has more than enough money to buy their way into the circle of nuclear weapons states while propping up its corrupt government and
distributing funds to terrorist groups and the Assad regime in Lebanon and Syria, respectively. If the Iranians are able to successfully transfer nuclear weapons and technology from North Korea, Iran will become a nuclear weapons state as early as this fall, assuming of course that they are not already a nuclear weapons state.

Grant Williams presented an excellent summary of why wars are aligned with economic cycles (Durden, 2016). If the magnitude of debt created by central banks around the world is any indication of the magnitude of the war to follow, the entire Western world is at serious risk of succumbing to the threats posed by an economic collapse and world war, to include the use of nuclear weapons. Even if Trump is for the people as Jones concludes (Infowars, 2016), Trump would not take office until noon on January 20, 2017. The situation in the Middle East will have come to a head by that date.
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March 23rd:

Greenhalgh (2015) presents a comprehensive review of nuclear weapons designs dating back to the end of the Second World War. This is a short post today because Greenhalgh's review is a rather lengthy presentation. If this much content is freely available on the Internet, one can only imagine what other technologies incorporate nuclear weapons capabilities into their designs.

Regarding the weaponization of space, in April 2005, “Gen. James E. Cartwright, who leads the United States Strategic Command, told the Senate Armed Services nuclear forces subcommittee that the goal of developing space weaponry was to allow the nation to deliver an attack ‘very quickly, with very short time lines on the planning and delivery, any place on the face of the earth.’” (Shah, 2007). Therefore, not only must nuclear weapons be abolished on the earth, humanity must also address the space-based weapons threats.
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March 24th:

Bias is part of the human condition. Two extremes of bias that are actually commonly found within the human collective are immediacy bias and normalcy bias. "Normalcy bias refers to a mental state people enter when facing a disaster, causing people to underestimate both the possibility of a disaster occurring and its possible effects" (Hathaway, 2014, p. 23). Immediacy bias occurs when people think that a disaster is looming when in fact, no such event will occur, at least not in the near term. These two biases are contradictory in nature, and drive financial markets up and down. The larger economy is less impacted by bias and is more cyclic in nature.

What is happening today has never happened before. Central banks around the world coordinate much of their activity. Fiat currencies around the world are floating currencies, backed by nothing more than the faith and credit of the nations or unions issuing the currency. Global debt can only be services by expanding the global monetary supply. Global assets are relatively fixed by comparison. Nations will eventually resort of forced acquisition of available resources held by other nations, at least until the current monetary system is replaced by a sustainable global financial system in which debt no longer drives geopolitical and economic activity.

Bias can lead people to believe that disruptive events such as war or economic collapse will transpire either sooner or later than the actual events. However, as a major "Event X" scenario approaches, more and more people come to the realization that the system in place will change very soon. By the very nature of human thinking, once a critical mass of people makes this realization, the expected change takes place. Somehow, people have managed to ignore evidence right in front of them that these systems are in a state in collapse. Oddly enough, this failure to realize what is happening actually helps delay a necessary transition to a sustainable outcome.

That delay has run its course. People are supporting a Presidential candidate, Donald Trump, who is running on an anti-establishment platform in many respects. Americans who can no longer maintain their way of life are turning to a candidate who offers an alternative, without realizing that Trump is in no position to change anything substantial. The problems plaguing Americans are systemic in nature, the outcome of which politicians have virtually no control.
The banking system has to change. The United States can no longer project power overseas without destroying the dollar outright, for the nation is over $19.2 trillion in debt according to the U.S. Treasury (2016), with unfunded liabilities totaling a multiple of the officially stated debt.

The world's power brokers are aligning against a possible Trump presidency, and therefore are also aligned against the will of Americans who support Trump. The solution is unlikely to assassinate Trump; rather, a situation must be created to ensure that he is unable to take office. That situation is unfolding in real time in the form of domestic uprisings. Initially, these uprisings are centered on Trump events; however, over the coming weeks and months, these uprisings will begin to morph into a clash of civilizations scenario that will engulf the United States, Europe, the Middle East and Northern Africa.

What does this have to do with denuclearization? The stage is being set to ensure that nations are adequately distracted with maintaining domestic security measures, while simultaneously having to project military capabilities overseas as part of regional conflicts centered in the Middle East and elsewhere. Daesh (ISIS/ISIL) feeds on chaos, and will take full advantage of the situation to launch larger-scale attacks against the West. If the Western response continues to be inadequate against transnational terrorism, this anemic response will be perceived as weakness by the Muslim world. In many respects this has already happened. Iran is taking advantage of Western hesitation to reapply sanctions against the country.

Israel is watching all of this unfold and realizes that a major conflagration will result. Bias will motivate people to believe that a regional war is about to unfold, or that it will happen well into the future, if at all. Israel will have to fend for itself in this next conflict until the conflict overwhelms Israel's military capabilities. Once that happens, the United States and Europe will have to intervene for Israel to survive this next conflict. Such intervention would further align the Muslim world against the West.

Who shall serve as the voice of reason in this next conflict? One's personal bias will tell when such an intervention would likely transpire. Having spent over 20 years working through
personal bias in order to see things as they are, the conclusion drawn is that a major event will precipitate an initiative to end the regional conflict. What exactly that event will be is unknown today, and may not be known until the event happens. Who will be affected by this event? Ultimately, everyone on the planet will be impacted. Will this event be nuclear in nature? Well, what else would bring everyone to the bargaining table? So far, nothing else has worked. The Iranian nuclear deal was solely intended to buy Iran time while supporting the development of military capabilities of both Iran and North Korea. Most people never believed that North Korea would become a nuclear weapons state. The same assumption is being made about Iran...a mistake that will result in Iran following in North Korea's footsteps.

Once Iran becomes a nuclear power, how will the world respond? Assuming Iran is recognized as a nuclear power by testing a nuclear weapon as many other nuclear weapons states have done to announce to the world their elevated status, the world will likely respond to Iran in the same manner as North Korea. Nothing viable in terms of policy or treaties are in place to stop Iran now. Israel warned the United States and the United Nations, to no avail. When a regional war breaks out in the Middle East and elsewhere, we are dealing with nuclear weapons states aligned against each other politically, religiously and militarily. How could such conflicts possibly end without an escalation to the use of nuclear weapons?

The world is about to answer that question one way or the other, and once it does, the only viable end state is a world without nuclear weapons. So much has to change to realize this vision, and so much is about to change. Is this an expression of immediacy bias? "We'll see," said the Zen master, though perhaps even the Zen master realizes at some point that a major conflict is inevitable in the Middle East. Few are talking about war in the Middle East beyond the ongoing Syrian conflict and terrorist attacks around the region.

What has captured people’s attention is Daesh (ISIS/ISIL). Hinnant and Dodds (2016) has reported that “the Islamic State group has trained at least 400 fighters to target Europe in deadly waves of attacks, deploying interlocking terror cells like the ones that struck Brussels and Paris with orders to choose the time, place and method for maximum carnage” according to the
Associated Press. Assir and Moussaoui (2016) concluded that “that degree of autonomy is making them all the more difficult to track, and doubly dangerous.” Meanwhile, in Hidalgo, Texas, residents observe “30 to 50 people pass through the Hidalgo Viejo neighborhood,” the majority of which are “only men that are coming across” (Mejia, 2016). If the situations in Europe and United States weren’t bad enough already, the U.S. Border Patrol is “bracing for another influx of unaccompanied children coming through the border this summer, a crisis that could diminish border security and would certainly affect the 2016 presidential elections” (Gehrke, 2016). Many of these people illegally crossing into Europe and the United States will become “organized into semi-autonomous cells (let’s call them proto-caliphates) just waiting for the ‘right’ time and place to strike” (Durden, 2016).

As the situation on both of these continents continues to devolve into a chaotic mess, the Harvard Kennedy School is reporting that in South Asia, “overall, the risk of nuclear theft in Pakistan appears to be high,” a situation identified as “an environment of widespread corruption and extremist sympathies” according to The Hindu (2016). In the Middle East, “Syrian delegation leader Bashar Jaafari said that Damascus calls for return of occupied Golan Heights and back to the borders of June 1967” according to Sputnik News (2016). If parties sympathetic to transnational terrorist groups transferred nuclear weapons to these groups, Stone (2016) outlines how the United States could determine the origin of the nuclear weapon(s) after a surprise nuclear strike. Of course, one such an event transpires, it’s too late…
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March 25th:

It is inappropriate to consider the elimination of nuclear weapons without also considering the elimination of the nuclear power plants that produce the radioactive materials needed to build and maintain today’s nuclear weapons arsenals. Both nuclear weapons and the nuclear materials produced by nuclear power plants serve as an existential threat to all of humanity. The entire nuclear weapons cycle must be dismantled, and modern nuclear power plants replace by thorium nuclear power plants. A reduced global GDP will allow for the elimination of all nuclear power plants by the end of 2020, and nonrenewable sources of power could eventually be replaced by thorium nuclear power reactors.

One must also consider how other natural disasters could result in nuclear power plant meltdowns, similar to what happened at Chernobyl or Fukushima. By compromising the food chains on land and on sea, the number of people in the world can no longer be supported as today’s nuclear power plant designs destroy entire ecosystems. There will be a reduction in human population as a direct result of polluted and destroyed food supplies. While there is enough land to support seven billion people, the food production capabilities of the planet are not keeping up. World population has peaked in 2016.

What would replace nuclear weapons and nuclear power plants? Nothing needs to replace nuclear weapons…only peace. Nuclear weapons should have never been developed in the first place. Everyone lost the nuclear weapons-induced/perpetuated Cold War. Nuclear power plants provide energy. Energy can be provided though sustainable sources. While there is a finite amount of natural thorium, current thorium resources would last for several hundred years, by which time more novel forms of renewable energy technologies would be deployed on a global scale…if our civilization survives this transition. Since the world is firmly committed to nuclear power, then simply switch from uranium and mixed fuel (MOX) to thorium power plant designs. MacKinnon (2015) identifies thorium reactors as “the most efficient energy source possible to begin the necessary climate turnaround” (Kindle location 170). Current nuclear power plants are essentially dual use technologies that emerging nations desiring to become nuclear power states continue to utilize as a stepping stone to developing nuclear weapons. When at least one nation possesses nuclear weapons, other nations will identify that capability as an existential threat and respond accordingly.

For those who are concerned about climate change, thorium reactors could serve as one solution to the problem of increasing levels of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, as well as spent nuclear fuel site storage limitations. Hargraves (2012) stated that “economics and innovation are the means to displace coal burning for electric power” (Kindle location 181). Thorium reactors could be built and distributed globally, anywhere a 100MW – 1000MW power source is needed according to Lerner (2012), and the reactors would not require pressurized piping systems to operate (Kindle location 517). If one or more pipes failed, the molten salt would simply solidify
without a chain reaction to make land, air and sea incapable of supporting life within the affected area surrounding the nuclear power plant.

The world will inevitably transition away from nuclear power plants and weapons development to a more peaceful world in which existential threats are no longer a part of the geopolitical and economic dynamics. For now, the Western world faces hundreds of armed terrorists, with Europe taking the initial brunt of the attacks. “European intelligence and military sources were quoted as saying Wednesday that a network of between 400 and 600 armed terrorists, ready to carry out attacks, is already on European soil. They said out of almost 10,000 people with European citizenships who went to Syria, Iraq and Libya to join Islamic State, more than 1,000 have returned to Europe, and at least half have received military, intelligence and tactical training in Syria, Iraq and several former Soviet states on how to carry out terrorist attacks against the West” (DEBKAfile, 2016).
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**March 26th:**

"'Terrorism is spreading and the possibility of using nuclear material cannot be excluded’ International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) chief Yukiya Amano told AFP in an interview late Thursday. ‘Member states need to have sustained interest in strengthening nuclear security,’ he said. ‘The countries which do not recognize the danger of nuclear terrorism is the biggest problem’” (Sturdee, 2016).
“The Islamic State has agents working in Western airports, metro stations and ‘very sensitive facilities in the world,’ a leading Islamic State-allied militant claimed in an exclusive interview” (Breitbart Jerusalem, 2016). In a recent interview, Presidential candidate Donald Trump said that he does not rule out the use of nuclear weapons against the Islamic State (Durden, 2016).

It’s rather obvious where this conflict is headed…
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March 27th:

Homeland Security Intelligence reform is preparing for another major event. The U.S. government as a general rule is preparing for a recurrence of the last attack. This round, however, one can expect a series of smaller attacks, which calls for more of a decentralized approach. Centralized bureaucracy will slow things down. A major attack, if one were to occur, could only be state-sponsored, as was the case in the 9/11 attacks (e.g., Saudi Arabia). I suspect that what is being observed in Europe serves as a precursor to what will transpire in the United States this summer. Another wave of Middle Eastern and Northern Africa (MENA) refugees will find their way into the United States. By not properly vetting people coming into the United States, national sovereignty is compromised. National sovereignty is further compromised by coordinated central banking by the world's central banks. As the borders separating countries continue to blur, a sense of national identity will be eroded in the process, until national identity will become akin to what the states are in the United States.

As long as the major power and money brokers continue pushing internationalism at the expense of national sovereignty, homeland security intelligence agencies will merge operations in a manner similar to how INTERPOL and other international bodies operate. In other words, the needs of the global community will supersede the needs of the many nations that comprise the global community. In a Snopes (2015) posting, "as noted in the book From a Race of Masters to a Master Race: fascism, communism and national socialism all share in common the explicit premise that the individual must subordinate himself to society's needs, or as Hitler would phrase it: 'Society's needs come before the individual needs'." How homeland security intelligence will evolve as this trend towards global centralization of control over the economy and politics continues remains to be seen. Some researchers suggest that humanity is approaching a technological singularity, in which man merges with machine (Allen, 2011). If homeland security intelligence continues to utilize advanced technology as a means to track and control people, then perhaps humanity will eventually reach this unsavory end state, in which individual consciousness becomes subordinate to a collective hive mind.
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March 28th:

As stated in the Office of the Director of National Intelligence ([ODNI], 2006) Intelligence Community Directive Number 1, “the DNI’s policies and directives seek to create an integrated and effective intelligence enterprise” (p. 1). Specific application of this directive to homeland security is an exceptional challenge in a fiscally constrained operating environment. ODNI (2006) takes into account the impact of agency climate, the importance of information sharing, the necessity of a joint environment that fully engages personnel, the significance of being able to adapt quickly to an evolving operating environment, and an overarching effort to improve each agency belonging to the Intelligence Community (p. 1). Also, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) is a relatively new agency, created within the mission space of other agencies. DHS has struggled to coordinate its efforts “across 22 preexisting agencies, reporting to a multitude of congressional committees, and interacting with the U.S. public in a manner that constantly tests the balance between security and privacy” (Nelson & Wise, 2013).

Relationships between U.S. intelligence agencies and other nations’ intelligence agencies continue to evolve in a manner that require our nation’s intelligence agencies to align their overarching efforts with overseas intelligence agencies’ operations. Threats such as transnational terrorist groups conducting operations against other nations coincide to a much greater extent today with threats to U.S. national security than in the past. While Europe is struggling with a dysfunctional economy, as well as Muslim uprisings and terrorist attacks originating from within newly established Muslim communities and groups throughout Europe, the United States must prepare for similar events to transpire within our own borders for many of the same reasons. For homeland security intelligence to be more effective, an understanding of how current threats will continue to evolve must be rapidly integrated into existing policies and the intelligence cycle.

The ODNI (2012) established a “framework for the development, documentation, assessment, validation, and approval of Intelligence Community Capability Requirements” (p. 1). Miller (2010) recommends an adaptive threat orientation model that “relies on a continual, repeatable and consistent process, whereby homeland security leaders can acquire and maintain decision advantage over an adversary” (p. v). The difficulty in maintaining a decision advantage over transnational terrorist groups through the use of IC capability requirements and applicable analytical models is the asymmetric threat posed by these terrorist groups. While DHS has received tens of billions of dollars over the last ten years, asymmetric threats continue to pose as great a risk today as they posed during the period in which the 9/11 attacks transpired. Therefore, all available assets in the IC must be holistically applied towards countering and/or mitigating threats to U.S. national security.

While Congress has exercised significant oversight into IC activities, this oversight typically does not result in changes being implemented beyond that which is required to satisfy Congressional constituents. The driving force behind the necessary changes to the homeland security intelligence community must come predominantly from within the agencies themselves. An external approach to transformation will be met with resistance by the agencies, even when Congressional funding is tied to the requests for agency transformation. On paper, agencies can
appear to make the required changes in order to satisfy Congress, but transformative and lasting change must come from within the agencies.

Effective leadership is an essential and scarce resource. There are historical examples of where transformative leadership is utilized as an effective catalyst for change, such as Roosevelt and Churchill during World War II. Depending on one’s perspective, war can be considered an effective catalyst for change within the IC. New intelligence agencies were established as a direct result of World War II. There is an increasing recognition of geopolitical and economic similarities between the years during the late 1930s immediately preceding World War II and today (Durden, 2016). However, there also appears to be an abject lack of effective leadership today that was present in the Western world during World War II.

The United States is no longer capable of fighting and winning a two-front war as it had during World War II. Therefore, enhanced collaboration with existing allies on multiple fronts will be necessary to end the next round of conflicts on relatively favorable terms. Developing stronger international interagency relationships are as important as domestic IC agency transformation and integration. Simply having U.S. intelligence agencies work through a transformative process will serve little purpose if our allies do not follow suit. Existing directives outline steps that need to be taken by the IC, and this guidance should be incorporated into European intelligence policies and doctrines. Therefore, the discussion must inevitably return to the issue off effective leadership. How can the United States and its allies develop the leadership acumen necessary to protect our respective national security interests? Existing models to develop leadership, such as those employed by the U.S. military academies, are an excellent place to start.

To summarize the recommendations for increasing the effective use of intelligence in homeland security, consider the following:

1. Intelligence agency transformative processes must be developed with a focus on the impact of current geopolitical and economic context on these processes.
2. The U.S. homeland security intelligence apparatus must develop an effective strategy for how to respond to potential domestic terrorist events and domestic uprisings, similar to what is currently being observed in Europe and the Middle East.
3. The United States and Europe must develop more robust artificial intelligence capabilities that can provide intelligence insights into adaptive threats facing the both the United States and Europe.
4. Interagency collaboration must become a greater priority with respect to domestic homeland security intelligence efforts.
5. Leadership acumen must be developed in current and future leaders, in order to meet the increasingly complex challenges facing the homeland security intelligence community.
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March 29th:

There is increasing chatter over the threat of nuclear terrorism, likely due to the looming Nuclear Security Summit in Washington, D.C., from March 31st through April 1st (Colbert, 2016). Presidential candidates such as Trump support a viable two-state solution in which both sides acknowledge the legitimacy of the other side (Kornbluh, 2016). “We do not expect Israel to solve the issue on its own. It takes two to tango,” said the EU’s ambassador to Israel, Lars Faaborg-Andersen (Lazaroff, 2016). The Palestinian territories do not have effective leadership in place to broker a two-state solution. A third party must intervene in the ongoing conflict. Europe is the most likely candidate, but Europe is busy contending with Muslim immigrants that are supporting domestic terrorist cells.

Iran’s senior commander of the Islamic Revolution Guards Corps (IRGC) stated that “the production of missiles will not stop in Iran” according to Press TV (2016). Iran remains aligned against Saudi Arabia. Obama has been more supportive of Iran in recent months, motivating Saudi Arabia to break out on its own. As stories of Saudi crimes against humanity surface in the media (Whitten, 2016), Obama is having difficulty justifying siding with either party. By playing a middle-of-the-road role, neither side will trust the United States to play a peacekeeping role in the region. Daesh (ISIS/ISIL) is setting the stage to attack Russia, Europe and the United States. A likely scenario is that all three parties will find themselves in the same areas of the Middle East fighting areas identified as belonging to Daesh.

Consider how the Sunni nations in the Middle East will coordinate operations as the conflicts in the Middle East, essentially converging these conflicts into a regional conflict. The Sunni-Shia divide is strong, but not as strong as Muslim nations’ history of attacking Israel in unison. While Israel has made strides to stay out of the conflict in Syria, at some point Israel will respond to attacks originating in areas of the region that intend to eliminate Israel as a sovereign nation.
Once Israel fully engages adversaries in the region, the conflict will quickly escalate. It is at this point that the potential use of nuclear weapons could be actualized.
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**March 30th:**

A dirty bomb event seems increasingly inevitable. At some point, attempts to access nuclear material at nuclear power plants will be successful. Bunn (2016) stated that “Belgium has suffered a number of suspicious and alarming activities at its nuclear sites and against some of its nuclear technicians.” Other nations will be targeted in the months to follow. Pakistan is also suffering from terrorist attacks in which dozens of people were killed in the most recent attack (Andelman, 2016). “Three months after the attacks in Paris by ISIS jihadists traced to Molenbeek, Belgium, the Belgian ambassador to Saudi Arabia met with the secretary general of the Muslim World League to discuss how to help Belgium’s universities and cultural institutions ‘clarify the pure image of Islam.’ Maloof (2016) mentions that the latest G2 Bulletin analysis “shows a long-standing relationship between Belgium and Saudi Arabia, home of the strict Salafi-Wahhabi interpretation of Islam and Islamic law, which is embraced by ISIS.”

While isolated terrorist incidents such as these have transpired at various locations around the world for decades, the world will soon be facing a self-organized collective terrorist network that will be conducting attacks around the world that will severely disrupt the status quo. Inflation will destabilize the economies of all nations. Turkey is already a nation in distress, with the Pentagon ordering hundreds of military family members out of the country (Durden, 2016). Greenhalgh (2016) concludes that for those residing in conflict zones, their reality is such that for them, the Third World War has already started. How to avert an “Event X” nuclear scenario remains elusive. Many of the conflicts around the world will escalate until U.N. intervention has a greater influence of the conflicts than the opposing parties.
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March 31st:

“Analysis of the movements of warships and cargo ships from Russia to Syria reveals that Moscow is increasing the pace of its support for the Syrian regime, despite President Vladimir Putin’s March 14 announcement that the Russian military would reduce its presence in the Middle East. Internet site marinetracking.com said that the Yauza, a Russian military transport and icebreaking ship that left Syria in the first week of March, left its home port of Novorossiysk in the Black Sea and is headed back to the Russian naval base in the Syrian port of Tartus. The ship is sailing at a very low speed, indicating that it is carrying heavy equipment, with a Turkish research body reporting that the Yauza is not even leaving a trail in the ocean. Up to 12 Russian military ships are currently sailing in the Mediterranean including oil tankers, patrol ships and the Zeleniy Dol destroyer armed with Kalibr cruise missiles” (DEBKAfile, 2016a). This suggests that there is a likelihood that Russia and Turkey will escalate tensions in the region this spring…one cannot help but wonder when Israel will get sucked into the war.

“The United States, Germany, Britain and France have requested a UN Security Council meeting to discuss Iran’s ballistic missile tests, reports said Tuesday. In a joint letter, the four countries said Tehran’s recent launches of nuclear-capable missiles were ‘in defiance of’ a 2015 resolution banning such actions. They also denounced threats against Israel in Tehran’s statements following the launches and called on the council to discuss ways of responding to the tests” (DEBKAfile, 2016b). Perhaps leaders will acknowledge the fact that Iran never intended to abandon its nuclear weapons program, though they will realize that fact only after Iran has successfully tested or deployed nuclear weapons for use against the West and/or Israel.
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April 1st:

The Nuclear Security Summit is underway in Washington, DC. There is a great deal of chatter in the news about nuclear issues as a result. Sanger and Broad (2016a) concluded that “tons of materials that terrorists could use to make small nuclear devices or dirty bombs remain deeply vulnerable to theft.” World leaders cannot control the conflict in the Middle East, nor can they control North Korea. However, expect the next world war to take its toll predominantly on people who are dependent on the Western financial system for their livelihood and/or retirement income, as that target is most vulnerable to interference by Russia and China. Similar to what transpired during the Peloponnesian War, “a dominant regional power (Sparta) felt threatened by the rise of a competing power (Athens). Sparta felt so threatened, in fact, that all the moves they made to keep the Athenian rise in check eventually escalated the power struggle into an all-out war” (Black, 2016). Tsvetkova (2016) suggests that “Russia is working intensively to maintain its military infrastructure in Syria and to supply the Syrian army so that it can scale up again swiftly if need be.”

DEBKAfile (2016) reported that “Russia has deployed its most advanced tactical missile system, the Iskander-M, in Syria in the last few days.” This missile system can be equipped with nuclear weapons. Today, the problem in the Middle East is that an all-out war can quickly escalate to the use of nuclear weapons, such as the deployment of Pakistan’s vulnerable stockpile of small tactical nuclear weapons (Sanger & Broad, 2016b). Tucker (2016) states that “mounting evidence points to ISIS’s intention to cause nuclear havoc, whether by damaging a nuclear facility, spiking a conventional bomb with radioactive materials, or even building a fission bomb with highly enriched uranium.”

“Israel and Saudi Arabia have proven time and again that they aren’t interested in having any kind of honest ‘dialogue’ with Iran whatsoever and there are plenty of people on Capitol Hill who take the same approach. Given that, it seems entirely reasonable for Khamenei to suggest that as long as the current geopolitical dynamic persists, Iran’s future will indeed be tied more
closely to missiles than to negotiations” (Durden, 2016). That seems more like a cop-out statement than anything. However, Iran and Saudi Arabia are not ground zero for the next conflict, and will not intervene to prevent the next Middle East war. The Palestinian territories and Syria immediately border Israel are remain the gravest concern to Israel…keep your eye on Israel.
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April 2nd:

During the Nuclear Security Summit in Washington, D.C., President Obama (2016) reiterated the nation’s commitment to “the total elimination one day of nuclear weapons from the face of the Earth.” However, Obama failed to address space-based nuclear weapons. Nevertheless, “President Obama laid out an ambitious vision of a world without nuclear weapons when he first took office, but in the wake of the Islamic State, the Brussels terrorist attack and North Korea’s recent provocations, that goal seems as elusive as ever” (Crabtree, 2016). “Islamic State leaders have the intent to use any weapon they can to murder or create fear, according to a U.S. official who spoke on condition of anonymity because of the matter’s sensitivity” (Strohm, Sink & Syeed, 2016). Whether one buys into Daesh (ISIS/ISIL) as a valid nuclear threat or not, there continues to be increased chatter from various sources as to the validity of such concerns. One should take these concerns seriously…

The nation with the largest nuclear weapons stockpile, Russia, elected not to attend the summit (Smith, 2016). While appearing cooperative in Syria, “Russian aggression in Ukraine and nuclear saber rattling are jeopardizing the very global nonproliferation efforts that this week’s Nuclear Security Summit in Washington seeks to further. Moscow’s actions deserve a stronger response than they have received, not least to deter potential proliferators and reduce the risk of nuclear terrorism.” (Courtney & Herbst, 2016). Russia’s actions remain incongruent. Putin cannot be trusted any more than Iran or North Korea’s leadership. Without trust, progress on the global nuclear nonproliferation front will be inconsequential.

“Experts warn that much of the world’s plutonium and highly enriched uranium remains vulnerable to theft at thousands of sites, including universities and hospitals” (Smith, 2016). If Palestinian President Abbas initiative to broker a viable two-state solution with Israel is genuine, support for transnational terrorism will likely be inadequate to foster a significant nuclear event. Kelly (2016) stated that “Palestinian president reached out to Israel on Thursday saying he
opposes near-daily Palestinian attacks on Israelis and suggesting the violence would stop if the defunct peace process resumes again. In the interview, Abbas called on Netanyahu to meet with him ‘at any time’. If a two-state solution is brokered, support for terrorists to acquire nuclear materials would not reach a critical mass. However, if another attempt at peace talks between Palestinian President Abbas and Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu fail, the nuclear Pandora’s Box will be opened. There are so many untrustworthy players involved with the various hot spots around the world, it appears hopeless that a viable peace agreement will be reached in the Middle East without an “Event X” nuclear terrorist attack scenario. If Abbas is assassinated, all hell will break loose around the world after the Middle East blows up in our face.
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April 3rd:

“The only way to survive Russian roulette is to stop playing. The only way to survive nuclear roulette is to move beyond war in the same sense that the civilized world has moved beyond human sacrifice and slavery” (Hellman, 2016). There is limited progress on the nuclear security front, with several more countries joining the Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material (CPPNM), “the only legally binding international body undertaking in the area of physical protection of nuclear materials entered into force in 1987” according to The News Nigeria (2016). Meanwhile, The United States and Saudi Arabia have coordinated “action to disrupt fundraising and support networks of al-Qaida, the Taliban, and Lashkar-e-Taiba (LT) by imposing sanctions on four individuals and two organizations with ties across Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Saudi Arabia” (Rajghatta, 2016). This effort should help reduce the likelihood that the Pakistani nuclear arsenal could be compromised by terrorist groups in South Asia. At the Nuclear Security Summit, President Obama reinforced the stance that “we need to see progress in Pakistan and India” and “make sure that as they develop military doctrines that they are not continually moving in the wrong direction. Obama expressed serious concerns about ‘nuclear arsenals’ expanding in some countries, especially those with small tactical nuclear weapons that could be at greater risk of theft” (Brar, 2016).

While South Asia appears to be a manageable situation, Iran is also of concern to the United States and Israel. Recent comments from Iran’s leadership “such as fire-testing nuclear-capable ballistic missiles, calling for Israel’s destruction and providing Hizballah with missiles” is an accurate reflection of the dysfunctional political dynamics of Iran (DEBKAfile, 2016). Funding freed up by Iran’s nuclear deal is being allocated towards propping up Syria and Hizballah to the tune of $2 billion every month according to DEBKAfile (2016). “Syrian president Bashar Assad didn’t surprise anyone when he revealed that the five-year civil war in his country had cost $200 billion so far. With this kind of spending on “defense,” the Iranian economy will continue to
decay, while Rouhani’s government, which promised the people a better life after the nuclear accord, must bow to the will of the hard-liners or face the consequences” (DEBKAfile, 2016). Iran’s supreme leader stated on Friday, March 29, “Those who say the future is in negotiations, not in missiles, are either ignorant or traitors. This comment underlined Iran’s overriding commitment to developing nuclear missiles and a warning to ‘traitors’ of their fate: execution or a life sentence in a grim Iranian jail” according to DEBKAfile (2016).

What exactly that Event X nuclear scenario will entail is indeterminate at this stage, but the various options have already been discussed and examined. As events unfold in the Middle East, South Asia and Southeast Asia, greater clarity will develop as to what can be expected and what chain of events are likely to culminate in Event X. Whether Event X is initiated by North Korea or a party originating out of the Middle East or South Asia depends on how North Korea reacts to U.S. military commitments in other regions. If North Korea believes that the United States is over-committed and cannot adequately respond to a conflict in the Korean peninsula, North Korea may launch combined conventional and ballistic nuclear missile attacks against the United States and/or South Korea. “North Korea will pursue its nuclear and ballistic missile program in defiance of the United States and its allies, a top Pyongyang envoy said Friday, adding that a state of ‘semiwar’ now existed on the divided Korean Peninsula” according to Reuters (2016).

China is not helping the situation as it shifts its nuclear readiness posture by implementing a policy that heightens the risk of an accidental launch. “By keeping its nuclear arsenal on a hair trigger, Beijing expects that it can ensure that the United States would be deterred from launching a first strike. Chinese nukes could be airborne as US missiles make their way across the Pacific, eliminating the possibility that US strikes could eliminate China’s nuclear stockpiles before Beijing had a chance to retaliate” (Sputnik News, 2016).
There will be no peaceful resolution in the Middle East, South Asia or Southeast Asia before this fall at the earliest. The best possible outcome is a continued stalemate of forces in all of these regions. The question is not if but, “When will the world run out of peace?”

There will likely be an Event X within the next 12 months. Russia’s lack of cooperation in nuclear nonproliferation as evidenced by “doubling the number of its strategic nuclear warheads on new missiles by deploying multiple entry vehicles that have put Moscow over the limit set by the New START arms treaty” according to Gertz (2016) simply promotes nuclear proliferation by other emerging nuclear weapons states. Life will become much more expensive and difficult after such an event takes place for the average American, with existing freedoms significantly curtailed. How the civilization will likely be transformed by Event X will continue to be discussed in future sections.
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April 4th:

There have been several items found in recent articles piling up in my mind with relevant content to the looming global conflict between East and West. The biggest issue is rising global debt coupled with relatively stagnant global GDP, in which “years of deficit spending and near-zero interest rates have led to massive borrowing but little growth” (Melloan, 2016). Europe’s solution is to disseminate ECB-backed debit cards to the population. “Although rates will effectively be zero, spending on the cards will be carefully controlled via variable drawdown limits, set on a tiered system. The lowest limits will be set on blue cards which will be available to the bulk of the population, silver cards to those in gainful employment within the Eurozone, gold cards to higher earners and spenders, while the top level Black Cards will be reserved for the EU nomenklatura and their families. An additional positive effect for the EU is card holders being linked directly to the ECB, creating political advantages by forging close links between Europe’s populations closely to their central bank. The leaked documents show a new slogan: ‘One Europe, One Euro, One Bank, One Banking Union’ will be used to market the programme. New immigrants will be encouraged to apply for EBU Debit Cards at their entry point – the basis being high-spending asylum seekers should contribute to Europe’s growth through increased consumption,” according to the ECB (Durden, 2016). China’s solution is to “consider issuing bonds denominated in SDR in China,” as well as “use both the U.S. dollar and the special drawing rights when reporting the country’s reserves of foreign currencies in the near future” (Qi, 2016).

These actions can be translated as attempts to stabilize the global economy by issuing trillions of dollars in additional debt while governments further align with global central banking efforts. Individual nations will struggle with increasingly higher Gini coefficients (e.g., higher income inequality), and difficulties with maintaining affordable cost of living standards that will be experienced by the overwhelming majority of people around the world. Influxes of immigrants
into the most industrialized nations will further tax an already overburdened global financial system.

Most people in the United States are living paycheck to paycheck, and when those paychecks stop, people will either give up or rise up. Those who give up will starve to death, and those who rise up will be killed or incarcerated by the government. Therefore, the paychecks will continue for as long as the government can provide subsidies and jobs to the population. War will serve as a helpful distraction to help governments maintain control over domestic populations. Russians are for the most part used to a relatively low standard of living, so Putin’s strategy to stand up to the United States is supported by Russians, in order to bring the United States down to their standard of living. Putin will continue to use his popular support to make further gains against the West, both geopolitically and economically.

China can turn against the U.S. dollar by simply selling off hundreds of billions in U.S. dollar holdings without directly antagonizing the United States. The United States finds itself in a precarious situation, with geopolitical hot spots flaring up periodically beyond the control of the United States to stop the spread of these conflicts to neighboring counties. According to Papadimitriou, Nikiforos & Zezza (2016), “the US economy remains fragile because of three persistent structural issues: weak demand for US exports, fiscal conservatism, and a four-decade trend in rising income inequality. It also faces risks from stagnation in the economies of the United States’ trading partners, appreciation of the dollar, and a contraction in asset prices.” If these hot spots were to flare up at the same time, the U.S. response would be inadequate to stop the abrupt transition after the war to a world in which the U.S. dollar is no longer the world’s reserve currency. Inflation that has been exported for decades to emerging economies will come full circle and result in massive inflation in the United States after a comprehensive Middle East peace agreement is finalized. Fisher (1933, p. 342) outlines how nine factors provide a “picture of the cross-currents of a depression in the approximate order in which it is believed they usually occur.” Based on current events, the United States and Europe will soon be transitioning from
stage 8 to stages 9 and 10 which constitute hoarding, runs on banks and hyperinflation. The only way to prevent a disastrous result is to implement an entirely new financial system on a global scale. A new global financial system will not be implemented until binding peace agreements are finalized.
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April 5th:

T-minus 7 Days:

Army MAJ Tom Calhoun is testing the effects of an Electromagnetic Pulse (EMP) generator. The expected EMP level required to disable vehicles based on previous studies is 30-80 kV/m. His team elects to begin the testing at only 5 kV/m.

Driving a 2010 Toyota Prius through the path of the EMP generator pulse, the car dashboard immediately goes blank and the Prius creeps to a stop.

“That’s what happens when you use smaller microchips and circuits,” he reports. “Consider that our entire nation is dependent on computers for power and everything else, and we don’t have any backup.”

T-minus 3 Days:

Having workers go without pay for over six months, uprisings throughout Iran become widespread. Hundreds of thousands of workers take to the streets of Tehran alongside defecting Iranian Revolutionary Guard forces. The Iranian leadership holds an emergency meeting to determine what course of action remains at their disposal.

T-minus 2 Days:

An explosion rocks Haifa in the morning. Members of the Israeli leadership denounce the incident as a terrorist bomb. Police investigate the scene and interview eyewitnesses. Initial assessments have the body count at well over 100. By midday, several members of Israeli leadership officially announce that the explosion resulted from a missile strike originating from
across the northern border of Israel. Israeli officials said the missile was a Syrian-produced model of an Iranian Fajr-3 with a 50 km range and can carry a 100-pound payload of high explosive and shrapnel, an enhanced capability compared to the smaller Katyusha rockets that Hezbollah had used during the 2006 Israel-Lebanon War. Israeli reserve and active duty forces are mobilized. In the evening, an unmanned Hezbollah aircraft attacks an Israeli warship off the coast of Beirut.

**T-minus 1 Day:**

Israel launches a counter-strike against Hezbollah forces in Lebanon. Hezbollah counters with a Scud missile strike against Israel’s Dimona Reactor facility, forcing a reactor shutdown and evacuation. Israel recognizes the source of the Hezbollah-launched Scud missile as originating from the Syrian military and launches a limited nuclear strike against both Damascus and Beirut.

**T-plus 0 Hours:**

Families walking along the boardwalk at Rockaway Beach, in New York City, notice a disturbance in the sky. A little boy stops to point, “Look at the big flash in the sky, Mommy!”

“That’s strange, the city usually holds its fireworks display tomorrow night. It seems so far away though,” his mother observes.

His father makes a call on his cell phone to find out when the rest of the family will arrive. “Great…my phone just went dead. I charged it last night…go figure.”

Slowly, people start to look around, perplexed, wondering where the silence is coming from. No noisy radios or televisions can be heard along the boardwalk from the outdoor cafes.
“Must be a power outage again…happened last month too,” comments a passer-by as he licks his ice cream. “Should be back on in a few minutes.”

**T-plus 15 Minutes:**

Wise EMP-protected HAM radio operators throughout the United States and Canada are reporting total power outages in their respective neighborhoods. The general consensus among them is quickly solidifying: a high-altitude nuclear weapon detonation knocked out the entire North American power grid, based on reported observations from dozens of HAM radio operators throughout North America.

**T-plus 20 Minutes:**

High-altitude nuclear strikes are launched by the “military-industrial complex” from satellites orbiting on the other side of the planet, in order to level the playing field by disabling all remaining power grids on the planet, as well as low Earth orbiting satellites.

In military terminology, an EMP bomb, detonated hundreds of kilometers above the earth’s surface, is known as a high-altitude electromagnetic pulse (HEMP) device. Effects of a HEMP device depend on the altitude of the detonation, energy yield, interactions with the earth’s magnetic field, and shielding of targets.

An electromagnetic pulse is a burst of electromagnetic radiation resulting from either a nuclear explosion or a solar coronal mass ejection (CME). A CME is an ejection of material from the solar corona. Either event would create a sudden, massive fluctuation in the Earth’s electromagnetic field. The resulting electric and magnetic fields then couple with electrical grid systems to produce damaging current and voltage surges.
If world peace broke out tomorrow, we would still have CMEs to contend with over the next several years. In March 1989, during the solar maximum of Solar Cycle #22, the Northeast U.S. and Eastern Canada experienced a minor geomagnetic storm which compromised the electrical grid throughout for hours.

The March 1989 event pales in comparison to the ‘Carrington Event of 1859’. At 11:18 a.m. on September 1, 1859, 33-year old Richard Carrington was observing an 11-inch image of the sun on a screen and was drawing the sunspots he saw in the image. Suddenly, two beads of intense white light appeared over the sunspots. Within 60 seconds the light had significantly diminished, and within five minutes completely disappeared from the screen.

Just before dawn the next day, skies all over planet Earth erupted in red, green, and purple auroras so brilliant that newspapers could be read as easily as in daylight. Aurora borealis pulsated as far south as tropical latitudes over Cuba, the Bahamas, Jamaica, El Salvador, and Hawaii. The only equipment in place resembling today’s electrical grid, telegraph systems worldwide went haywire. Spark discharges shocked telegraph operators and set the telegraph paper on fire. Even when telegraphers disconnected the batteries powering the lines, aurora-induced electric currents in the wires still allowed messages to be transmitted.

If such an event were to occur today, the impact on modern society would be absolutely catastrophic. Various official research establishments throughout the world have provided data on our solar system’s largest celestial bodies to conclude the following (Maccoby-Hathaway, 2010):

- Recent solar activity highest in 8000 years
- Sun’s magnetic field has decreased in size by 25%
- 300% increase in galactic dust entering our solar system
• Mercury’s magnetosphere experiencing significant increases
• Venus exhibiting a 2500% increase in its “green glow”
• Mars showing a rapid appearance of clouds and ozone
• Mars observations reveal up to 50% erosion of its ice features within a 12-month period
• Jupiter plasma torus increasing; its moon Io exhibiting the same changes
• A 200% increase in the density of Io’s plasma torus
• Jupiter’s Disappearance of White Ovals since 1997 – recent increase in storms
• Io’s ionosphere is ~1000% higher
• Jupiter’s moon Europa much brighter than scientists expected
• Jupiter’s moon Gannymede is 200% brighter
• Saturn’s plasma torus is ~1000% denser
• Aurorae first seen in Saturn’s polar regions in recent years
• Uranus was featureless in 1996 – exhibiting huge storms since 1999
• Uranus in 2004 was also markedly brighter than in 1999
• Neptune is 40% brighter in the near-infrared range based on observations from 1996 – 2002
• Pluto observations reveal a 300% increase in atmospheric pressure

These changes are not a result of Americans driving around SUVs, though gluttonous oil consumption remains a significant liability on numerous fronts (see: 80% fatality rate in theater of war + Fully Burdened Cost of Fuel: DSB Report 2009). Disruptions throughout the solar system suggest that current or future solar cycles may produce CMEs rivaling that of the 1859 Carrington Event.

While details remain classified, an incoming brown dwarf star several times the mass of Jupiter has been identified as the culprit disrupting the solar system’s heliosphere, as well as celestial bodies throughout our solar system. The Large Binocular Telescope Near-infrared Utility with Camera and Integral Field Unit for Extragalactic Research (LUCIFER—see http://www.mpe.mpg.de/ir/lucifer/index.php) is a near-infrared camera and spectrograph that
was installed in April 2010 on the world’s largest optical Large Binocular Telescope (LBT) located on Mount Graham in southeastern Arizona.

LUCIFER has the capability to track the inbound brown dwarf star that can only be observed in the near infrared range. LUCIFER will provide real-time tracking data that will allow scientists to determine the exact location and trajectory of the brown dwarf star.

Daniel Stolte, Science Writer at the University of Arizona, has recently published several relevant articles on brown dwarfs and related topics. He stated that from talking to researchers, he was not aware of a brown dwarf coming within the vicinity of our solar system anytime soon.

Contrast that conclusion with Andrew Lloyd’s website (http://www.darkstar1.co.uk), outlining his theory on the dark star. When information of this magnitude remains classified, “proof” is normally hard to pin down, by design. http://projectcamelotportal.com has a video interview between Andrew Lloyd and Kerry Cassidy posted that provides additional information on brown dwarf stars. Other related whistleblower testimony is available through the Project Camelot Portal website (www.projectcamelotportal.com).

So what exactly is causing all the commotion in the solar system – SUVs, an incoming brown dwarf, or something else? How much time is left until geopolitics in the Middle East deteriorates into a full-blown regional war that catastrophically impacts the industrialized nations? We must implement measures to protect the electrical power grid from a catastrophic EMP event. The electrical power grid affords us the luxury of an elevated standard of living within our modern civilization. Without it, we would collectively revert back to indigenous living within a matter of weeks.

Millions of dollars in protective measures prior to an EMP event would result in trillions of dollars in savings following an EMP event if such measures were properly implemented. If there were ever a time to initiate preemptive action, it would be now by protecting the grid through
EMP hardening of critical electronic devices and equipment such as transformers. Without electricity, clean water, fresh food, liquid fuels for transportation, and the everyday comforts of modern living that people take for granted would no longer be available and/or accessible.

Without intervention on a large scale to protect the electrical power grids, our modern civilization remains needlessly and utterly defenseless.
April 6th:

The significance of the content of this one article by Pry (2016) is reflected in the summary of his article below:

- On Oct. 8, 2015, NORAD Commander Gortney told the Atlantic Council, “I agree with the intelligence community that we assess that they [the North Koreans] have the ability, they have the weapons, and they have the ability to miniaturize those weapons, and they have the ability to put them on a rocket that can range the [U.S.] homeland.”
- In March 2016, the Pentagon confirmed North Korea recently rolled out a new intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBM) the KN-14, having longer range than North Korea’s KN-08 ICBM deployed in 2012. Both missiles are armed with nuclear warheads and can strike the U.S. mainland.
- On April 16, 2013, on the very day Obama told Americans that Kim Jong Un could not strike the U.S., North Korea’s KSM-3 satellite passed over Washington, D.C., and New York City at the optimum trajectory for a surprise EMP attack.
- North Korea’s Feb. 7 launch of the KSM-4 satellite, orbiting the U.S., could practice an EMP attack that would blackout the national grid, killing millions.
- While Obama publicly dismissed North Korea’s threats, the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) privately briefed Congress that North Korea does have nuclear-armed missiles—which leaked to the press.
- In 2004, Russian generals warned the EMP Commission the design for their super-EMP warhead leaked “accidentally” to North Korea. A super-EMP weapon would probably be small enough to fit on North Korea’s satellites.
- President Obama does not want North Korean nuclear missiles to be part of his legacy. And to acknowledge this threat, which arose despite President Bill Clinton’s nuclear deal with
Pyongyang, is to acknowledge the futility of Obama’s nuclear deal with Iran–North Korea’s strategic partner.

In terms of nuclear weapons capabilities, Iran and North Korea should be treated as one and the same. Also, Sunni nations in the Middle East and South Asia should be treated as one alliance. Since Pakistan is a nuclear weapons state and predominantly Sunni, the Sunni alliance possesses nuclear weapons. Eventually, the conflicts in the Middle East will converge under one Muslim banner against Israel and the West, as was the case several times since Israel became a nation. There is nothing new at play here, except both sides of the Middle East conflict now possess nuclear weapons. Also, the conflicts in the Middle East are tied to conflict in Southeast Asia by North Korea’s relationship with Iran.
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April 7th:

The following article from U.S. Reporter (2016) is presented in its entirety:

China has invoked Article 9 of the Sino-Russian Treaty of Friendship (FCT) notifying Russia that they have begun offensive/defensive military movements to protect national interests – including the deployment of a flotilla of attack submarines to the west coast of America.

China’s announcement comes just one day after President Xi Jinping warned President Obama that the two countries were perilously close to war: “China will firmly safeguard the sovereignty and related rights in the South China Sea and will not accept any freedom of navigation as an excuse to undermine China’s sovereignty and national security interests.”

Article 9 of this treaty, states that: “When a situation arises in which one of the contracting parties deems that peace is being threatened and undermined or its security interests are involved or when it is confronted with the threat of aggression, the contracting parties shall immediately hold contacts and consultations in order to eliminate such threats.”

In the formal PRC government document invoking Article 9 of this treaty, delivered by diplomatic pouch to Russian President Vladimir Putin, Chinese authorities state their vital national interests are now under immediate threat due to:

Continued military provocation(s) against the PRC by the United States in the South China Sea, AND;
A direct threat of nuclear war made against the PRC by the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea (DPRK) and resulting preparations by the United States and its allies to “intervene/interfere” in.
Coinciding with the PRC’s invoking Article 9 of the FTC, China also made an immediate economic protectionist move against the United States and its allies by imposing a new tax system on all overseas purchases—which, ironically, billionaire US presidential candidate Donald Trump had threatened to do against the Chinese if he was elected.

Analysts contributing to this report say China’s “preparations for conflict” with the United States include their military setting up “non-war” units able to evacuate their citizens from foreign territories, establishing a massive military base in the Horn of Africa to counter American aggression in the Middle East, and their deployment of the world’s longest range nuclear missile.

As per the protocol and procedures to be followed once Article 9 was invoked by the PRC, Russia Security Council Chairman President Putin directed the Ministry of Defense (MoD) and Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MoFA) to immediately begin all needed steps to “ameliorate/alleviate” this conflict and to, likewise, assess its potential to harm the national interests of the Russian Federation. The order required Russian entities “take all necessary means needed to protect the citizens of Russia both at home and in potential war zones.”

A rather lengthy conclusion to this report written by MoD intelligence analysts shockingly states that the American people’s knowledge of this conflict is nearly non-existent, in spite of the many warnings issued to them about it these past few weeks — including US Deputy Defense Secretary Robert Work announcing that the Obama administration would not recognize any air defense identification zone that China might proclaim in the South China Sea, and Washington Post columnist David Ignatius warning that “the US is heading toward a dangerous showdown in China.”

Kurt Campbell, the former US Assistant Secretary of State for Asia, perhaps, gave the most accurate warning to the American people about this coming war by stating “This isn’t Pearl
Harbor, but if people on all sides aren’t careful, it could be ‘The Guns of August.’” Campbell was referring to the Pulitzer prize winning book by Barbara Tuchman on the events that led up to World War I, which led to the deaths of 17 million people — a miniscule amount when compared to the hundreds-of-millions, if not billions, who would die in a World War III.

###

Sounds awful, right?…well, not so much. Article 9 of the treaty referenced has been in effect since July 2001, which states the following (Voltaire Network, 2001): When a situation arises in which one of the contracting parties deems that peace is being threatened and undermined or its security interests are involved or when it is confronted with the threat of aggression, the contracting parties shall immediately hold contacts and consultations in order to eliminate such threats.

Next, consider the following update on North Korea’s nuclear weapons capabilities (Sang-Hun, 2016):

South Korea has determined that North Korea is capable of mounting a nuclear warhead on its medium-range Rodong ballistic missile, which could reach all of the South and most of Japan, a senior government official said on Tuesday.

The government’s assessment, shared in a background briefing with representatives of foreign news media here, followed a recent claim by North Korea that it had “standardized” nuclear warheads small enough to be carried by ballistic missiles. Until Tuesday, South Korean government officials, like most of their American counterparts, had played down that claim.

But after four recent nuclear tests by the North, the latest on Jan. 6, some nongovernmental analysts in South Korea have said that the North may have learned how to fit its medium-range
Rodong missile with nuclear warheads, even though it may still be years away from building a nuclear-tipped intercontinental ballistic missile that could target the continental United States.

Although the government official echoed that assessment on Tuesday, he added that South Korea had no evidence that the North had deployed such nuclear-tipped Rodong missiles.

The missile, first deployed in the 1990s, can fly about 800 miles, which would put some United States military bases in South Korea and Japan within its range. It could carry a warhead weighing about 1,500 to 2,200 pounds, according to the South Korean military.

North Korea test-launched two Rodong missiles last month, flouting United Nations resolutions that ban the country from developing or testing ballistic missile technology.

The tests took place days after the North’s leader, Kim Jong-un, ordered more tests of ballistic missiles capable of delivering a nuclear warhead. Mr. Kim also recently visited a factory where he inspected what looked like a model nuclear warhead and long-range missile, according to photographs released in the country’s official media.

North Korea also said that Mr. Kim had overseen a successful test of “re-entry” technology, which is needed for a warhead on an intercontinental ballistic missile to survive the heat and vibrations while plunging through the atmosphere toward its target.

There is continuing debate about how close North Korea has come to acquiring nuclear-tipped missiles. The country has never flight-tested a long-range missile.
After the North’s recent claims, the South Korean Defense Ministry issued a statement on March 9 saying it did not believe the North had achieved the miniaturization of a nuclear warhead. But the statement did not clarify whether it meant for long-range missiles or missiles of shorter ranges.

The Pentagon has also voiced skepticism. “We have not seen North Korea demonstrate capability to miniaturize a nuclear weapon, and again, put it on a ballistic missile,” Peter Cook, the Pentagon press secretary, said on March 15.

But one leading United States military commander, Adm. William E. Gortney, said during a Senate Armed Services Committee hearing last month that according to his assessments, the North Koreans “have the ability to put an ICBM in space and range the continental United States and Canada.”

Although American intelligence officials have said that sort of attack would have “a very low probability at success,” Admiral Gortney said it was a “prudent decision” to assume that the North “has the capability to miniaturize a nuclear weapon and put it on an ICBM.”

The South Korean official who talked to reporters on Tuesday said that North Korea still needed “several years” before mastering the technology to build a nuclear warhead small and sophisticated enough to be mounted on an intercontinental ballistic missile.

# # #

So is a regional war on the Korean peninsula imminent? Probably not…South Korean sources will always present information that emphasizes the threat posed by North Korea. These two articles do not necessarily present falsified information. However, the likelihood that either threat
will result in conflict within a matter of weeks as these articles suggest is highly unlikely. China and Russia are expanding their influence. Starting a world war is not in their best interests. Neither would North Korea benefit from a conflict with the United States.

Hopeful now?…not so fast. The world powers know each other’s weaknesses. The United States and Europe are becoming increasingly isolated, both militarily and politically. A fading empire does not fall into complacency by other world powers without a fight, and that fight will likely result in a greater number of casualties than the first two world wars combined. However, the manner in which casualties will mount will not be the same. An unbalanced global economy will result in a greater number of deaths during this transition to a sustainable global economy than all of the conventional munitions and a few nuclear weapons that may be used in this next conflict combined.

The world is a scary place…observe it from third person perspective while paying attention to your individual needs and the needs of your family and friends. Your actions will not dictate the outcome of this next conflict, but it will dictate the outcome of your own life and certainly have a significant impact on the lives of those within your immediate circle of influence.
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April 8th:

DEBKAfile (2016) is reporting Daesh (ISIS/ISIL) activity along Jordan’s border. The closer Daesh is to Israel, the greater the likelihood of Israeli involvement in the conflicts surrounding Israel. The problem with Israel is that it doesn’t fit in…and that’s a problem. Daesh has enough support to function long enough to find a way to drag Israel into conflicts that will turn into a Muslim-Jewish conflict. How can this scenario be avoided?…I don’t know. I no longer believe that a major conflagration can be avoided. I have looked for information that would justify a different position, but there is insufficient support for a peaceful outcome, at least from what I have researched. Geopolitical and economic inertia is directing the world to war.

So how will the war Middle East unfold? A conventional conflict is expected to continue until Israel is involved, and their involvement is expected to be triggered by Daesh. Then the likelihood of a nuclear “Event X” scenario dramatically increases. Domestic terrorist attacks in Europe and the United States will take the shape of small arms attacks and suicide bombers. The casualty rates will be high enough to justify a robust military contingent activated to take out Daesh completely in Syria and Iraq. So far, the military response has been insufficient to counter the forces keeping Daesh in place.
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April 9th:

The complexity associated with dismantling all nuclear weapons as well as transitioning the nuclear power plants that manufacture the raw materials needed for nuclear weapons to clean nuclear power plants such as thorium is mind boggling. There are trillions of dollars invested in the nuclear fuel and weapons cycle, all of which must be reallocated to the elimination of nuclear weapons and transition to thorium and LENR nuclear power. Uranium supplies will last long enough to complete this transition, while there are hundreds of years’ worth of thorium in the earth for large nuclear reactors and other metals needed for the smaller LENR power plants.

If there must be war before this transition will take place, so be it. Nevertheless, nuclear weapons will soon become a thing of the past, as well “dirty” nuclear power. Fukushima and Chernobyl have proven that dirty nuclear power and humans cannot coexist on the planet, especially considering how uranium and plutonium produce raw materials for nuclear weapons and nuclear waste that has nowhere to go.

The game is over…time to move forward into a world without nuclear weapons. Consider the role you can play in helping make that dream into a reality.

END OF PART I
April 19: Major health insurance carriers such as UnitedHealth are withdrawing from Obamacare [1]. China has pegged its currency to gold instead of the U.S. dollar today [2]. These two events signal the beginning of a chain of inevitable events that will be understood when looking back on these events as having a catastrophic impact on U.S. critical infrastructure sectors. The two articles published today impact the Public Health & Healthcare and Banking & Finance critical infrastructure sectors. As outlined on slide 61 of Maximizing Resilience during Periods of Geopolitical and Economic Instability [3], a predictable series of events will compromise U.S. critical infrastructure sectors in the order in which they are listed on slide 61. Also, slides 93-95 outline when the most disruptive changes that are expected to take place and in what form. This is a process that will take several years before a new equilibrium is established. This process will be expedited by escalating conflicts that will converge into a clash of civilizations scenario by the end of 2016, involving all of the major power brokers on the planet.

April 26: Judicial Watch reported on April 14, 2015 that an Islamic State terror group operating only eight miles from the U.S. border near El Paso, Texas [1]. Today, Judicial Watch presented a more comprehensive look at just how pervasive ISIS operations have been in the Mexican state of Chihuahua near El Paso. An ISIS operative, a Kuwaiti named Shaukh Mahood Omar Khabir, is "training thousands of men - mostly Syrians and Yemenis - to fight in an ISIS base situated in the Mexico-U.S. border region near Ciudad Juarez" [2]. Khabir stated that "the border that separates Mexico from the United States is so full of free zones that I could come in with a group of men in a few hours and kill thousands of people in Texas or Arizona" [3]. "The Mexican cell has managed to import small arms, ammunition and even devices for air targets directly from the former Iraqi arsenal of Saddam Hussein" [4]. "For more than a decade the U.S. government has known that 'Arab extremists' are entering the country through Mexico with the assistance of smuggling network 'cells', according to State Department Documents. [5]. Domestic terrorist attacks will further compromise critical infrastructure sectors and force the Department of Defense to coordinate military operations with Europe, as Europe will also experience domestic terrorist attacks that will inevitably be traced back to Daesh (ISIS/ISIL). When will these attacks begin?...within a matter of days to weeks in Europe, and shortly thereafter in the United States. Sweden is already on high alert after reports were received stating that ISIS militants have entered the country to target civilians [6]. One month ago, security officials told The Associated Press that "the Islamic State group have trained at least 400 attackers and sent them into Europe for terror attacks" [7]. With all of this known, instead of blocking the influx of Syrians into the United States, the U.N. is pushing for up to 100,000 Syrians being relocated to the United States over the next few years [8]. From a political standpoint, it is now impossible to avert domestic terrorist attacks against Europe and the United States.
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May 3: The dangers posed by nuclear weapons and nuclear power plants pose an existential threat to the entire world. Nuclear weapons could end the possibility of a sustainable ecosystem that would support human life. There is a dozen or so nations that possess at least a few nuclear weapons today, many of which are not tracked by the international community. The raw materials for these nuclear weapons are nuclear power plants. There are a myriad number of possible sources available to meet our nation’s energy needs. “Dirty” nuclear power need not be one of them, so the only logical explanation for the continued use of these nuclear power plants is the ongoing production of nuclear weapons materials. Recently, there has been increased chatter about possible earthquakes along the West coast. Large earthquakes have transpired on all other continents along the ‘ring of fire’. The Cascadia Subduction Zone is one such high threat zone that could knock out the coastal region along the Northwest states. The New Madrid fault seismic zone is also well known from a series of massive earthquakes that occurred in that region of the United States over 200 years ago. With the United States suffering from a weakening dollar and fragile economy, a massive earthquake in either of these areas, or a large earthquake in the Los Angeles region along the San Andreas Fault zone could make it impossible for central banks to intervene in a manner that would stabilize the U.S. economy.

Why is there so much chatter about earthquakes today? There has been a recent uptick in large earthquakes in recent weeks in multiple locations around the world. An earthquake in one location seems to trigger earthquakes in other regions of the world. This phenomenon also plays into the viability of nuclear power plants. If the grid in one region of the United States were to go down for an extended period of time due to a large earthquake, the nuclear reactors in that region would have to use liquid fuel to maintain cooling capabilities. Otherwise, the fuel rods would melt and result in
another Fukushima or Chernobyl. Such events were supposed to be nearly impossible when nuclear reactors were approved for wide-scale use decades ago. Now that these reactors are aging without new reactors online to replace them, they are serving as ticking time bombs. There is a history of cover-ups when it comes to nuclear reactor accidents and the impact that a reactor meltdown would have on the surrounding communities. One example is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where cancer-struck workers were denied compensation “in order to keep the danger posed by the nuclear site to nearby residential areas out of the public eye” [1]. Another example is the inability to find safe storage sites for nuclear waste, which serves as “one of the biggest impediments to generating more nuclear power” [2].

[2] https://www.environmentalleader.com/2016/05/03/thought-the-debate-over-nuclear-waste-was-buried-not-anymore/
May 10: There will be a “great reset” of the global economy [1], either later this year before the wars commence or sometime next year after the wars in the Middle East, Ukraine and Southeast Asia are resolved. While blockchain technology may be used to replace the fiat currencies such as the U.S. dollar currently in use [1], the transition to a post-dollar reserve currency world will take years to complete. People will resist this and other changes associated with centralizing control over the masses, and those who resist will promote domestic uprisings and be treated the same as the Muslim terrorists who will be involved with delaying the Presidential election until sometime in 2017 after smart cards used for voting, purchases, health insurance, etc., can be issued to everyone eligible to vote. Vice President Biden would likely take over after President Obama steps down in January until a new President is elected and inaugurated.

The London Muslim mayor has not wasted any time at attacking Presidential candidate Donald Trump [2], reflecting the intolerance Muslim culture has towards the West…more to follow on that front in the coming months, to be sure. What is uncertain is exactly when domestic terrorist attacks will begin in earnest in the United States, and how President Obama will react to these attacks. There are simply too many 'soft targets' that remain indefensible, such as POLLING STATIONS, along with churches, schools, malls, large sporting events, and metro/bus/train transportation. Therefore, President Obama could justify postponing elections until ALL U.S. citizens with voting rights have a means of voting remotely, via absentee ballots and/or online voting using smart cards (e.g., chipped cards that have yet to be issued). It would take ~6 months to issue smart cards en masse.
Friction between Turkish President Erdogan and Europe is over ~3 million Syrian refugees that Turkey is currently hosting [3]. The expectation is that the fragile agreement between Turkey and the rest of Europe will collapse, unleashing millions of immigrants throughout Europe. Europe will likely be further along the transnational terrorist attack scenario timeline than the United States and will lead an effort to move a military coalition with the United States into Syria and Iraq to take out Daesh (ISIS/ISIL). Once that transpires, the world will be facing a full-blown clash of civilizations scenario, the likes of which has not been observed in modern history. The United States also has a nuclear terrorist threat to contend with since Pakistan, Turkey and Saudi Arabia will be aligned in this next war, and Pakistan will be willing to share its nuclear weapons with its partners in time of war. What those partners will do with nuclear weapons will be known only after the mushroom clouds start populating the horizon of major metropolitan areas in Europe and/or the United States.

It should be an interesting next 12 months, to say the least…on a separate note, Low Energy Nuclear Reactions (LENR) technology has gained a foothold in Congress [4] as outlined below:

*The committee is aware of recent positive developments in developing low-energy nuclear reactions (LENR), which produce ultraclean, low-cost renewable energy that have strong national security implications. For example, according to the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), if LENR works it will be a ‘‘disruptive technology that could revolutionize energy production and storage.’’ The committee is also aware of the Defense Advanced Research Project Agency’s (DARPA) findings that other countries including China and India are moving forward with LENR programs of their own and that Japan has actually created its own investment fund to promote such technology. DIA has also assessed that Japan and Italy are leaders in the field*
and that Russia, China, Israel, and India are now devoting significant resources to LENR development. To better understand the national security implications of these developments, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing on the military utility of recent U.S. industrial base LENR advancements to the House Committee on Armed Services by September 22, 2016. This briefing should examine the current state of research in the United States, how that compares to work being done internationally, and an assessment of the type of military applications where this technology could potentially be useful.

Better late than never...a technology that will eventually help replace 'dirty' nuclear power that is used irresponsibly throughout the world today (e.g., Fukushima and Chernobyl).


May 17: Keep your eye on Israel, as well as U.S. involvement in Syria and Iraq. The more critical component of the conflict is Israel. The whole peace process - or lack thereof - revolves around the two-state solution between Palestine and Israel. The French Foreign Ministry spokesman Romain Nadal said that the Israel-Palestine Peace Conference in Paris was delayed from the end of May to early June [1]. This is a critical meeting, as neither Israel nor Palestinian territory representatives will be in attendance. However, a total of 20 foreign ministers from Europe, the United States, the Middle East and Asia are expected to attend the meeting [2]. The intent of the conference is to establish a formal timeline for implementing a two state solution, with a meeting to be scheduled in the weeks following this conference that will involve both Israeli and Palestinian representatives. This whole scenario should be construed as a countdown clock to regional war, as this process will unravel before the two state solution is fully implemented. There are simply too many power brokers in the region who cannot agree on the final outcome, though the looming Paris conference will suggest otherwise. Nevertheless, this will serve as ground zero for the regional conflict, and Syria will be a critical component of the conflict. It should also be noted how the United States is now using warplanes to intervene in the Syrian war [3]. This step keeps opposing forces in close proximity, that of Russia and the United States. "Although Russia had decided to withdraw its bombers from Syria after the ceasefire concluded with the United States, it is now obliged to return to the battlefield, because Washington - in violation of its engagements - has continued to deliver sophisticated weapons to the jihadists, including Al-Qaida and Daesh. The aircraft carrier Admiral Kuznetsov should be deployed in July" [4]. Therefore, the conflict could significantly escalate this summer, which would be consistent with other peace initiatives involving Israel and Palestine, where someone decides the deal isn't sweet enough and throws a monkey wrench into the whole peace process...expect
the same this time around, only on a regional scale. This war will dwarf other wars against Israel to date. And we didn't even get to the South China Sea or Ukraine...so no, this is not going to be resolved quickly or neatly. It's going to be a bloody, messy war that will change the geopolitical and economic dynamics in a manner that will no longer recognize national borders as they are recognized today...a brave new world, indeed.


May 24: And so it begins...domestic terrorist attacks kick off in Europe with the
downing of a flight out of Paris, bound for Egypt and likely the result of links between
the captain of Flight MS804 and a Muslim terrorist that was involved with killing
Americans in Benghazi [1]. With Ramadan kicking off in two weeks, Daesh
(ISIS/ISIL) lobbed an audio message out to anyone with an Internet connection,
urging sympathizers in Europe and the United States to launch attacks on civilians
there if they are unable to travel to the group's self-declared caliphate in Syria and
Iraq, encouraging lone wolf attacks during the Muslim holy month of Ramadan [2].
Don't be surprised if AR-15s are involved in the domestic attacks in the United
States...at all. Meanwhile, Pope Francis hugs the grand imam of Cairo's Al-Azhar
Mosque, the highest authority in Sunni Islam, during a meeting at the Vatican
[3]...how quaint. They both want to bring happiness to humanity...good luck with that,
gentlemen. Pope Francis will likely end up dead before the dust has settled from the
looming Middle East war between Israel and Muslims throughout the region.

Economically, there are increasingly frequent rumblings of an imminent economic
collapse on a global scale. War will pull the world out of its fiscal nosedive or so the
saying goes, but only for a little while. After World War III has run its course, the
mother of all hard resets will push the entire world into a reality few believe is even
remotely possible today. Rather than go into specifics that would likely be debated
away with manipulated numbers and various forms of bias, simply wait six months
and then perhaps we can initiate a real conversation about the matter; for by that time,
a new U.S. President will be elected (well, maybe...). Shortly thereafter, he/she will
inherit a monumental mess left behind by the previous Administrations, a mess that
cannot be fixed. Perhaps one of the best websites to track economic events as they
In two weeks, research begins in earnest on the last Master’s degree thesis, entitled *The Impact of Event X on Homeland Security and Global Denuclearization*. Needless to say, our network has been preparing for this global transition for quite some time, and we have documented our awareness of this transition along the way, to ensure that everyone realizes how blatantly obvious this transition was to our network, years before it actually transpired. Once the last of six Masters degrees is finished, work commences on a Doctor of Business Administration (DBA) degree at Baker College (online program), assuming of course that the Internet remains functional and there is an economy in place that will support higher education throughout the three year DBA program. If those conditions are met, the dissertation once completed will address the topic of leadership and how leaders can adapt their businesses to the new global economy following the global economic and geopolitical reset slated for next year. To examine a current understanding of leadership as it applies to today's world, go to [www.maccoby.com](http://www.maccoby.com) and review the articles and books listed online.


[2] [http://bigstory.ap.org/article/0da4bd9d78cc441c87fd00f5a77ae764/islamic-state-group-leader-urges-attacks-europe-and-us](http://bigstory.ap.org/article/0da4bd9d78cc441c87fd00f5a77ae764/islamic-state-group-leader-urges-attacks-europe-and-us)

May 31: Former Homeland Security Officer, Philip Haney, stated that he was "ordered by his superiors at DHS to delete of modify several hundred reports of individuals tied to designated Islamic terror groups from the important federal database, the Treasury Enforcement Communications System (TECS);" after which it was no longer possible to connect the dots that "enable DHS Customs and Border Protection officers to see patterns that could pose a threat to the nation's security" [1]. This is consistent with previous incidents in which the acquisition of weapons by domestic radicalized Muslim groups went unreported by government officials responsible for investigating and reporting such incidents [2]. If we cannot trust government officials, what recourse do Americans have? They can vote for Trump in November (if there are elections), as Hillary Clinton is one of many government officials who have actively covered up operations to supply radicalized Muslims weapons at home and/or overseas, such as the Benghazi operations that resulted in the death of four U.S. personnel assigned to Benghazi, Libya. The name of the operation to supply weapons to domestic Muslim groups has not yet been publicly released, but it is similar in nature to Operation Fast and Furious in which thousands of weapons were supplied to Mexican drug cartels, the same cartels that are actively collaborating with transnational terrorist groups.

The only question that remains unanswered is whether or not those attacks will force the federal government to declare a state of emergency and postpone the November elections until sometime in 2017. The State Department issues a Europe Travel Alert, stating that Americans are at risk of "potential terrorist attacks throughout Europe, targeting major events, tourist sites, restaurants, commercial centers and transportation" [3]. Similar attacks are expected in the United States, as outlined in the March 3rd blog post as part of the larger "War of 2016-17" [4]. Conclusion: there
WILL be domestic terrorist attacks prior to the November elections, and there is nothing in place to stop these attacks from transpiring. We are facing a clash of civilizations.

On a brighter note, it is highly unlikely that an EMP strike against the United States would ever transpire, simply because doing so would destroy the entire planet's ecosystem, and a large-scale EMP attack would require large, industrialized state sponsorship to facilitate and carry out successfully. A North Korean or Iranian EMP attack would only have localized effects and could be mitigated effectively enough to prevent another Fukushima event on a national scale, though another Fukushima level event in which one or a handful of nuclear reactors could be compromised to a point that backup power generation capabilities could not be repaired in time to prevent a meltdown. We lived through the Cold War without blowing ourselves up, so a large-scale destruction of the electrical grid is highly unlikely; otherwise, the large EMP attack would result in the nation's 100 nuclear reactors dropping offline, with backup generators eventually running out of fuel to power them [5]. Just one Fukushima resulted in approximately $500 billion in damage [5], and the reactor complex remains an open wound that continues to kill off significant amounts of life in the Pacific Ocean and in Japan. We are looking at a cumulative mass extinction event, even without a nuclear "Event X" scenario involving a nuclear weapon detonation and/or nuclear power plant catastrophe. Homeland Security can be expected to implement greater controls over everyday life, telling people what they can and cannot to, where they can and cannot go, and what they can and cannot buy. There is no other way to manage a cumulative global extinction level event through centralized governance without implementing massive controls over virtually all facets of society and human behavior.
June 7: Going to press with this week’s blog was a challenge as I knew it would be. There is never enough information available to confirm in advance the exact timing of predicted benchmarked events without being directly involved with orchestrating global events. Nevertheless, it is time to post, so here it is:

* **Summer 2016** - Multiple terrorist attacks against the West occur after initial attacks transpire in the Middle East during Ramadan against Israeli and Western personnel/assets, expanding predominantly to Europe and the United States (Orlando, Miami, Los Angeles (attack thwarted), San Francisco, New York (attack thwarted), and Boston) during the summer months. These attacks result in a handful to **dozens** of people killed in each attack on both sides of the pond (benchmark confirmed June 12th).

* **October 2016** – Large-scale conventional terrorist attack(s) in Europe and/or the United States kill **hundreds** of innocent civilians in October alone, prompting a joint U.S.-European military contingent to the Middle East by the end of 2016, as promised by President Obama and European leaders after the attack(s).

* **November 2016** – The United States does not veto the U.N. resolution (e.g., veto = support for Israeli position) to establish a two-state solution that also acknowledges Palestine as a sovereign nation, as a means of appeasing the Muslim world. However, Palestinian president Mahmoud Abbas is assassinated (by rogue Russian operatives), inflaming the Muslim world against Israel and the West. A major earthquake along the West coast of the United States destroys tens of thousands of homes. This destruction is accompanied by a loss of grid power to large areas of the West coast, affecting millions of Americans. **Thousands** are killed or missing. Hundreds of
thousands of West coast residents are displaced to locations east of the immediate coastline. Many permanently relocate to the Rocky Mountains and the East coast, with relocations funded by the federal government.

* **December 2016** – A joint U.S.-European military contingent fails to halt terrorist activities as Muslim nations begin overtly siding with Daesh (ISIS/ISIL) and other regional terrorist groups against the United States and Europe. As a result, the 2016 U.S. Presidential election becomes irrelevant, as the United States finds itself in all-out war against the Muslim world by the time a new President is sworn into office in 2017.

* **March 2017** – Full-scale hostilities break out in the Middle East on a regional scale, with the predominant escalation in conflict centered around Syria and Israel initially. It should be noted that once the conflict directly involves Israel to a significant extent (e.g., beyond covert activities as observed/reported today), what follows is highly difficult to predict. One possible chain of events is presented below. Note that the geopolitical and economic infrastructures currently in place will not survive this transition, at least not in their current form, so whatever transpires after March 2017 will be extraordinary in nature.

* **April 2017** – Israel destroys Damascus as hostile neighbors align against Israel, while U.S. forces supplement Israeli forces to push back advances by Israel's neighboring rebel groups and state-sponsored forces.

* **May 2017** – In response to Israel's actions against Damascus, a retaliatory nuclear “Event X” terrorist attack in Manhattan kills **tens of thousands** of Americans, as the
United States is believed by the Muslim world to be complicit in the attack on Damascus. Stock markets collapse and close, while banks around the world declare extended bank holidays due to bank runs, concerns over possible follow-on attacks and skyrocketing interbank loan rates. Cyber-attacks also force banks to block Internet access, and tens of millions of people are unable to access the Internet during the wave of cyberattacks. Capital controls are fully implemented throughout the industrialized world, and domestic uprisings accompany the chaos that force governments to implement what amounts to measures that constitute a global police state. Cyber-attacks also shut down portions of the electrical grid, tripping several nuclear reactors offline for extended periods of time.

* June 2017 – European intervention to begin diffusing the situation in the Middle East is successful, along with international mediation to produce the same results in Southeast Asia. A new international financial system is brought online, with a majority of countries adopting the new system as soon as it is available.

* October 2017 – Hostilities in the Middle East and Southeast Asia end with comprehensive MENA and SE Asian peace agreements that are overwhelmingly approved by United Nations member states and unanimously approved by U.N. Security Council nations. Hundreds of thousands were killed in the regional conflicts in the Middle East and Southeast Asia. All major industrialized nations have adopted at least in part the new international financial system.

* December 2017 - Cash is outlawed worldwide and exchanged for the new global digital currency. Government issued IDs and/or biometrics are required to access the Internet and conduct transactions between parties. People are required to sign up for a
new service that will allow the user to conduct all transactions using a "smart ring" on their finger [1]. However, smart ring production backlogs require a full year to effectively implement the new blockchain-enabled [2] technology on a global scale.

* December 2018 - Millions of people around the world perished during this transition to a new financial system and geopolitical world order through the end of 2018.

Let's give "millions of people" an exact number, say ten million people. Divide that by 2-1/2 years and you come up with 4 million deaths annually that are attributed to the scenario outlined above. That equates to 4,000,000 deaths/year / 365 days/year = 10,958 deaths/day during this 2-1/2 year period. With ~7.4 billion people alive today, and an expected life span of 67.2 years [3], the average annual mortality rate is ~110,119,000 / 365.25 days/year = 301,490 deaths/day, or an additional 300,000 deaths daily. The percentage of deaths attributable to this scenario is 10,952/310,000 * 100% = 3.524%, or approximately 3.5% of all deaths through the end of 2018...a wildly optimistic estimate under the given circumstances. That number is something most people can wrap their heads around, but the final tally will likely exceed this amount.

This scenario includes Department of Homeland Security National Planning Scenarios #1, #9 and #15 [4], and assumes that current global food production and birth/death rates remain constant except for the deaths directly attributable to this overall scenario. If the conflict further escalates beyond the estimates outlined above, the number of deaths during this period could total 1-2 orders of magnitude beyond what is suggested, depending on the collective thinking of humanity, in accordance with the
Law of Thought as Destiny. Since the purpose of this post is to examine a scenario that government could respond to in an effective manner that would allow continuity of government, the most conservative numbers will be used for discussion purposes in future posts. Based on the world’s current trajectory and an abject lack of a cohesive front to counter the inevitable chaos that is expected to ensue in the coming months, this hypothetical scenario is roughly along the lines of what the world can expect to contend with over the next 2-1/2 years.

**June 14:** What a difference a week makes...the thesis course was postponed until October, so weekly updates can continue through the end of September. One interesting article found over the last week included the statistic "that at least 226 terror attacks have occurred over the last 30 days by Islamic Jihadists, in 32 different countries, including the U.S. after the Orlando massacre, where at least 2,230 people have been brutally slaughtered and over 2,224 people have been injured" [1]. While this may seem like a shocking statistic, a review of terrorist attacks and casualties worldwide by for 2015 lists 28,328 total deaths and 35,320 injured [2]. That's an average of 2360 deaths and 2943 injuries each month in 2015. This is a 13% reduction in terrorist attacks compared to 2014 [2]. This reduction in 2015 is due to "fewer attacks and deaths in Iraq, Pakistan, and Nigeria" [2]. While that initial article's headline seems outrageous, it is actually following a normal trend of terrorist activity. That is both good and bad. Good that terrorist activity is not increasing, but bad that this level of activity remains firmly entrenched within our civilization. Also worth noting is the alleged death of Daesh leader, Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, "killed by coalition air strikes on Raqqa on the fifth day of Ramadan" [3], though another source suggested that al-Baghdadi had been killed in Mosul [4]. Regardless of al-Baghdadi's fate, a 2012 Defense Intelligence Agency report explicitly stated how the general situation in Syria was essentially a sectarian conflict [5]:

**A. Internally, events are taking a clear sectarian direction.**

**B. The Salafist, the Muslim Brotherhood, and AQI are the major forces driving the insurgency in Syria.**

**C. The West, Gulf countries, and Turkey support the opposition, while Russia, China, and Iran support the regime.**
Aside from this week's attack in Orlando, an attack that could have been prevented had Hillary Clinton not blocked the investigation into the mosque that "Orlando killer Omar Mir Siddique Mateen attended because it 'unfairly singled out Muslims'" [6], Americans would be paying little attention to ongoing terrorist activity. Since President Obama chose to identify this attack as strictly domestic in nature, there is unlikely to be a firm response via an uptick in overseas military commitments. Domestic terrorist attacks would have to continue in the United States and be directly attributed to overseas transnational terrorist groups such as Daesh (ISIS/ISIL) before any appreciable U.S. military commitment beyond what is already observed is reflected on the ground in the Middle East and Northern Africa. On the surface, it does not appear that there is any appreciable change in terrorist activity or U.S. commitments expected in the short term, and that the benchmarked timeline from last week's post (below) is not indicative of a ramp-up to a major conflagration in the Middle East by the end of 2016. The conflict in the Middle East remains largely a sectarian conflict today, though that is about to change.

The economy also seems relatively stable on the surface, as if the June 23rd Brexit vote in the U.K. and other economic changes throughout the European Union, as well as continued Japanese economic stagnation can be weathered by the global economy. The global economy has not collapsed yet so why would it collapse now, right? Well, since topsiders always prefer a comforting worldview bias towards a positive outcome, regardless of the information available to research and consider when gaming an effective response to real-world events, let's close this week's post on that note and just ignore the geopolitical and economic rumblings behind the scenes. The façade of stability is absolutely required in order to maintain market stability and minimize the threat of domestic uprisings. Therefore, we will simply wait and see
what the next few weeks have in store. It is the holy month of Ramadan, after all, so the Orlando attack will likely prove to be just an aberration (note the sarcasm...).

Keep in mind, the goal of this overall effort is to prevent a nuclear "Event X" scenario from ever transpiring.

June 16 - Notes from DVD *The Final Roman Emperor: Petrus Romanus / Exo Vaticana*

Vatican = Unholy See

Knowledge inconsistent with conventional Catholic doctrine suppressed

Peru seems to be the central hub of the civilization prior to the Great Flood

Transhumanists bragging about the end of mankind

Snowden declares that the U.S. government is run by aliens from under the earth

The all seeing eye is symbolic for the cyclopean civilization that preceded the Great Flood

Cusco, Peru – Catholic churches located there are built over entrances to a vast underground system

The offspring of the Nephilim fled to underground caverns for protection from the Great Flood

They were so large that a skeleton with one of the elbows was found leaning on the top of a ship for perspective

Aliens are identified as trans-dimensional demonic entities and fallen angels
Vatican hiding an archway through which entities are moving into and out of the physical world

Locations identified historically as a metaphysical opening are controlled by the Vatican, and are tied into intersections of lay lines

There is no earthly wisdom that can account for the locations of these entrances – vast networks of underground tunnels

DUMBs were built on the ancient tunnel system, previously used by indigenous peoples in North America when they were avoiding the U.S. government

The native American legends discuss giants, stargates, glyphs, spirals in the sky, stones of significance, etc.

There is biblical evidence supporting the indigenous legends

The Vatican has a secret plan for the arrival of an alien ‘savior’

The interest of the Roman Catholic Church regarding the underground cyclopean network is primarily to cover-up the former existence of giants up to 36 feet tall prior to the Great Flood, and much smaller after the Great Flood

Beings from the underground subterranean world will begin to surface in the coming years
In 2005, out of Bagram base in Afghanistan an AC-130 pilot flew a dead giant out of the area. When he was interviewed, the pilot said that the indigenous told him that the earth would be divulging these giant beings again soon

Mount Graham is a significant energetic point on earth

Giant was 10-12 feet, weighed half a ton, smelled horrible, and was accompanied by intelligence agents who made personnel give up phones, sign national security letters, sworn to secrecy

The whole presentation of evidence of giants to the public in the coming years will be controlled by the Vatican

During the 1800s and 1900s, there were dozens of instances documented in which Americans were digging up giants

The gate of the earth will open up to allow giants to come up from the earth and walk among today’s people

Jesuit astronomers are focused on extraterrestrials with the observatories designed to track their activity

Opus Dei officials said extraterrestrials may be closer to the Creator and understand the Bible better than mankind, and could present a ‘corrected’ version of the gospel.
The Vatican forced themselves onto Mt. Graham because at the highest levels, “they know what is approaching the earth and it will be of the utmost importance in coming years.”

Extraterrestrials will help rewrite the Bible according to a Vatican official.

Vatican Advanced Technology telescope and the LUCIFER telescope is used to examine possible objects outside the visual light spectrum, parallel universes, etc.

Mt. Graham is significant and was pushed through by Arizona Senator McCain to the objections of the indigenous tribes that controlled that land – now Arizona State University, NASA and the Vatican control the land.

There are literally billions of UFOs in space that can be observed.

The main reason the Apache Indian tribe did not want them on this land is because Mt. Graham is one of four most sacred sites in the world, and a portal through which beings can enter the physical world.

Biblical perspective identifies Mt. Graham and other sacred sites are gateways to heaven; Sedona is another location where a sacred site and gateway is found; Mt. Herman is another sacred site.

Spiral vortices and fiery flying seraphim, a reptilian being coming through, giants swarming over the land entered through these sacred places which is consistent with the Old Testament doctrine.
These portals that were opened during antiquity will be opened again in the end times. 2016 is a significant date for the opening of these gateways of the earth and an eruption of these gigantic beings. Hybrid demonics and king Abadon which reside in the bottomless pit (hell) is predicted to be unleashed again (today). Some of the dead are held in Abadon.

The snake in the Garden of Eden that beguiled Eve was in fact a luminescent serpent being – an evil seraphim or a fiery flying serpent

In the time before time, a bearded man is The Ancient One in a silvery disk that came down from heaven – the wheel in the middle of the wheel – the Olphanim or the Creator

The king of the watchers was a serpent – very frightening with piercing eyes, which recommended to the indigenous to practice witchcraft underground to open portals. CERN is doing the same thing today, with gravitons escaping into an alternative universe

Pharmaceuticals place an individual into an altered state that brings negative energies and entities into this world through the minds of the people taking these drugs

There was a period during the early Christian church that Enoch should have been given as much weight as Moses

Mount Akra bordering Turkey is another portal; other portals are being developed,
similar to the Tower of Babel. CERN would be a high tech version of this

The Garden of Eden was also a mountain/portal

Cumaean Sibyl was a pagan prophetess – there was more than one Sibyl, with one in Jerusalem. There were Sibylenne oracles and books

The Muslim Madhi is a human who Muslims are expected to make the whole world Muslim. This is connected to Sibyls, with one book talking about The Great Roman Emperor in the 600s around the time Islam was established. The Western Roman Empire had fallen in the 500s. The Eastern Roman empire was centered at Istanbul. Muslim eschatology is more a response to Christian doctrine than an original work. The Madhi was never mentioned in the Koran. The Madhi is just a response to the Great Roman Emperor. The Koran is a corrupted version of the holy text given to Christians.

The Great Roman Emperor was copied from the Sibylline prophecies, which is connected to the Great Seal of the United States, suggesting that the Great Roman Emperor would originate in today’s world in the United States. This idea of the Great Roman Emperor was circulating until the 1600s. Many at the time thought this would be the antichrist. The Greeks had their own version of the Sibyl for the God Apollo. The Cumaean Sibyl was honored along Old Testament prophets in the Vatican and in the Great Seal of the United States (the Dome) facing the obelisk, suggesting the Great Roman Emperor would lead the world’s people during the end times – the New World Order. Occultist prophecies equate to the antichrist and what will lead to Armageddon. Roman Catholic and the ISIS phenomena are related to end times /
Armageddon.

There is both an antichrist of Christian and Muslim backgrounds, so one person could fill the role of both religions. Globalism is occurring now, and ISIS is playing a central role. The Hegelian dialectic is reflected by ISIS as the antithesis of democracy and freedom. It moves the world a step closer to global totalitarian control.

Pope Francis was using specific language, such as a “just war”, “genocide” and other buzz words that suggest that ISIS will create a trigger event for the Pope to call for a “just war”. The Vatican will condone the war and Muslims will see this as a crusade and will respond accordingly. The esoteric side – ISIS shows a takeover of Rome and the death of the Pope. Pope Francis says that people should prepare themselves for the end times. The Pope dedicated the world to the Fatima and the Third Secret, which suggests that Rome is taken over. Pope Francis knows that he is the last pope. In the Zohar after 2013, Rome will be destroyed. Rabbis are also stating that the antichrist is walking on the earth today. The next four years will observe the unfolding of such events. This is a religious war between Christendom and the forces of Islam as imagined by ISIS. Christians should understand that immortal beings implement strategies that span centuries, rather than within one normal lifetime. There is a letter supposedly written to Albert Pike that predicted three world wars. The first two wars were described very accurately, and the book about this was copywrited prior to World War II (1920). An improper understanding of prophecy can lead people into error. The book is a roadmap is what is to come.
June 17 - Notes from the book The Final Roman Emperor, the Islamic Antichrist, and the Vatican’s Last Crusade

Since 1948, Israel’s mere presence amongst its Muslim neighbors remains the top unsolvable threat to world peace, underlying an undeclared Third World War, one that has already begun (p. ix). “We believe that one day all of these tension will be decided in Jerusalem. Rome and the United States will likely also be the brunt of terrorism” (p. 3).

“The Great Monarch of Catholic eschatology, the Mahdi of Islamic eschatology, and the Antichrist of Christian eschatology all work together driving the world toward a globalist totalitarian socialism under the thumb of one man, whether he is seen as king, messiah, or both. In the end, the world will be deceived into opposing Jesus Christ when He returns to the Mount of Olives and crushes them at what has come to be called the ‘Battle of Armageddon,’ although some translators prefer ‘War of Armageddon,’ we contend the battle for the Mount of Assembly, otherwise known as Mount Zion in Jerusalem” (p. 4).

“Islam’s central figure, the prophet Mohammad, allegedly predicted the invasion and defeat of both Constantinople and Rome” (p. 19).

ISIS has challenged the world to defeat them in Dibiq (p. 24). ISIS wants an “end-times Holy War leading to the arrival of the Islamic Mahdi (Messiah)” (p. 26).

The Vatican’s “Just War” doctrine can be found in the Catholic Church 1992 Catechism, paragraph 2309, “which lists strict conditions for ‘legitimate defense by
Pope Francis knows that he is the final pope (#112), in accordance with St. Malachy’s “Prophecy of the Popes” (p. 27). “Both Pope Francis and ISIS believe that are engaged in an end-times scenario” (p. 29).

“The use of a WMD by ISIS will be the trigger event of not only Catholic and Muslim apocalypticism but a final Great Awakening,” and will partly provide the impetus for both the Church and society’s awakening” (p. 41).

The book’s author has dreams predicting a nuclear explosion in the United States, as well as an enormous detonation somewhere in the Middle East.

“We believe it is likely that a coalition force will attack the IS during or right after this book is being printed” (p. 57). (NOTE: This book and accompanying DVD were ordered around the end of May and delivered on June 15th)

The United Nations is the “properly instituted authority by the pope” to approve and coordinate a just war. Pope Francis also identified IS as an unjust aggressor, fulfilling the second requirement for a just war. “Third, the basic requirement is that peace is the ultimate motive” (p. 62).

“During the late medieval period to the early Reformation period, the Roman Catholic Church martyred more than fifty million Christians” (p. 64). “The Church in Rome has been the greatest institutional enemy that the true gospel will ever face” (p. 68). Compare those numbers to “the USSR with sixty-two million killed by government, military force”” (p. 25).
China with thirty-five million, and Nazi Germany with twenty-one million” (p. 69).

“Given that the Ottoman Empire is only credited with a total of two million, one marvels at the pope’s bravado in criticizing the West while employing Just War terms against the IS” (p. 69).

“According to Muslim prophecy in the Hadith, there are five stages of world history. The fifth (and final) stage begins with the return of the caliphate responsible for ushering in the Mahdi – the final successor of the prophet Muhammad and leader of the entire Muslim world. The IS claims to be that very one” (p. 80).

“Most all Muslim fundamentalists operate under a Crusade war ethic, and Mahdism adds more fuel to that already raging fire.” “The purpose of war is to destroy evil and impose a perceived ‘ideal’ social order by force.” “Wars of crusade are fought as ‘total war.’ The struggle is ‘all out,’ with ‘no holds barred,’ and ‘no quarter given’” (p. 81).

“According to Crusade War theory, good can never find middle ground with evil, which explains why, no matter how well intended Secretary of State John Kerry might be concerning the ‘two-state solution’ in Palestine, it is doomed to fail” (p. 82).

“A Sharia law manual defines jihad simply as ‘war against non-Muslims’” (p. 85).

“As believers in the divine inspiration of Scripture, we believe these ‘devotion to destruction’ commands really do come from the Creator of the Universe.” “Nephilim genetics were like an ancient antediluvian curse, and it seems compelling that the
Lord commanded the Israelite invaders to cleanse the land of them” (p. 88).

“According to ancient texts, the deceased human-hybrid giants are now evil spirits upon the earth” (p. 91).

“Angels are not to be worshiped!” (p. 92)

“The Islamic State looms dark on the horizon as an intentional antithesis to freedom and values, pulling the West along a dialectic trajectory, a hook in the jaw towards globalism” (p. 99).

“For the competition is about who will establish the first one-world system of government. –Malachi Martin, The Keys of this Blood” (p. 101)

“Following the overt denial of Jesus as the Christ (Islamic prayer) being officially condoned, Pope Francis called Mahmoud Abbas, ‘an angel of peace’.” “Abbas is a terrorist who proudly wrote his doctoral dissertation on the idea that Zionists collaborated with the Nazis and the Holocaust killed less than one million Jews” (p. 109)

“Mahdism entails the belief that Islam’s prophesized messiah, the Mahdi, is returning in one’s lifetime” (p. 114).

“ISIL believes it will defeat the army of ‘Rome’ at the town of Dabiq in fulfillment of prophecy” (p. 115).
“The IS even named its propaganda magazine Dabiq. It then used that same periodical to provoke the Roman Catholic Church, predict the overthrow of Rome, and topped it off by threatening to set off a nuclear device on US soil with the assistance of its Mexican drug cartel connections and detonate another nuke somewhere in India through its Pakistani Taliban friends” (p. 116).

“The Hegelian dialectic is the framework for guiding our thoughts and actions into conflicts that lead us to a predetermined solution that is primarily global governance. President Obama uses Islam to this end” (p. 128).

“According to the pope, the world’s problem is no longer sinful man’s rebellion against a holy God, but rather a ‘bad and unjust socioeconomic system’” (p. 157).

“There is at present in Catholic circles a constant, subtle and determined campaign in favor of Freemasonry” (p. 161).

In Pope Francis’s encyclical on climate change, Sulla Cura Della Casa Comuine, the following statement is made: “To manage the global economy; to revive economies hit by the crisis; to avoid any deterioration of the present crisis and the greater imbalances that would result; to bring about integral and timely disarmament, food security and peace; to guarantee the protection of the environment and to regulate migration: for all this, there is urgent need of a true world political authority” (p. 165).

“Our last major confirmation is that Pope Francis is laying the groundwork for a one-world religion by merging pluralist/inclusivist branches of Judaism, Islam, and Christianity” (p. 166).
“First published in Russia in 1907, The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion was revealed to be plagiarized from an 1864 novel, Dialogues in Hell, by Maurice Joly” (p. 191). “In one fell swoop, the Protocols blamed the Jews for everything from Marxism to world poverty” (p. 192).

“The infamous Battle of Armageddon has more to do with ‘the Mount of Assembly’ than the plains of Megiddo” (p. 223).

“Biblical theology dictates conditions for the ultimate eschatological restoration of Israel and then the nations are judged based on how they treated the Jews” (p. 223).

“Islam also teaches a final tribulation, resurrection of the dead, and eternal division of the righteous and wicked.” “Belief in the Day of Judgement is one of the six aqidah (‘articles of faith’) in Sunni Islam.” “In fact, belief in the last day is the second of the five pillars of Islam, making eschatology more central to Islam than Christianity and Judaism” (p. 230).

“The success of the IS is a byproduct of Shia/Sunni tensions and the Mahdist uprising amongst Sunnis in response to the US invasion of Iraq and Afghanistan and a bungled exit strategy” (p. 244).

According to a recent Pew Research Center survey, “at least half of all Muslims in the Middle East, North Africa, and South Asia expect the imminent appearance of the Mahdi” (p. 245).
“Mahdism has changed the landscape of geopolitics by providing an outlet for disenfranchised Sunnis in Iraq and Syria” (p. 249).

“Two-thirds of the Afghan and Iraqi populations self-identify as such Mahdists and envision the rise of a medieval-style caliphate, enforcing worldwide Sharia law – and the IS claims to be that caliphate.” “Given the ongoing proxy war between the US and Russia over Assad in Syria, a palatable chance exists that World War Three could very well be the net result of today’s policies” (p. 250).

“In all probability the Mahdi is a Muslim version of the popular ‘Last Roman Emperor’ (who arguably developed into the ‘Holy Pope’ legend) who had become legendary in medieval eschatology.” “The ‘rightly guided one’ Muslims revere as the Mahdi is nothing but a plagiarized character from Syrian pseudapigrapha – a legend of seventh-century Syrian Christianity, which is a mainstay in Roman Catholicism to this day. The story of Mahdi is not really from Muhammad; he is borrowed from a Syrian apocalypse” (p. 251).

“It is not terribly surprising that the Muslims created the Mahdi in response to the Last Roman Emperor – the consummate Ottoman antihero” (p. 264).

“According to Rabbi Shternbuch, his grandfather, Vilna Gaon, taught accordingly about the coming of Messiah. When you hear that the Russians have captured the city of Crimea, you should know that the times of the Messiah have started, that his steps are being heard. And when you hear that the Russians have reached the city of Constantinople (today’s Istanbul), you should put on your Shabbat clothes and don’t take them off, because it means that the Messiah is about to come any minute” (p. 
“How many people know that located right inside Washington, DC, is: 1) a stargate; 2) Seventy-two (72) pentagrams at the base of the stargate to control the ‘Immortals’; 3) powerful generators (Dome and Obelisk) designed from antiquity to make the stargate work; and 4) ancient prophecies connected to this device that make it clear exactly who will be coming through the mystical doorway in the future? How many also know that similar devices and prophecies are built into the Vatican’s headquarters in Rome?” (p. 330).

“Once one understands the importance that these mystical keys hold in Kabbalah, Rosicrucianism, Freemasonic mysticism, and other mystery traditions, there can be (and is) but one reasonable interpretation for the connection in the Vatican and the seventy-two pentagrams at the base of the Apotheosis of Washington. These are there to bind and control the demons over the nations to honor the dedication made by early American Freemasons and certain Roman devotees for a New Atlantis and New World Order under the coming antichrist deity Osiris/Apollo” (p. 363).

“In other words, what may be the most powerful stargates in the world are in Washington, DC and at the Vatican. They are ready now to open, that the spirit that is prophesied to inhabit THE FINAL ROMAN EMPEROR may come through them to establish his new and final Golden Pagan Age” (p. 363).
June 21 - There are continued indications of an escalation on attacks against Western targets by Daesh, with "77 US and NATO military facilities around the globe, including US air force bases in South Korea, as targets" [1]. Immigration has brought the following diseases back to the United States: tuberculosis, measles, whooping cough, mumps, scarlet fever and bubonic plague [2]. Turkey is now coordinating a resolution to the ongoing conflict in Syria with Assad, making it impossible for the United States to eliminate Assad and establish dominance in Syria [3]. With the United States having sided with Iran in the ongoing Iraqi conflicts against Daesh (ISIS/ISIL), allies in Iraq will be few and far between after pro-Iranian militias have engaged in war crimes against Sunni civilians [4]. In other words, the United States is losing influence in both the Syrian and Iraqi conflicts while finding itself infiltrated at home by Muslims supportive of Sharia law and diseases that will further compromise an already ailing health system.

A major terrorist attack is still expected in Europe and the United States this summer, and is still expected to prompt a major military contingent against Daesh in the weeks and months that follow. However, with Western influence waning on the Middle East, the scenario outlined in the June 7th post below is slowly coming to fruition. What is missing is the trigger for the region's Muslim nations to turn on Israel, such as the assassination of President Assad. With many within the Republican Party turning on Trump, Hillary Clinton is starting to merge as the strongest presidential candidate. However, it was then Secretary of State Clinton who stated in an email "that the 'best way to help Israel' is to 'use force' in Syria to overthrow the government" [5]. Clinton also stated in an email that "Iran's 'atom bomb' program threatens Israel's 'monopoly' on nuclear weapons in the Middle East." "If Iran were to acquire a nuclear weapon, Clinton asserts, this would allow Syria (and other 'adversaries of Israel' such as
Saudi Arabia and Egypt) to 'go nuclear as well,' all of which would threaten Israel's interests." "Therefore, Clinton, says, Syria has to be destroyed" [5]. "According to US law, the Defense Department must ensure that weapons sales to Mideast nations must comport with an American guarantee of Israel's qualitative military edge (QME) in the region" [6].

China built the world's fastest computer without U.S. microchips [7]. China has stated that the lawsuit regarding China's territorial claim in the South China Sea by the Philippines will be ignored, with the Permanent Court of Arbitration expected to rule on the case soon [8]. China is slowly establishing greater influence in the region, a trend that will not be reversed without military intervention by the United States. Meanwhile, the number of migrants fleeing conflicts around the world is roughly one percent of the world's population, or 65.3 million people [9]. "As conflict and persecution force growing numbers of people to flee, anti-migrant political sentiment has strained the willingness to resettle refugees" [9]. Western civilization is buckling under the strain of continued conflicts around the world. The U.S. response since Obama took office in 2009 was to "issue more than a million green cards to migrants from majority-Muslim countries, according to an analysis of Department of Homeland Security data" [10]. With domestic resources tied up at home in the United States and military assets spread too thin along two fronts (Middle East and Southeast Asia), the United Nations "is launching a major push to expand and further empower its UN 'police' force known as UNPOL" [11]. Nationalism is slowly being replaced by globalism.
So yes, the timeline outlined in the June 7th post remains in effect...no changes noted as of June 21, aside from an uptick in operational readiness to DEFCON 3...happy summer solstice.

[12] https://twitter.com/DEFCONWS
June 28 - Notes from *MY ELITE FRIEND TOLD ME…what to expect between now and January 1, 2017.*

By Lindsey Williams

Nothing happens on the face of this earth happens by chance.

There positively is a group of people on the earth who control the world.

The elite have a code of ethics – they must tell you everything they are going to do before they do it. If you can learn how to discern their buzz words, you will be able to dispel much heartache and prepare accordingly.

If they elite have their way, what you are going to hear in this DVD will take place between now and January 1st, 2017. Donald Trump – yes, they know what is exactly going to happen (to him).

The Divine Encounter and the date of the proposed major financial collapse, and details of each item to occur during this period will be presented below.

The elite including Obama will do everything in their power from becoming President of the United States of America. Donald Trump is NOT one of the elite. I personally believe that he will win the election. Will Donald Trump become the President? Will he be allowed to become the President of the United States of America?

The elite had a Divine Encounter. They were expecting such an event. They had a
financial collapse planned for September 2015. God intervened – there was a complete financial collapse, gave America one more opportunity to repent. Graphs are presented to confirm a collapse on September 2015. Jonathan Cahn presented stock markets during the Shemitah year, reflecting thousands of points in total drops in various stock markets around the world, including 43% of the Chinese stock market in 2-1/2 months. Container freight rates plummeted 70% in three weeks. World trade sees biggest contraction since 2008 crisis during this period. Baltic Dry Index hits 30-year low (ever since index existed), dropping from ~2000 to ~400. Similar effects were observed in commodities markets. 20% of worst stock market crashes occurred in 2015.

The change in American of repentance has not happened…

David Stockman presents the following: 90 straight months of zero interest rates, 3-1/2 trillion of money printed. Greenspan started it, Bernanke added to it, Yellen follows along. We cannot follow zero interest rates with economic growth. Retirement savings is earning next to nothing. 84 months long economic recovery is over – recession later this year (2016) or next year (2017). When the recession hits, the markets will be utterly unprepared. First signs will be buildup of inventories with an inventory to sales ratio at record highs, capital spending has petered out entirely, transportation/goods are not moving involuntarily. That is always a sign that a recession is right around the corner. Jobs are another sign. Labor force participation rate reflects the number of people actually out of work. The 20-year credit/growth boom is over. Other major nations are also in major debt bubble that is coming to an end. What we are entering now is a payback period.
If the elite have their way, you must be prepared. Elite friend provided an overview of the last three months of 2016. There is going to be relative calm from today through the 1st of October. Afterwards, it might be quite different. Don’t worry…be ready. If so, everything will fall into place for you and your family.

Things are happening that the public does not know about. The U.S. Navy is paying for their fuel with silver, being shipped there because the Persian Gulf countries do not trust the dollar. In many countries this is the case now. Ships are arriving in some of the ports in the U.S. and turning around and leaving because the only thing to pay for the products are the U.S. dollar. They demanded other currencies. The only people that don’t realize the condition that America is in are Americans. It is right now being suggested that America in the very near future that there will be two currencies. A domestic only dollar, to be used inside the United States. That dollar is scheduled to be devalued twice in the near future. When this happens, a devaluation of 30% shortly after the domestic dollar is issued, and not long after that, a second 30% devaluation will be implemented. A new world currency will be asset backed currency. There is no gold in Fort Knox to back our nation’s currency. The only way that our military can operate is to have an asset backed currency by giving strategic petroleum reserves or rent gold from China. China has been buying tons of gold for many years, backing yuan with gold now. The dollar is no longer the petrodollar. It is on the way out. As a result, other nations are not accepting the dollar. Americans don’t have the slightest idea of what money is, because we don’t have any money left.

Our military is in a shambles and the world knows it. Marines are salvaging parts to maintain a minimum level of operational readiness. F-18s used 30 years ago are still flying today. Budget cuts are making it harder to keep planes flying. Sometimes it
takes 18 months to get needed parts. In one operational squadron, only 2 of 14 planes are flying, and they have to deploy later this year. Military spending has declined from $691 in 2010 to $560 in 2015. Many military mechanics have left for private jobs. Commanders say they are seeing an unprecedented level of stress on military personnel. Only 42 out of 147 are mission capable. Military personnel are being lost to exhaustion.

Criminals and revolutionaries have intentionally been brought into our country to be used against us after October 1st if the elite see this as necessary. INTENTIONALLY brought into America – immigration customs enforcement is allowing these people into the country and the government is keeping them here after being convicted of crimes. More than 86 thousand criminal illegal immigrants were released back out into the United States. More than 230 thousand crimes were committed by these 86 criminal immigrants, including homicide. 124 of them committed homicide. ICE has recently released over 60 thousand criminal immigrants, 1895 of whom were charged with another crime after their release.

After the financial crash, can you imagine what will happen in America when food stamps no longer are available (in 2017). The elected President may not take office because Obama may invoke a state of emergency in order to remain in office. People not working are bragging about not working and getting paid every month. One radio show caller said she lives virtually rent free, hundreds of dollars in food stamps, electrical, water, free cell phone, etc., and husband doesn’t see need to work much since he receives support as well. Caller works for cash on occasion – she doesn’t feel guilty since the government is offering these freebies to people. The illegal immigrants also receive benefits including welfare and college. Caller said she plans
on staying on welfare, and that her parents were also on welfare. She doesn’t care if her kids end up working or not…only if they have to. If she were to go to work, everything she would make would have to go towards child care (and other expenses paid for by the government).

Corruption in the government is alarming. Retired admiral (James “Ace” Lyons) said both parties have failed to change the situation in the world since terrorism started in earnest decades ago. The Secretary of Defense Weinberger did not approve strikes against terrorists, and also failed to respond during Tanker Wars. The Iranian civilian airliner was shot down, and the U.S. aligned with Iraq, forcing Iran to make a truce with Iraq’s Saddam Hussein, setting the stage for the Gulf Wars that followed. Islam is a political movement masquerading as a religion. The Obama administration has a strategy – it is anti-American, pro-Muslim, pro-Iranian.

The Federal Reserve is immoral, unconstitutional and a disaster. Also, it’s politics have created a terrifying financial tidal wave. The handwriting is on the wall. The dollar as the reserve standard of the world what we have embraced for 40 years has come to an end. They believe they can patch it up, but it has ended. The biggest financial bubble in the history of the world has resulted, which corresponds perfectly with David Stockman’s statements and Ron Paul when he was in Congress.

Go to www.lindseywilliams.net daily to check for updates. This is a free web site and a weekly newsletter. One person sent him an email stating that his pension was cut by 50%. It is the IUPAT pension fund. You cannot depend on the IRAs and 401Ks – the government depends on them to pay their bills.
Watch China. China is in drastic trouble. There is coming a time when the Federal Reserve will be buying back its own treasury notes. Whenever this is happening it’s over. The world does not trust our paper any longer. This game isn’t played any longer. We have squandered the dollar, and there is nothing left to back the dollar any longer.

The elite have encountered God. Lindsey has never seen such disturbed people as the elite are today. God is disturbing their nest egg. Obama has double-crossed the elite, especially with the Keystone pipeline. That pipeline that the elite needed to bring crude oil from the Arctic Wildlife Refuge in Canada to the U.S. was not approved by Obama. Lindsey cannot understand why there has not been another JFK assassination because the elite were so angry at Obama.

The Divine Intervention of September 2015 did not result in the implementation of the new currency devaluation after the market collapse. The elite are frustrated for the first time since Lindsey started working with them decades ago. The elite know that Obama has no intentions in leaving the Presidency. There is nothing they can do about it. Obama has no intentions of stepping down. Trump may win the election. Between October 2016 and Inauguration in January 2017, there is a possible financial collapse. God may step in with Divine Intervention, but normally God does not step in unless a nation repents. There is the possibility of civil unrest and riots, and hysteria in government. Washington, D.C. does not know what to do. The elite have encountered God, and they don’t know what to do about it.

Lindsey has been personally advised by his sources to do the following:
1. Have passport up to date.
2. Get out of the city.

3. Gold (and silver) is the only financial hedge.

4. Don’t have money you cannot afford to lose in a bank account.

A third party analysis of this DVD is posted to https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3tgYc0iPlbw and https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hlg8B95f94Q.
July 5 - Ramadan 2016 was a busy month indeed, with 238 terrorist attacks and 1850 people killed globally [1]. Pope Francis stated the following over the weekend:

“Jesus Christ, Jehovah, Allah. These are all names employed to describe an entity that is distinctly the same across the world. For centuries, blood has been needlessly shed because of the desire to segregate our faiths. This, however, should be the very concept which unites us as people, as nations, and as a world bound by faith. Together, we can bring about an unprecedented age of peace, all we need to achieve such a state is respect each other’s beliefs, for we are all children of God regardless of the name we choose to address him by. We can accomplish miraculous things in the world by merging our faiths, and the time for such a movement is now. No longer shall we slaughter our neighbors over differences in reference to their God” [2].

Apparently, radical Muslims did not receive the memo, as there is an obvious correlation between Islam and terrorism. The Pope's statement will not alter the course of events that is resulting in an increase in tension between the Muslim world and the West. Terrorist attacks attributed to Daesh (ISIS/ISIL) also transpired in Israel [3] during Ramadan. Aside from the uptick in terrorism, the relationship between Israel and its hostile neighbors remains largely unchanged on the surface. Therefore, some sort of catalyzing event must transpire before that relationship changes. During the previous Intifadas and conflicts with Israel, the following incidents precipitated an escalation in conflict with Israel [4]:

1. Israeli war of independence (November 1947 - July 1949) - started after the British Mandate of Palestine ended
2. Reprisal operations (1950s - 1960s) - Israeli actions were in response to constant fadayeen incursions during which Arab guerillas infiltrated from Syria, Egypt, and Jordan
3. Suez crisis (October 1956) - Israeli attack followed Egypt's decision of 26 July 1956 to nationalize the Suez Canal
4. Six-Day War (June 1967) - Israel's neighbors simultaneously invaded Israel
5. War of Attrition (1967 - 1970) - initiated by the Egyptians as a way of recapturing the Sinai from the Israelis
6. Yom Kippur War (October 1973) - began with a surprise joint attack by Egypt and Syria
8. 1982 Lebanon War (1982) - Israel Defense Forces invaded southern Lebanon to expel the PLO from the territory
12. 2006 Lebanon War (summer 2006) - began as a military operation in response to the abduction of two Israeli reserve soldiers by the Hezbollah
13. Gaza War (December 2008 - January 2009) - Israel responded to ongoing rocket fire from the Gaza Strip
14. Operation Pillar of Defense (November 2012) - preceded by a period with a number of mutual Israeli-Palestinian responsive attacks [7]
15. Operation Protective Edge (July - August 2014) - Military offensive on the Gaza Strip as a response to the collapse of American-sponsored peace talks, attempts by rival Palestinian factions to form a coalition government, the kidnapping and murder
of three Israeli teenagers, the subsequent kidnapping and murder of a Palestinian teenager, and increased rocket attacks on Israel by Hamas militants

"The deputy commander of the Revolutionary Guards, Brig.-Gen. Hossein Salami, said on Friday “more than 100,000 missiles are ready to fly from Lebanon,” [8]. That statement implies that Iran is willing to engage in another conflict with Israel via Lebanon. However, with forces building on both sides, the next conflict will eclipse previous conflicts in recent years. Currently, there is no Third Intifada, so there is no immediate event expected that would directly result in a conflict between Israel and its hostile neighbors. However, with "Israel's decisions to move forward with new constructions in the West Bank and East Jerusalem" [9], the possibility of a two-state solution being peacefully brought to fruition is negligible. Since the Israeli-Palestinian conflicts are in essence a proxy war between Israel and the Muslim world, some event will be triggered in the near future, resulting in an uprising against Israel. I have suggested in previous posts that it must be concrete enough to bring all of Israel's enemies in the region together. The assassination of Palestinian President Mahmoud Abbas is one distinct possibility. This event is not expected until it is clear to the Muslim world that Israel will not agree to a two-state solution. A meeting to finalize a timeline with Israel is expected to transpire around the end of 2016, so expect the 'catalyzing event' to jump-start another regional conflict around that same time. An eight-page report released last Friday by the Quartet, a body consisting of representatives from the EU, US, UN and Russia, whose mandate was to advance Israeli-Palestinian peace, "reiterates that a negotiated two-state outcome is the only way to achieve an enduring peace that meets Israeli security needs and Palestinian aspirations for statehood and sovereignty, ends the occupation that began in 1967, and resolves all permanent status issues” [10].
Both the Israelis and Palestinians believe that the other side is at fault. Both sides are preparing for war. Their wish will be granted in short order. Understand that this next conflict will not be contained to the Middle East. Wherever you observe terrorist attacks today, tomorrow's conflict will also be found in those countries. Also, we have the South China Sea to contend with next week, as China's military exercises from today through July 11 precede the decision by an international court in a dispute between China and the Philippines regarding overlapping territorial claims [11]. Once China balks at the decision by the international court, similar to how Israel is balking at the Quartet's recommendations to cease expansion of settlements into Gaza Strip and the West Bank, something has to give in both regions. China is smart enough not to escalate their conflict with the United States to a boiling point until after the U.S. is fully committed militarily to the Middle East. Therefore, expect a major conflict in SE Asia in 2017, only after the U.S. has responded military in the Middle East. Russia knows this and is also biding its time until the U.S. is overcommitted militarily before making further incursions into Ukraine and eventually Eastern Europe in 2017.

**July 12** - Without 270 electoral votes, there is no Presidential election outcome. In other words, having one or more battleground states delay elections due to threats to voters, the entire Presidential election will be delayed, for without either Presidential candidate tallying 270+ electoral votes on November 8, no decision can be made as to who is elected President. Since individual states are easy to hijack, the expected October wave of domestic terrorist attacks will likely transpire in battleground states such as Florida, Ohio and/or Pennsylvania. The decision by leadership controlling terrorist operations to launch the October attacks can be delayed until as late as September, in order to determine which attacks will guarantee a ‘hung jury’ on election day. As of July 10, 2016, Clinton is projected to secure 217 electoral votes while Trump only has 191 electoral votes secured [1]. According to another poll, the tipping point state is Florida, in which Clinton is ahead by 5.9% [2]. Therefore, the threat of terrorist attacks on election day in Florida alone could ensure a hung jury on election day. Considering how the lowest election year job growth when the incumbent party won was 184,000/month, while the average year job growth in 2016 to date is 171,500/month [3], Trump will likely win the Presidential election in November.

"Florida, with its long experience in dealing with hurricanes, is one of the few with specific procedures in place, allowing the governor to suspend or delay elections" [4]. The Electoral College electors meet in their respective states on December 19th to cast their ballots for President and Vice President [5]. President Obama would be expected to remain in office through January 20th, regardless of the outcome of the Presidential election. It is highly unlikely that no Presidential candidate would have enough electoral votes unless Trump is kicked out of the Republican Party by having someone else nominated by party leadership on July 21, the last day of the
Republican National Convention [6]. Trump would then have to run as an independent party candidate. If either the Democratic or Republican National Conventions are delayed, another convention would be scheduled in time to select a nominee prior to the November elections. If no decision is made by January 20th, then Congress shall appoint someone to serve as President, as outlined in Section 3 of the 20th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution [7]: "If, at the time fixed for the beginning of the term of the President, the President elect shall have died, the Vice President elect shall become President. If a President shall not have been chosen before the time fixed for the beginning of his term, or if the President elect shall have failed to qualify, then the Vice President elect shall act as President until a President shall have qualified; and the Congress may by law provide for the case wherein neither a President elect nor a Vice President elect shall have qualified, declaring who shall then act as President, or the manner in which one who is to act shall be selected, and such person shall act accordingly until a President or Vice President shall have qualified."

Therefore, the United States would be expected to continue in its current form of government unless a more catastrophic chain of events transpires, in which case a viable election process is no longer possible (e.g., a designated "state of emergency"). This is not expected to happen, as someone will be selected to replace President Obama on January 20th, 2017. No, Obama will not serve a third term. Therefore, Americans must wait six months before it is determined by either the American people or Congress who will become the next U.S. President via either the normal election process or through some other series of events. That is a long time for the festering wound generated by the current acrimonious Presidential campaign process between Trump and Clinton to linger without lasting damage to U.S. national security.
interests. Domestic uprisings are already making the news headlines. Regardless of the outcome of the U.S. Presidential election, the American people will be on the losing end of this deal. The question that remains unanswered if the normal election process fails is *Who will Congress choose to serve as acting President in 2017?* Again, if the election process fails, Congress by default will have sole authority to select the acting President until ______ ??? The person selected by Congress as the interim President could be sitting in the Oval Office for a while...depends on how long a declared state of emergency lasts, if a state of emergency is declared.

On the European front, Italy is poised to use their elections as a referendum of sorts by early November [8], the outcome of which is expected to further destabilize the European Union and empower Russia. The Hungarian President is not waiting for Italy, with its referendum slated for October 2 [9], the last day of the Jubilee year in the Jewish calendar [10]. Since Deutsche Bank is considered the weakest link in the global financial derivatives market, the Hungarian and Italian elections will likely trigger the global economic reset by the end of 2016, resulting in a 30+% currency devaluation in the U.S. dollar relative to goods and services after the reset. Liquidity will evaporate during this transition to a new global currency system, further eroding global trade and heightening tensions between the East and West over existing resources in 2017. Weakening currencies will motivate nations to pursue control over greater resources and over their own populations through force. Direct conflict is unlikely on a large scale until *after* conflict between Israel and its hostile neighbors escalates into a full-blown regional conflagration, which is not expected until after the Jubilee year has concluded and the economic crisis is upon Europe and the West. What specifically will trigger an escalation in the Middle East remains indeterminate, though a few possible scenarios such as the assassination of Palestinian President
Mahmoud Abbas have been discussed in previous posts. The last quarter of calendar year 2016 appears to be a critical timeframe that initiates an economic meltdown for both the United States and Europe, with the Middle East coming to the forefront shortly thereafter in 2017.

Unfortunately, the U.S. is not waiting for the last quarter of 2016, and its economy is already drifting back into another banking crisis [11]. Yield curves reflect a slowing down in the economy while delinquencies and net charge-offs are approaching record levels on the upside of yet another banana curve [11]. If last time's fix is any indication of how the Federal Reserve will handle this crisis, the U.S. will witness another round of quantitative easing before the end of 2016. Throwing money at the insolvent global financial system at or near zero percent interest appears to be the chosen way ahead strategy for Japan and the West [12]. The inevitable outcome is currency devaluation via inflation. If there are bank holidays prior to a full-blown reset, the reset will entail an immediate currency devaluation relative to whatever new global currency is introduced. Russia and China are preparing accordingly [13], though it should be noted that China's debt to GDP is exploding relative to the EU [14].

What few people are talking about is how this next round of quantitative easing will buy enough time to bridge the gap between now and when the conflict in the Middle East drives the global economy into funding a major war, in lieu of previous rounds of quantitative easing for the purpose of propping up the banking system.

Today's decision by the international court rejected China's claim to essentially the entire South China Sea following week-long exercises by the Chinese in the South China Sea [15]. Chinese naval forces in the region vastly outnumber all other forces
combined, not counting Russian assets since Russia would side the China is a major conflict against the United States and its allies. As the level of geopolitical and economic chaos increases over the next 12 months, more decisions by the world's leaders will be motivated by fear and perpetuated by the ignorance of the masses. This is a vicious and irreversible cycle that will accelerate until a nuclear "Event X" scenario unfolds.

If you think that talk about war is nonsensical, read section 5 of the official text of the Warsaw Summit Communique from this weekend: "There is an arc of insecurity and instability along NATO’s periphery and beyond. The Alliance faces a range of security challenges and threats that originate both from the east and from the south; from state and non-state actors; from military forces and from terrorist, cyber, or hybrid attacks. Russia’s aggressive actions, including provocative military activities in the periphery of NATO territory and its demonstrated willingness to attain political goals by the threat and use of force, are a source of regional instability, fundamentally challenge the Alliance, have damaged Euro-Atlantic security, and threaten our long-standing goal of a Europe whole, free, and at peace. Our security is also deeply affected by the security situation in the Middle East and North Africa, which has deteriorated significantly across the whole region. Terrorism, particularly as perpetrated by the so-called Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL)/Da’esh, has risen to an unprecedented level of intensity, reaches into all of Allied territory, and now represents an immediate and direct threat to our nations and the international community. Instability in the Middle East and North Africa also contributes to the refugee and migrant crisis" [16].
What will likely transpire next year is a nuclear "Event X" scenario, forcing everyone to stand down and allow for the Middle East peace process to finally establish Palestine as a nation alongside Israel, with Jerusalem recognized by the international community as the capital of both Israel and Palestine by the end of 2017. Religious and economic differences between nations will be set aside after the war for at least a few years. However, it will take about a year before such a scenario plays out to a point where this outcome becomes self-evident to topsiders (people wholly unaffiliated with the parallel human civilization). Ongoing religious and economic conflicts must run their course and come to a head before a viable Middle East peace agreement is finalized next year. That will take some doing to say the least, but the outcome is inevitable, for all sides are open to a two state solution. It is only a matter of time before the two parallel human civilizations converge and greater controls are implemented over the human collective as a result of the events outlined above.

Based on this overall assessment, one can begin to compare benchmarked timelines between today's post and previous posts published in *No More Nukes!* [17], as there are a few differences emerging which was expected. The Trump candidacy may be motivating the wealthy centralists (elite who practice metaphysical spiritualism) to delay any major changes to the current world order until after the U.S. Presidential elections. Other factors not heretofore discussed are likely to also play into changes to the benchmarked timeline, so please reiterate an understanding within yourselves that the timeline is to help understand the process of global transformation, not to predict the exact date(s) for specific event(s). While prophetic insights and information is also considered, these are simply data points that may or may not prove to have a correlation with actual events. Benchmarking is an iterative, ongoing process that involves the reduction and eventual elimination of various biases that skew the
benchmarked timeline from when actual events transpire. Since the data set from which the timeline is established is finite, there will never be a perfect match between actual events and those presented in these posts.

[3] https://twitter.com/JamesGRickards/status/751469422775238657
July 19 - The situation around the world is starting to show cracks in the facade. One example is the powerful Shi'ite Muslim cleric Moqtada al-Sadr instructing his followers on Sunday to target U.S. troops deploying to Iraq as part of the military campaign against the Islamic State" [1]. In Turkey, NATO membership is being called into question, with Secretary of State John Kerry openly suggesting that if Turkey "fails to uphold the principles of democracy in the wake of the attempted coup," Turkey could lose its membership in NATO [2]. Turkey's Erdogan may just call Kerry on that one, and release a million or so refugees into Europe just to spite the West. Erdogan is demanding exiled Muslim cleric Fethullah Gulen over his alleged involvement in the failed coup [3].

Posturing in the South China Sea continues, as well. Beijing flew "its newest H-6K long-range nuclear capable bombers overflying Scarborough Shoal," which "is capable of delivering nuclear weapons throughout Asia" [4]. "On Friday, the same day as the bomber overflight, four Chinese Coast Guard vessels blocked Filipino fishermen from accessing Scarborough waters" [4]. China is not backing down. The United States is not backing down. One of those two statement will be proven false, or conflict will erupt between China and the United States. The United States is struggling to stabilize the Middle East, even with France's support after domestic attacks have motivated the French to begin launching airstrikes in the Middle East over the last two nights [5]. Germany will likely follow suit soon, with today's "Allahu Akbar" attack comprised of a 17-year-old Afghan man armed with an axe and a knife attacking people onboard a train traveling between Wurzburg-Heidingsfeld and Ochsenfurt, injuring almost two dozen passengers [6].
Instead of a robust joint military contingent starting in October or November following something along the lines of an assassination of Palestinian President Mahmoud Abbas, a slow frog boil approach is being taken by the U.S. and Europe in the Middle East and Northern Africa where Daesh operations are concentrated. As additional U.S. and European military forces are embedded throughout the Middle East and Northern Africa, tensions between the Muslim world and the West will continue to escalate in tandem and in proportion to the Western presence in these regions. The October/November timeframe will constitute a tipping point from a previously acknowledged "global war on terrorism" to a "clash of civilizations" by the media, a realization that will not be cemented in the minds of most topsiders until the end of 2016. A coordinated military response will simply result in a stalemate between warring parties as the Muslim world responds in kind to Western escalation.

China will also engage in a tit-for-tat response to the United States in Southeast Asia. President Obama will likely delay engaging in either the Middle East or South China Sea conflict until his replacement (Trump or Clinton) assumes the highest office in the land. This two-front war will have a catastrophic outcome for the West, and will in effect normalize per capita GDP between Eastern and Western nations by the time the dust settles, disproportionately impacting the United States. Neither China nor Russia benefit from war with the United States, but when they cooperate and coordinate military operations against the United States through next year, there is no way for the United States to come out on top. China and Russia are establishing closer economic and military ties as events unfold. The United States, United Kingdom and most of Europe will work more closely together, as well.
If there were some sort of viable solution to averting conflict in either region mentioned above, our network would be pursuing that route. There are prayer vigils to bring peace to a war-torn world, but not enough people are praying peace. Too many people are struggling with everyday problems, and more people are falling out of alignment with their Higher Power. Israel is finalizing preparations for war. "Israeli defense minister told a Knesset committee earlier Monday that he believes Israel and the U.S. will conclude a deal by the end of September" [7]. Good timing, considering how the end of the Jewish Jubilee year ends on October 2nd. After that, the world will likely run out of peace, as the world has "entered an era of rising instability and uncertainty" resulting from "a grand cycle of prices and wages which turn on the simple but profound law of supply and demand" [8]. "These junctures of great poverty, insecurity and unrest set the stage for wars, revolutions and pandemics" via the following [8]:

- **Ever higher prices for what I call the FEW Essentials: food, energy and water.**
- **Ever larger government deficits which end in bankruptcy/repudiation of debts/new issue of currency.**
- **Rising property/violent crime and illegitimacy.**
- **Rising interest rates (currently considered "impossible").**
- **Rising income inequality in favor of capital over labor.**
- **Continued debasement of the currency.**
- **Rising volatility of prices.**
- **Rising political unrest and turmoil.**

"The recently-declassified 28 pages from the official U.S. report on the September 11, 2011 terrorist attacks implicates the Muslim Brotherhood - including Hamas - as being part of the Saudi-linked Islamist network in America that assisted the 9/11
hijackers and Al-Qaeda in general" [9]. The Muslim Brotherhood is also heavily embedded within the Obama Administration and several federal agencies such as DHS and the Department of State, ensuring that radical Islam will successfully infiltrate and disrupt the nation's social order and possibly compromise critical infrastructure sectors in time of war. The French people are smart enough to prepare for war [10]...are you? The Austrians are also responding via the voting booth on October 2nd, the same day Hungarians go to the polls [11]. October 3rd confirms that for all practical purposes, war will have been declared on immigrants by the Europeans...and markets will respond accordingly.

The U.S. Presidential elections in November between Donald Trump and Hillary Clinton will be our nation's last Presidential elections. "The real winner at the GOP Convention is Vladimir Putin. Russian influence on the Trump campaign is starting to have a real impact" [12], and by the of this year, Putin can simply sit back and watch the chaos unfold in both Europe and the United States. By this time next year, Americans shall realize how 2016 was the last year of the nation's collective innocence, for the 2016 Presidential elections shall be the last election for the highest office in the land. European nations shall suffer a similar fate. Next week's post will come full circle and bring it all together, with one consideration specifically emphasized:

If all of these seemingly isolated conflicts are converging into one global conflict next year, could it be possible that this global conflict will not be resolved until one person technically controls everything?...(the correct answer is YES!)

July 26 - As expected for the military ramp-up this fall, President Hollande stated that France is sending an aircraft carrier Charles de Gaulle adjacent to the coast of Israel/Syria by the end of September "to intensify our strikes against Islamic State positions in Syria and Iraq" [1]. That would make sense considering the magnitude of the terrorist attacks this summer in France. The United States can be expected to do the same, with thousands of troops already on the ground in Iraq. Syria will become more of a focal point for the United States and Europe from an operational standpoint this fall. Putin has sent a clear message from Russia to the West that they must coordinate their operations in Syria with Russia [2]. Whether or not the West abides by this forceful request remains to be seen...so far the situation remains under control. Let's hope it remains that way.

In U.S. politics, Trump and Clinton secured their party nominations and will pair off in three debates later this year leading up to the November 8th election. The overall tone of the election is each party demonizing the other candidate while offering false hope. As a result, there is grave concern for the safety of both candidates. Trump is rightfully being compared to Putin [3, 4, 5], while Hillary Clinton's trail of destruction as Secretary of State speaks for itself. There are no viable candidates that can save the United States. One can only hope the democratic processes in place will serve their purpose without bloodshed, and that whoever is elected represents the will of the people and will successfully resume the position of President in the Oval Office on January 20, 2017. If a new U.S. President does not take office on January 20th, the likelihood that our current form of government will continue is unfavorable. Only a world war could unite the nation...even that may not be enough. With nuclear weapons as easy to reproduce as Greenhalgh suggests [6], the likelihood of averting a nuclear "Event X" scenario seems to be dwindling by the day, along with the
likelihood that Americans will be allowed to keep their country and government, at least in its current form and the freedoms that are outlined in the U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights. Personally, I expect a state of emergency to be declared by January 2017, regardless of who is elected on November 8.

An equally unstable situation to that of Syria resides in Turkey, with Erdogan "ordering the closure of thousands of private schools, charities and other institutions in his first decree since imposing a state of emergency after the failed military coup" [7]. World markets seem relatively unphased, having been recently propped up by rumors of Japan providing additional quantitative easing. These were false rumors intended to offset the adverse impact of the Brexit. Markets will likely remain afloat through the end of September before the October referendums and other world events such as Turkey unleashing millions of immigrants into Europe once again destabilize the markets. The possibility of a world war will push central banks and the nations coordinating economic measures with central banks to open the floodgates of quantitative easing, which in turn will fund the global war machine while also propping up the global financial system until a nuclear Event X scenario makes it impossible for central banks to stabilize markets and avert massive bank runs. The current world order will simply cease to function until a new system is in place...yes, a new world order.

We attended an online spiritual workshop over the weekend as a last ditch effort to align the human collective with a more favorable timeline. While the effect of the workshop was positive and hopeful, the outcome of what humanity is facing has likely changed very little from the benchmarked timeline outlined in previous posts, as this type of positive feedback was already taken into account. A global economic crash is
inevitable since a coherent financial system remains elusive between East and West, and greater geopolitical tensions between East and West continue to translate to escalations in conflicts and terrorist attacks. Unless aliens swoop down and save us from ourselves, humanity will be facing some very difficult challenges in the coming months and years. Nevertheless, one can focus positive energy within one's own life as much as circumstances will allow. Just don't count on hope to save your nations and government...Obama was elected twice on hope. It didn't work.

With the United States now ranking 11th in the world - down from 1st place - in percentage of people with a college degree, don't expect the United States to save the world from collapse any more than aliens. The United States has been exporting collapse over the last several years, and now it is coming full circle back to the United States. China's economy is not driving the global economy. Debt is. Once inflation catches up with the debt that has been acquired to prop up the system, it's game over for the current world order. War will serve as the catalyst for change in 2017...not peace. "This all ties into a major cycle of history that has been with us for some time, and which has been gaining traction since the 1990s: the end of Western Civilization and the transition towards a globalized society. There is some confusion between the two terms, where the latter is often perceived as the continuation of the former, but in reality the two have been in conflict for almost 100 years" [8]. The ongoing Cold War between the United States and China/Russia will accelerate the transition to a centrally controlled global society. If the situation with the 2016 Olympics in which Russian participants are expected to be barred from participating is any indication of where things are headed [9], a globalized society will begin to dominate Western society as early as next year.
Is this a pessimistic or realistic outlook? Well, we don't have to wait much longer to find out. Keep your eye on Israel [10, 11]. Whatever happens to Israel shall happen to the rest of the world. Whosoever leads Israel to a false peace following the Middle East conflict next year shall lead the world to ultimately suffer the same fate as Israel in the end, once the comprehensive Middle East peace agreement breaks down. While some consider actively writing about these matters to be out of alignment with the greater good, suggesting that doing so manifests these events to a certain degree, I disagree. By reaching multiple orders of magnitude fewer people than is necessary to have a detectable impact on the beliefs and thinking of the human collective prior to the final release of this book on October 1st, it is impossible for these posts to do anything more than serve as food for thought and proof of principle for those who are already interested in such topics, and who likely agree with many of these findings. The October 1st release date was chosen to prove that the general chain of events that transpire in 2016-2017 as benchmarked in previous posts could be known with an acceptable degree of certainty and accuracy prior to the end of the Jewish Calendar Jubilee year which ends on October 2, 2016. Hunter suggests that numerological analysis pinpoints September 22 and November 9 as critical dates [12]. The collapse of Turkey on or about September 22 could trigger an onslaught of Syrian refugees into greater Europe. November 9 is the day after the U.S. presidential election, suggesting that there will be an event the day after the elections that will be very disruptive. Tim Kaine will serve as the acting U.S. President for an extended period during this transition. In my opinion, this timeframe has been chosen and has been designated by the centralists as the critical period to commence the majority of geopolitical and economic changes that are ultimately intended to implement a centralized geopolitical and economic infrastructure. However, this stratagem cannot
last due to the unbalanced nature of the thinking responsible for creating this global paradigm shift in the first place.

Tim Kaine stated that during the first 100 days in office, a bill will be introduced to legalize illegal immigrants [13], which will once again motivate people to flood the United States illegally, to include those involved with illicit networks and terrorism. During the first day of the democratic convention, terrorism was not mentioned once [14], and there were few U.S. flags waving in the crowds of thousands of DNC party followers [15]. Not to leave the Republicans out of the mix, Trump is finding himself more closely aligned with Putin as portrayed by the media, suggesting that Trump is "deeply involved with Russian oligarchs" [16]. To drive the point home just how divided the nation is politically and ideologically, the movie *Hillary's America: The Secret History of the Democratic Party*, movie critics gave reviews with the majority of them posting an average score of ZERO, while the public rated the movie fairly positive with an average score of 6.7 out of 10 [17]. The Republican Party is now the Populist Party, while the Democratic Party is for all practical purposes the Establishment Party. Wow...that's gonna leave a scar on the nation. Tim Kaine will seem like a Godsend to the American people after this election season, but he is a wolf in sheep's clothing in some respects, aligned with the Jesuits and centralist agenda that for all practical purposes will soon operate as a modern day global Communist Party. Communism is defined as "a political theory derived from Karl Marx, advocating class war and leading to a society in which all property is publicly owned and each person works and is paid according to their abilities and needs" [18]. This is the ultimate fate of the United States and the rest of the world.
The main leader who is an actual Jesuit and who will play an even more critical role in this transition is Pope Francis. Earlier this week, a convicted terrorist killed a Catholic priest by beheading him, in spite of his having an electronic tag to monitor his movements [19]. Pope Francis is expected to suffer the same fate at the hands of Daesh next year at the 86-year old priest from France. The state of emergency in France has proven insufficient to halt the spread of terrorism within its own borders, and larger attacks are becoming increasingly likely in Europe through the end of 2016 and into 2017. Once Turkey unleashes over a million Muslim refugees into Europe starting in late September / early October, coupled with green-lighting millions of illegal immigrants who will pair up with illegal immigrants already in the United States, the stage is then set for a clash of civilizations on a global scale. China and Russia are waiting patiently to pounce on the United States and Europe, respectively. If there is a way to avert this scenario from unfolding, an a viable alternative timeline has not been established. Leadership in the United States and Europe are too busy playing reindeer games to see things as they are, in order to take the actions necessary to avert a world war with Russia and China. It is too late to avert war of significant magnitude. Just how bad will it get before there is a peace agreement is unknown at this time. The purpose of this blog and the accompanying e-book was to avert a nuclear "Event X" scenario, but few who reviewed this content took these warnings seriously, and no one from D.C. who reviewed this information took the appropriate actions to redirect U.S. resources and personnel accordingly. The United States and Europe are sitting ducks to continued economic and political instability that will end many of the freedoms that exist today.

For more hopeful messages that will assist people with personal preparations for what is described by the Garabandal Warning from the 1960s as becoming conscious of


[5] [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/gop-trump-russia_us_578e44bae4b0a0ae97c37acd](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/gop-trump-russia_us_578e44bae4b0a0ae97c37acd)


[12] [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oniHKwIdR1I](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oniHKwIdR1I)
August 2 - This has been a very noisy week in terms of determining which events are expected and when to benchmark them. Rather than going into specifics of each potential event, it is important to pay attention to what is happening in real time. France announced the formation of a national guard during the continued state of emergency [1]. Germany is preparing to deploy its army in "crisis" situations [2]. Turkey is allowing domestic protests against the United States while exiled cleric Fethullah Gulen remains in the U.S. [3]. To date, "70,000 people have been suspended from work and more than 18,000 detained" [3]. Erdogan is slowly turning its back on both the United States and Europe by radicalizing Turkey. In Italy, the banking system is struggling to remain afloat, with bank bail-ins becoming increasingly likely, much to the dismay of Italians [4]. Spain is in a similar situation, with secessionist parties holding "a majority in the 135-seat Catalan parliament" [5].

On the political front, another person died under mysterious conditions, Joe Montano, aide to Senator Kaine and who worked with recently murdered DNC data director, Seth Rich [6]. One of our colleagues stated that she has been harassed with hacking in recent weeks, to include a death threat of sorts. The Russians will attempt to hack the voting machines in favor of Trump [7]. Regardless of the outcome of the November 8 election, there will ensue a disruptive series of events that will in effect nullify the results of the election. In other words, neither Trump nor Clinton will find themselves in the Oval Office on January 20th, 2017.

Not to leave China out of the mix, they are conducting large-scale naval drills in preparation for blitzkrieg attacks against U.S. forces in the South China Sea [8, 9]. Russia is working in tandem with China on a different front. Once Putin finalizes a peace deal between warring parties in Syria, "jihadists will turn their attention to and
aim their fire at the Israeli border" [10]. Somehow, the United States is supposed to confront adversaries in both the Middle East and Southeast Asia, while simultaneously forgiving debts to students who cannot find a job that pays enough to pay down their student loans [11], as well as having to pay out benefits above and beyond what is being funded through taxes [12]. War will provide ample jobs next year, thanks to Putin. At some point, there will be a nuclear event to sober everyone up and force all parties to the bargaining table.

Last but not least, a very big event is expected later this month in the United States [13]...we are expecting ground zero to be located somewhere in California, though it could transpire in any major metropolitan area located in an English speaking country. The event expected later this month is independent of events leading up to this event and therefore unaffected by preceding events. We are in a holding pattern now...until "Event X". If this month witnesses an event large enough to serve as the Event X, other scenarios such as Turkey aligning with Russia against the United States become more likely [14]. The derivatives market collapse would be triggered by Event X in this case, specifically targeting the weakest financial links in the global financial system such as Deutsche Bank. Deutsche Bank stock price is currently at $12.68 at the time of this update (1:30 p.m. EST, August 3) [15]. Once the stock price drops below $10, that basically signals to the larger markets that the banking system cannot survive this insult/disruption. It is simply too big...a global financial reset would ensue.

[13] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7osWmtHDFMQ
August 9 - Based upon research over the last week, to include the notes presented below from the July 31st Farsight Institute video [1], the following was identified as a 'most likely' scenario for "Event X":

A LARGE, SURPRISE EVENT IMPACTING ONE URBAN LOCATION IN THE UNITED STATES, RESULTING IN A SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF LIFE AND DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY.

One possible date – August 14th – coincides with the Jewish holiday Tisha B'Av in 2016 and is 777 days after The Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) self-declared its caliphate in Syria and northern Iraq on June 29, 2014 [2]. The magnitude and nature of this event will dictate whether or not this event is in fact "Event X". Christine Lagarde emphasized the significance of the "magic 7" in a January 2014 National Press Club speech [3]. Jonathan Cahn also discusses the significance of 7 and the Jubilee year (September 23, 2015 - October 2, 2016) following the 7th Shemitah, ending the current cycle on October 2, 2016 [4]. Whatever event transpires in six days likely correlates with Daesh (ISIS/ISIL) and the looming conflict in the Middle East - a lynchpin event that will ultimately result in the comprehensive Middle East peace agreement.

Daz Smith [5]:

1. Big explosion on land in dense urban setting, quiet at the time of the explosion...unexpected – surprise event.
2. Rumbling, shaking through ground accompanied by rushing sound.
4. A lot of missing people, death, destruction – catastrophic event involving several buildings with one building constituting the central focus – resulting from a downward force.

5. Main building: normal structure shape initially, but ends up as a broken/jagged pile with parts still standing.

6. Recovery effort to find missing people – cannot determine whether it’s from a bomb/explosion or earthquake…everything is shaking.

7. Emphasis on missing people and explosive…great sense of loss.

Dick Allgire [6]:

1. Streak of something moving very fast – sizzling sound – air itself sizzling – molten burning metallic smells, temperature is sizzling hot, texture is like pressure wave.
2. Radio voices speaking, smell is ozone, some kind of gas from metal/friction – VERY HOT – molten plasma streaking.
3. Three similar looking objects, sounds like things raining down – impacts/clatter, burnt smells, hot molten.
4. Texture of something fused.
5. Some structures on land – angular structures, static sounds, burnt metal smells again, broken shards of glass.
7. Hiss and booming rumble, HOT HOT HOT, vaporized metal hot, texture compressed air shock wave, sense of blast wave.
8. Thunderous roar, smell vaporized metal – welding type of smell – THOUSANDS OF DEGREES, plasma blast wave.
9. Explosive event near structures with people in structures near location of blast.
10. Energetic motion downward – supersonic, sounds like hissing, sizzling shock wave, burning/whipping air.


12. Secondary kinetic energy after impact point on land with structures.

13. Dirt smells, upturned earth where impact occurs, accompanied by shock wave.

14. Two different land gestalts

14a. Rounded but jagged – excavated by force – irregular with rocky pieces with smell of charring, upturned earth, freshly excavated, desolate, ravaged, wiped out – SEARED – things radiated from it, nothing left intact. HOT HOT HOT.

14b. Good-sized urban area with a lot of buildings, one of which is domed (not inferring U.S. Capitol), some of which are very tall with glass blown out, damaged by shock wave, some of which are buckled with structural damage of bigger buildings…SOMEWHERE IN AMERICA. City sounds with terrific destruction sounds breaking/falling buildings.

15. Two different energy gestalts:

15a. From perspective of curvature of Earth and thin atmosphere – energetic streak comes into atmosphere – thousands of miles per hour – plasma from fast speed, causing plasma in front of object – tremendous kinetic force. Ripping, roaring, sizzling, hissing air, radio voices speaking about this event – all happening very fast.

15b. From perspective of horizon (person standing on ground at a distance) – shock waves from impact point are in all directions, symmetrical wave. NOT NUCLEAR feeling – some kind of exotic energy – very symmetrical energy.

16. One structure in particular – buckled. As this happens, it trips an alarm system that causes cell phones start buzzing, computer system alerts simultaneously going out. Some of the people that are alerted to the event are in high-tech bunkers with big screens and consoles. One guy in particular is sitting at a desk looking at a screen,
then something happens to another screen to observe the alert. They are frightened, professional, real world – FOLLOW THE OPLAN. Personnel are reacting professionally. THERE WAS NO WARNING – triggering event triggered sensors, which has a domino effect. THIS IS VERY SERIOUS, VERY FRIGHTENING, struggling to maintain professionalism – VERY URGENT.

17. Outside, a wider view – water/bay adjacent to a chunk of land with structures, in urban area. Above this, adjacent to but not in the urban area, there is a mushroom cloud shaped smoke in the distance. Something has sucked up a lot of debris into the cloud. Colorful sunsets in the distance because of the amount of debris – seen for thousands of miles the colorful sunsets.

18. Buildings and roadway – many people in the street running in one direction…like a scene from a disaster movie – a lot of people running in the streets in one direction, reminiscent of 9/11 clichéd movie scene. People are terrified, in outright panic. They see other people – human forms, embedded/entombed/infused into structure – fused into the structure, similar to Pompei scene. Human remains, death – FUSED/ENTOMBED. This is a bad thing…

19. Profile of a man in a suit on television – LIVE BREAKING WHITE HOUSE – PRESIDENT IN OVAL OFFICE, trying to reassure public. He is not telling whole truth, withholding information – could not tell public everything.

20. Dick suggesting that RV session may be following imagination due to more front-loading for this session than most other sessions. Another possibility is due to a great deal of public attention, this could be a mask, or elements of it could be true. He is sincerely hoping this is not true…

PrinCess Jeanee [7]:

1. A lot of land, city structures, a lot of people, not much foliage. A lot of subjects
inside and outside structures – people a mile or so out can be seen. Very chaotic, hearing a lot of wind-type sounds, a lot of voices. Everybody is everywhere.

2. There are structures overhead, objects moving very fast, some are hovering. Buildings are quite close together – apartments, some with peaks. Main building has a peak roof (triangle).

3. TONS OF PEOPLE. Almost more people than there are buildings – scattered all over the place, moving very fast, a lot of activities, chaos. Buildings close together – a lot of buildings, helicopters in the air. There is a lot of popping/banging of some sort. A lot of flying sounds zooming past – very chaotic. Moving structures on the ground…are they attacking? There seems to be a shooting from more than one angle.

4. War zone feeling to scene.

5. 24 hours prior to this event to see what is causing this event – there is an object flying overhead, there is lots of smoke coming from what has landed in the city – truck or box?...white or greyish/black all over the place. Before the event, it was quiet. Whizzing sounds during event. Chaos has just started – it gets worse, spills out, location has feel of military base.

6. Where target is located, it feels like a terrorist attack – no one was expecting this event, everything is happening so fast. People are afraid, trying to take action, thinking of safety and families. Minds are racing, trying to act as fast as possible. It’s very battle like, very chaotic, almost terrifying how much is being seen/hearing.

7. 24 hours after event – dark, smoky, rocky, a lot of debris, very harsh environment. People are dying or are dead already. Buildings are lower, maybe broken with a lot of people moving, getting into vehicles. There is so much flying overhead, taking precaution now. This is not good, it doesn’t look good at all – SCARY. You can see chaos emerge from each building, from the central location you can see things spreading out, people everywhere - it’s scary/frightening. PEOPLE ARE SPEAKING
ENGLISH, DIFFERENT SHADES OF BROWN, SOME WHITE, DIFFERENT ACCENTS.

8. City has a lot of buildings, rather tall, connected close to a city – feels like a military base. Feels very terroristic. It hasn’t happened yet…

Additional information on this and previous remote viewing sessions can be found on the Farsight Institute web site [8].

[1] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7osWmtHDFMQ
[3] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sx_IZ-AcQ_I
[4] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YL89QnywYrQ
August 16 - Why is there so much focus on “Event X” as a nuclear event? President Obama is considering a proposal to “pledge never to use nuclear weapons first” [1], while Syria, Iran and Russia are coordinating their military operations more closely than ever before, with Iran allowing Russia to fly its bombers from one of its bases to attack rebels in Syria [2]. Russia continues to also build new underground nuclear command posts throughout Russia as part of a larger strategic forces modernization program [3]. “Russian doctrine states that tactical nuclear weapons may be used in a conventional response scenario” [4]. The threat of use of nuclear weapons is as real today as any time when the Cold War was officially acknowledged.

In U.S. politics, investigations are underway against personnel in both Republican and Democratic camps, to include their respective nominees. Various polls show Trump and Clinton relatively close, further enflaming partisan politics throughout the United States. Since Putin was radicalized by the fall of the Berlin Wall in favor of the West, he has helped turn the former Soviet Union (e.g., Russia) into a full-fledged mafia state, out of which the likes of Paul Manafort are being used to disrupt the U.S. political system. This is a symptom of a larger trend of increasing geopolitical and economics chaos arising from an increasing scarcity of per capita resources on the planet. While the Georgia Guidestones (the origin of last week's 'most likely' date for a major event in August) may have a point regarding a healthy level of human population on the planet, humanity cannot pursue a massive die-off through an intentional manifestations of chaos.

The solution is to pursue and implement strategies that reduce global chaos. That is what President Obama is attempting to do through at least considering a no first use nuclear weapons proposal. Ultimatums have been exchanged between East and West.
We are at an impasse. Either humanity continues to pursue an escalation in conflict as a solution to much needed resources, or some other option much be considered. Until the international community is willing to consider other options besides war to resolve its differences, chaos will continue to slowly engulf the planet until chaos rules the world. As fewer people can operate effectively within the current system, domestic chaos will degrade national security and compromise nations' abilities to project power overseas. While that may seem like a good thing, nations will continue to vie for limited resources, resorting to the use of force to resolve disputes over resources. As it becomes more difficult for nations to wage war, the use of nuclear weapons becomes *more* alluring, not less...

Another major concern is the tens of millions of people in the United States who are no longer able to pay their bills. Debt can no longer be services. How will the banks cope with a nation of Americans with negative net worth? The entire system must be reset, but the world will not coordinate a hard reset of the global economy, only incremental steps that make it *more* difficult for Americans to remain afloat financially as higher paying jobs continue to move overseas. Unless mass debtor prisons are filled with Americans unable to pay their bills, uprisings will soon become the norm in the United States, further exacerbated by Putin's interference in domestic politics. The only thing that can change the course of events in the United States is a major war that would allow millions of unemployed Americans to serve in the military, but the United States is downsizing the military, adding tens of thousands of military personnel to the unemployment ranks each year. Who will be left to fight a two-front war?...no one. Everyone in America loses out in this next war, regardless of whether Europe brokers a comprehensive Middle East peace agreement after a nuclear "Event X" brings all parties to the bargaining table or not. By that time, the damage
will be done. The playing field will be officially leveled, and the United States will no longer be in a position to project power overseas. That will become the responsibility of the United Nations...a scary scenario for America, indeed.

**August 23** - Now it's Putin's turn to play peacemaker in the Middle East, offering to bring Abbas and Netanyahu to the bargaining table [1]. "Russia is planning to build a full-scale military airbase and to deploy a permanent contingent of aircraft and nuclear weapons in Syria, Russian media reports said" [2]. So which one is it...peacemaker or nuclear weapons proliferator? That's his game - play both sides and come out on top using China to soften up the United States before expanding operations in the Middle East with the support of Turkey, Iran and Syria against Western interests.

This was a relatively quiet week - enjoy the calm before the storm.

**August 30** – Kenyon stated that our world is entering “an ever-larger Chaotic Node” and that “there is a corresponding increase in hostility and antagonism” [1]. While there are messages of hope originating from insiders who have worked with the most secretive technologies available to the global parallel civilization, their lives are largely compartmentalized. This secrecy makes it difficult for anyone on the inside to see the big picture for what it is, while topsiders are kept in the dark on even more activities that never make into mainstream media. This is one reason why predicting the timing of certain events is so challenging to analysts. Nevertheless, general trends of a more chaotic world as suggested by Kenyon are becoming increasingly obvious to topsiders who live what is considered ‘a normal life’.

As a follow-up to the August remote viewing notes, Dick Allgire suggested that the Rome earthquake coupled with Syrian bombings were most consistent with the August remote viewing sessions [2]. Since the August events could not be accurately predicted in advance using remote viewing results coupled with other information available through open source channels, publicizing predictive remote viewing results has little utility in terms of wargaming or strategic analysis. Therefore, remote viewing results will not be considered in future posts. If interested, you can review the latest Farsight Institute remote viewing sessions posted online on September 1 [3].

There are indications of a looming global economic reset, coupled with geopolitical tensions coming to a head very soon. While a full-blown Armageddon scenario is not expected, the transition to a world without nuclear weapons will be a difficult transition. Notes regarding upcoming events from Lindsey Williams are presented below:
The elite are angry, enraged. They are like a lion backed into a corner. They expect Donald Trump to win the election by a landslide with a big majority. If the elite have their way, Donald Trump will not be allowed to become President. The elite think they are god; they only think they can do as they please.

Before January 20th, the American people will likely suffer terrible times. The elite are in the midst of a dilemma the likes of which have never been seen in the 39 years Lindsey has known the elite. You positively must take immediate action.

There positively is a group of people on the face of the earth who control the world. Lindsey has maintained a relationship with two of these people. Lindsey knows the secrets of the elite. Ken Fromm discussed must of the inner circle with Lindsey.

In this DVD, three vitally important subjects are covered:

1. Elite dilemma
2. Elite retaliation
3. Action you must take IMMEDIATELY

George H.W. Bush went to China 42 years ago. He opened up China to the rest of the world. The Bush family/dynasty guided China to what is today the second largest economy in the world. The Bush family made billions of dollars from their dealings with the Chinese since that initial meeting. Ronald Reagan was told by Kissinger and other elite that he must choose George H.W. Bush as his running mate; otherwise, there would be no hope whatsoever that he would become the Republican President. He was given an ultimatum: choose Bush or be destroyed politically. Reagan felt that he could do more good with this arrangement than if he were not elected. What has
transpired since that time with China was planned and executed by the elite, such as trade deals and moving jobs overseas.

The elite are upset that they cannot control Donald Trump. Newt Gingrich knows what he is talking about as a former Representative Speaker of the House. Gingrich knows the elite well, and understands their secret societies and their blood oaths…it’s real. Trump is an outsider; he is uncontrollable according to Gingrich. Trump has never taken secret/blood oaths. The elite’s plans were defeated. The Republican Convention earlier this year was not attended by Henry Kissinger, George H.W. Bush, Senator McCain, George W. Bush, and Jeb Bush and other Republican elite. They were so angry. The elite are in big trouble.

In light of what Lindsey was told by his elite friend, the elite WILL retaliate. Ben Carson stated that this is the year of the outsider. Insiders keep doing the same thing over and over, be it Democrat or Republican. We are on the precipice.

Obama has no intention of leaving the White House. He will not allow Donald Trump to do away with his Muslim political appointments. The Koran states that he has a right to lie if it supports the cause of Allah. The next President will likely appoint three Supreme Court Justices.

The derivative market may collapse before the Presidential Inauguration on January 20th. The derivatives market has a total net worth in excess of $550 trillion, with officially acknowledged total U.S. government debt approaching $20 trillion. A derivative is a speculative contract against assets, and there is nothing of economic worth that does not have a derivative contract associated with it. These contracts will
eventually pop the global economic bubble. This is the world’s largest casino, where big banks and other financial institutions place their bets. The largest banks have tens of trillions of dollars each in derivatives market exposure. U.S. banks alone have ~$250 trillion in exposure to derivatives, which could set off financial Armageddon at any time…the bubble to end all bubbles. This is the final piece of the puzzle. A crash would result in bank holidays and an economy similar to what is observed in Greece. What happened in Greece will happen in the U.S., but on a much larger scale.

There is on the horizon a worldwide financial collapse. Why did Donald Trump win the nomination? The evangelical and religious community was supporting Trump’s nomination because churches are not allowed to express political views. Trump said he will work hard to protect free speech for all Americans. In New York a number of months ago, Trump met with a group of ministers, and he accepted Jesus Christ as his personal savior. Trump chose a born-again Christian as his Vice Presidential running mate. Trump will stand with Israel. The elite know without a doubt that Trump will win the election in a landslide.

God is obliged to bless those who support Israel. The elite will retaliate. They will not stand for the following:

1. Trump putting American first. Americanism not globalism is his credo. The American people will come first once again according to Trump. With the elite, they want America divided and a new world order headed by the United Nations.

2. The elite will not stand for renegotiating global trade deals which Trump backs. Trump pledges to never sign bad trade deals – America first. Trade violations against the United States will be punished. China is the greatest currency
manipulators according to Trump. Horrible trade agreements with China and others – including NAFTA – will all be renegotiated. Trump states that our country will start making things again. Last year’s trade deficit was ~$800 billion.

3. The elite cannot allow the rebuilding of the U.S. military. Trump said he would take care of the military and the country’s veterans. The military overwhelmingly support Trump. The elite do not want one nation dominating the world anymore.

4. The elite support gun control, while Trump is against it. Trump will appoint Supreme Court Justices who will uphold the U.S. Constitution. This will be one of the most important issues decided by this election. Trump will protect the right of all Americans to keep their families safe. Obama said his greatest frustration was that he could not control guns.

5. The elite will not allow illegal immigration to be stopped. Trump is firmly against illegal immigration and supports protecting the nation’s borders. Trump will build a wall to stop illegal immigration, drug trafficking and other illicit activities that require cross-border access without interference by the U.S. Border Patrol. Trump vows to stop all of this. Peace will be restored by enforcing existing laws that support border security. Trump pledges that the day he takes the oath of office, Americans will finally wake up in a country where the laws of the United States are enforced.

Get prepared. What happened in England (Brexit) will happen in the United States, with Trump catapulted into the White House. Americans want a nation state. Any time after October 1st is the window for opportunities for the elite to block Trump’s
ascension to the Oval Office. They know he is going to win with a landslide. The elite may attempt the following:

1. Civil unrest and martial law – it is unknown how severe it will become.
2. Financial crisis – unavoidable, but it may be delayed if possible until after the election…be prepared. The top five largest financial institutions are now 25% bigger and more dangerous than ever. There is a 100% certainty that America will have a major crisis that will change everything. The plunge protection team can only hold up the markets so long. The U.S. dollar is no longer considered a safe haven, and its status as a reserve currency will soon cease.
3. A last resort of the elite is WAR as a means of manipulating the outcome of the U.S. Presidential election.

Have a three-month supply of cash, food and water. Also have an alternate electrical supply. Have firearms and ammunition – this will prove invaluable. Be prepared to get out of the city on short notice if at all possible. Get your spiritual house in order. The gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord. For whosoever shall call upon the name of the Lord shall be saved.

[3] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9UYfs1gAzK0

END OF PART II